| SUB NO.              | FILE NO.            | DIV.                       | TITLE  | Result |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--|--------|
| 02/03-01<br>M        | 202/11-PL770/167    | Brisbane<br>Infrastructure | North-South Bypass Tunnel – Land<br>Resumptions  | Yes    |
| 02/03-02<br>M        | 112/20/711/87       | Brisbane<br>Infrastructure | Kingsford Smith Drive, Gateway to<br>Gateway Deviation – Land<br>Resumptions   | Yes    |
| 02/03-03<br><b>M</b> | 202/10(730)         | Brisbane<br>Infrastructure | Beenleigh Road Upgrade, Stillers<br>Drive to Millers Road – Land<br>Resumptions  | Yes    |
| 02/03-04<br>M        | 134/325/738/12      | Corporate<br>Services      | Inclusion of Charitable Organisation in<br>Appendix 'A' of the Schedule of Pees<br>and Charges   | Yes    |
| 02/03-05<br>M        | 12/51/1(P9)         | Corporate<br>Services      | Monthly Report – Delegation of<br>Authority to Travel – December, 2008   | Yes    |
| 02/03-06<br>M        | 106/335/1553/1      | CP&S                       | Heritage Incentives Scheme (HIS)<br>Approval of Changed Guidelines   | Yes    |
| 02/03-07             |                     | Withdrawn                  |  | -      |
| 02/03-08             | WD90442-08/09       | OLMCEO                     | Stores Board Submission for the<br>Supply of Piped Natural Gas to Oxley<br>Creek Water Reclamation Plant<br>(WRP)  | Held   |
| 02/03-09             | 194/830/826/5       | OLMGEO                     | Stores Board Submission for Supply<br>and Delivery of Luggage Point Water<br>Reclamation Plant – Microfilter<br>Replacement  | Held   |
| 02/03-10<br>M        | 243/98-37/2003/2004 | OLMCEO                     | Stores Board Submission for Provision<br>of the Hi Affinity Billing System for<br>Water Retail, including ongoing<br>licenses, maintenance, support and<br>additional services | Yes    |
| 02/03-11<br>R        | 467/33(4)           | Brisbane<br>Infrastructure | Hale Street Link (HSL) – Tolling<br>Revenue Collection Service (TRCS)  | Yes    |
| )2/03-12<br>M        | BT 812/15/101       | Corporate<br>Services      | Bus Build Project – Approval of further<br>formal commercial arrangements for<br>the Bus Build Project.  | Yes    |
| 02/03-13<br>M        | BT 812/15/101       | Corporate<br>Services      | Bus Build Project – Appointment of<br>Brisbane Bus Build Pty Ltd Council<br>appointed Directors and Council<br>Management Committee Members<br>under the Head Supply Agreement | Yes    |

Present: Lord Mayor Campbell Newman, G M Quirk, G Knapp, A Cooper, D McLachlan, A Schrinner, P Matic, J Prentice M - Indicates an E&C Committee decision (or minute item), which is included in this document. R - Indicates an E&C Committee recommendation to full Council. Details can be accessed through the Council Minutes, which are available for inspection on Level 2 of the Brisbane Square Library, 266 George Street, Brisbane.

# E & G U 2 / 0 3 - 01 =

### 1.0 FILE NUMBER 202/11-PL770/167

# SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

### 2.0 TITLE

North-South Bypass Tunnel - Land Resumptions

#### 3.0 ISSUE/PURPOSE

To seek approval for the completion of Private Property Land Resumptions

### 4.0 PROPONENT

Scott Stewart Executive Manager, Major Infrastructure Projects Office

### 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

Terry Baker, Property Acquisition Officer, Major Infrastructure Projects Office.

6.0 DATE

16 February 2009.

7.0 FOR E&C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL?

For E&C approval.

8.0 IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR ORDINANCE?

No.

# 9.0 RECOMMENDATION

That E&C approve as per draft recommendation Attachment Attachment

10.0 DIVISIONAL MANAGER

CHAIRPERSON / Support / Reject the Recommendation If rejected please state reason

2009

MAR

Graham Quirk CHAIRMAN INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE

RECEIVED

0 2 MAR 2009

**COMMITTEE SECTION** 

ACTION TAKEN

PM

0'2 MAR 2009

TOWN CLERK TERRY RAVER

Barry Broe DIVISIONAL MANAGER

DIVISIONAL MANAGER BRISBANE INFRASTRUCTURE

Recommend Accordingly ACTING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

# 11.0 BACKGROUND

To allow the North-South Bypass Tunnel to be completed it will be necessary for Council to undertake the resumption of land described in Schedule "A".

Under *The Transport and Other Legislation Amendment Act 2005*, power was given to Council to acquire land for a local government tollway. The NSBT (as proposed by RiverCity Motorway) was gazetted as a local government tollway on 28 July 2006.

On 21 July 2008, the Executive Manager, Major Infrastructure Projects Office, approved the issuing of Notices of Intention to Resume. Subsequently on 20 August 2008, Notices were issued to, but no objections were received from, the property owners in Schedule "A".

In these circumstances it is recommended Council pursue the completion of the formal resumption process in respect of the lands in Schedule A in order to ensure timely acquisition of the land.

Upon the completion of the formal resumption process, the owner's interests in the resumed land are converted into a claim for compensation, pursuant to the provisions of the Acquisition of Land Act 1967.

Negotiations in regard to compensation will continue concurrently with the formal resumption process.

Annexure;

1. Plan referred to in Schedule A

#### 12.0 CONSULTATION

Council has actively sought community feedback regarding development of the proposed layout through a thorough public consultation process. Feedback from the community has been incorporated into the design wherever possible and this feedback has made some significant differences to the proposed layout.

n addition, the following people have been consulted regarding the acquisition of the properties, the subject of this submission:

Councillor Graham Quirk, Chairperson, Infrastructure Committee;

# 0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

It is important that land is acquired in a timely manner to meet contractual obligations.

Δ

# 14.0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

Nil.

# 15.0 CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

This proposal facilitates improvements to the existing public transport network which is consistent with key initiatives of the 2004-2008 Corporate Plan including:

7.1.2.1 Transport Network – Build tunnels. Includes land acquisition for North-South Bypass tunnel.

#### 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPACT

In liaising with the affected property owners prior to and during the resumption process, it is considered that Council has provided an appropriately high level of customer service.

Key customer service improvements on completion of construction will include improved traffic safety and mobility.

#### 17.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

The environmental impact issues associated with the construction will be managed in accordance with the conditions imposed by the Coordinator-General.

### **18.0 POLICY IMPACT**

Recommendations are consistent with Divisional Practice of pursuing volumetric resumption of privately owned land, following full and proper assessment of options and consultation with affected owners.

### 19.0 FINANCIAL IMPACT

Funds for land acquisition were approved in the 2006/2007 budget.

5

# 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

No impact.

URGENCY

Normal course of business

# 22.0 PUBLICITY / MARKETING STRATEGY

Nil.

### 23.0 OPTIONS

1. Approve the recommendation proposed by this submission upon which Brisbane City Legal Practice may make the required applications to the Department of Main Roads for completion of the resumption process.

6

2. Review all the private property requirements associated with the scheme

Option 1 is preferred and recommended.

# E&C02/03-02

### 1.0 FILE NUMBER 112/20/711/87

### SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

### 2.0 TITLE

Kingsford Smith Drive, Gateway to Gateway Deviation - Land Resumptions.

#### 3.0 ISSUE/PURPOSE

To seek approval for the completion of Private Property Land Resumptions.

### 4.0 PROPONENT

Gregg Buyers, A/Executive Manager, Major Infrastructure Projects Office.

#### 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

Terry Baker, Property Acquisition Officer, Major Infrastructure Projects Office.

6.0 DATE

16 February 2009.

7.0 FOR E&C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL?

For E&C approval.

8.0 IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR ORDINANCE?

No.

9.0 RECOMMENDATION

That E&C approve as per recommendation, Attachment A

10.0 DIVISIONAL MANAGER

\_ file

7

A/DIVISIONAL MANAGER

I Recommend Accordingly ACTING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

CHAIRPERSON I Support / Reject the Recommendation

RECEIVED

COMMITTEE SECTION

MAR 2009

Graham Quirk CHAIRMAN INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE

0 2 MAR 2009

ACTION TAKEN

<u>N</u>

TOWN CLERK TERRY BAKER

200

Lord Mayor

# 11.0 BACKGROUND

Kingsford Smith Drive connects the Brisbane CBD, and inner western suburbs via the Inner City Bypass, with the Gateway Motorway, the Brisbane Airport and the residential and industrial suburbs of Breakfast Creek, Hamilton, Ascot, Eagle Farm and Pinkenba.

Kingsford Smith Drive is identified as a Primary Route for future freight movement and as such will come under increasing pressure as large local industrial and commercial developments continue to grow in the immediate area. Transporting road freight through heavily congested roads ultimately results in cost increases to the end user/consumer. Progressive upgrading of Kingsford Smith Drive is planned between 2008 and 2016 in the Transport Plan for Brisbane 2008 - 2026. This project is concerned with the section between the new Gateway duplication and the existing Gateway Motorway. This section is of highest priority due to the planned June 2009 opening of the south facing ramps of the Gateway duplication.

The upgrade will help to improve transport access to the major economic growth area of Australia Trade Coast providing better freight efficiency with greater road capacity to access the north and south connections to the existing Gateway Motorway as well as connections south via the new Gateway Duplication.

Safety will be improved with more effective use of the road space and more efficient movement of people and freight. The Transport Plan states that about 90% of South East Queensland's freight is transported by road and that road freight volumes are expected to double in the next 10 to 15 years.

To allow the Kingsford Smith Drive, Gateway to Gateway Deviation to be constructed it will first be necessary for Council to undertake Land Acquisition, described in Schedule "A".

On 4 December 2008, the Divisional Manager, Brisbane Infrastructure, approved the issuing of Notice of Intention to Resume in respect of the property listed in Schedule "A".

Subsequently on 12 December 2008, a Notice was issued to the property owner listed in Schedule "A". The property owner did not object to the resumption.

In these circumstances, it is recommended Council pursue the completion of the formal resumption process in respect of the land in Schedule "A" in order to ensure timely acquisition of the land.

Upon the completion of the formal resumption process, the owner's interests in both the resumed land and the business carried out on the resumed land are converted into a claim for compensation, pursuant to the provisions of the Acquisition of Land Act 1967.

Negotiations concerning compensation will continue concurrently with the formal resumption process.

8

Attachments:

# 1. Plan referred to in Schedule "A"

2. Locality Map

### 12.0 CONSULTATION

Councillor Graham Quirk, Chairperson, Infrastructure Committee; Alan Evans, Principal, Transport Infrastructure.

### 13.0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

It is important that land is acquired in a timely manner so that the imminent design and construct contract may be awarded.

### 14.0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

Nil.

### 15.0 CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

This proposal facilitates improvements to the existing public transport network, which is consistent with key initiatives of the 2007-2011 Corporate Plan, including:

### 3.3.2.2 Road Action Program.

### 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPACT

In liaising with the affected property owner prior to and during the resumption process, it is considered that Council has provided an appropriately high level of customer service.

Key customer service improvements on completion of construction will include improved traffic safety and mobility.

# 17.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

The environmental impact issues associated with the construction will be managed in accordance with current regulations.

# 18.0 POLICY IMPACT

Recommendations are consistent with Divisional Practice of pursuing resumption of privately owned land, following full and proper assessment of options and consultation with affected owners.

### 19.0 FINANCIAL IMPACT

Funds for land acquisition are available in the 2008/2009 RAP Program budget.

### 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

No impact.

# 21.0 URGENCY

Urgent. Affected landowners have an expectation that Council will progress the acquisition of the required land at the earliest possible opportunity.

# 22.0 PUBLICITY / MARKETING STRATEGY

Nil.

# 23.0 OPTIONS

- 1. Approve the recommendation proposed by this submission upon which Brisbane City Legal Practice may make the required applications to the Department of Natural Resources and Water for completion of the resumption process.
- 2. Review the private property requirement associated with the scheme.

Option 1 is preferred and recommended.

ME

# 1.0 FILE NUMBER 202/10(730)

# SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### 2.0 TITLE

Beenleigh Road Upgrade, Stillers Drive to Millers Road - Land Resumptions.

### 3.0 ISSUE/PURPOSE

To seek approval for the completion of Property Land Resumptions.

#### 4.0 PROPONENT

Gregg Buyers, A/Executive Manager, Major Infrastructure Projects Offic

#### 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

Terry Baker, Property Acquisition Officer, Major Infrastructure Projects Office.

#### 6.0 DATE

9 February 2009.

# 7.0 FOR E&C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIDE Mayor

For E&C approval.

# 8.0 IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OF ORDINANCE?

11

No.

9.0

09

02 MAR 2009

**COMMITTEE SECTION** 

ACTION TAKEN

2009

MAR

0 2 MAR 2009

The E&C approve as per recommendation, Attachment "A"

TOWN CLERK TERRY BAKER

10.0 DIVISIONAL MANAGER

RECOMMENDATION

CHAIRPERSON I Support / Reject the Recommendation If rejected please state reason?

Graham Quirk CHAIRMAN INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE

A/DIVISIONAL MANAGER BRISBANE INFRASTRUCTURE

commend Accordingly ACTING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

# 11.0 BACKGROUND

The area around Beenleigh Road between Stiller Drive and Millers Road has been subject to increased development activity. These developments have added to the general congestion in the immediate area and have changed the Stiller / Beenleigh intersection from a 3 way to a 4 way intersection.

As these developments have occurred land has been set aside for the future upgrade of this section of Beenleigh road to 4 lanes. The subject property is the only privately owned land left on this section of Beenleigh Road that is required to achieve the ultimate 4 lane upgrade.

To allow the Beenleigh Road Upgrade, Stillers Drive to Millers Road to be constructed it will first be necessary for Council to undertake Land Acquisition, described in Schedule "A" and to allow the subdivision of Council land, described in Schedule "B",

On 12 November 2008, the Divisional Manager, Brisbane Infrastructure, approved the issuing of Notice of Intention to Resume in respect of the property listed in Schedule "A".

Subsequently on 4 December 2008, a Notice was issued to the property owner listed in Schedule "A". The property owner did not object to the resumption.

In these circumstances, it is recommended Council pursue the completion of the formal resumption process in respect of the land in Schedule "A" in order to ensure timely acquisition of the land.

Upon the completion of the formal resumption process, the owner's interests in both the resumed land and the business carried out on the resumed land, are converted into a claim for compensation, pursuant to the provisions of the Acquisition of Land Act 1967.

Negotiations concerning compensation will continue concurrently with the formal resumption process.

As part of this scheme it will also be necessary to subdivide Council land, as described in Schedule "B", for road purposes.

#### Annexures:

1. Plans referred to in Schedules "A" & "B"

Locality Map

# 12.0 CONSULTATION

Councillor Graham Quirk, Chairperson, Infrastructure Committee; Alan Evans, Principal, Transport Infrastructure.

# 13.0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

It is important that land is acquired in a timely manner so that the imminent design and construct contract may be awarded.

# 14.0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

Nil.

# 15.0 CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

This proposal facilitates improvements to the existing public transport network, which is consistent with key initiatives of the 2007-2011 Corporate Plan including:

3.3.2.2 Road Action Plan.

# 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPACT

In liaising with the affected property owner prior to and during the resumption process, it is considered that Council has provided an appropriately high level of customer service.

Key customer service improvements on completion of construction will include improved traffic safety and mobility.

### 17.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

The environmental impact issues associated with the construction will be managed in accordance with current regulations.

### 18.0 POLICY IMPACT

Recommendations are consistent with Divisional Practice of pursuing resumption of privately owned land, following full and proper assessment of options and consultation with affected owners.

# 19.0 FINANCIAL IMPACT

Funds for land acquisition are available in the 2008/2009 RAP Program budget.

# 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

No impact.

# 21.0 URGENCY

Urgent. Affected landowners have an expectation that Council will progress the acquisition of the required land at the earliest possible opportunity.

# 22.0 PUBLICITY / MARKETING STRATEGY

Nil.

# 23.0 OPTIONS

1. Approve the recommendation proposed by this submission upon which Brisbane City Legal Practice may make the required applications to the Department of Natural Resources and Water for completion of the resumption process. 2. Review the private property requirement associated with the scheme.

PUBLICATIONSCHEME

14

Option 1 is preferred and recommended.

# E&C02/03-04

1.0 File number

134/325/738/12

# SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### 2.0 Title

Inclusion of Charitable Organisation in Appendix 'A' of the Schedule of Fees and Charges.

#### 3.0 Issue/purpose

To approve the inclusion of Self Help Queensland Inc into Appendix 'A' of the Schedule of Fees and Charges from 30 January 2009.

#### 4.0 Proponent

Ian Maynard, Divisional Manager, Corporate Services Division

#### 5.0 Submission prepared by

Chris Mead, Chief Financial Officer, Corporate Services, extension 34161

6.0 Date 17 February 2009

# 7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

Socordinaly

CUTIVE OFFICER

For E&C Approval

Recommend

ACTING CHIEF EX

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

#### 9.0 Recommendation

That E&C approve the inclusion of Self Help Queensland Inc in Appendix 'A' of the Council's Schedule of Fees and Charges effective from 30 January 2009, being the date of their application.

# RECEIVED

ACTION TAKEN

MAR

2009

0 2 MAR 2009

COMMITTEE SECTION Chairman

0 2 MAR 2009

LINDA RACKERLY.

I Support / Reject the recommendation.

If reject, please state reasons.

Adrian Schrinner CHAIRMAN FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

Divisional Manager

10.0

lan Maynard DIVISONAL MANAGER CORPORATE SERVICES

PL

#### 11.0 Background

On 30 January 2009, Self Help Queensland Inc lodged an application for inclusion in Appendix 'A' of the Schedule of Fees and Charges to the Chief Financial Officer.

In support of their application for concessions, the Association provided the required documentation in the form of a 'Certificate of Registration as a Charity', registration CH 1759.

#### 12.0 Consultation

No broader consultation has taken place. The Chief Financial Officer, being the delegated officer to receive such applications is satisfied that the Association complies with the criteria for inclusion in Appendix 'A' as evidenced by the provision of a Certificate of Registration as a Charity.

#### 13.0 Implications of proposal

Self Help Queensland Inc will become eligible for concessions against certain Fees and Charges as detailed in the Schedule of Fees and Charges document.

#### 14.0 Commercial in confidence

This matter is not commercial in confidence.

#### 15.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

The inclusion of Self Help Queensland Inc in Appendix A' supports the outcomes under "Inclusive Caring Communities."

#### 16.0 Customer impact

Self Help Queensland Inc will have access to a range of Council services at a reduced level which will be more affordable for a charitable organisation.

#### 17.0 Environmental impact

There is no environmental impact.

#### 18.0 Policy impact

There is no policy impact as approving Self Help Queensland Inc inclusion in Appendix 'A' is consistent with existing policy.

#### 9.0 Financial impact

There is no significant financial impact.

#### 20.0 Human resource impact

There is no significant impact.

#### Urgency

21.0

The approval, if granted, may be processed in the normal course of business.

#### 22.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

None required.

#### Options 23.0

| Option 1: | Approve the recommendation.     |
|-----------|---------------------------------|
| Option 2: | Not approve the recommendation. |
| Option 3: | Amend the recommendation.       |

Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here. HENT

-17

- 1

ASF.

;d eria 1 as a

1759.

pendix

۹' is

# E&C02/03-05

# 1.0 FILE NUMBER: 12/51/1(P9)

# SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

2.0 TITLE

Monthly Report - Delegation of Authority to Travel - December, 2008

### 3.0 ISSUE/PURPOSE

Provision of relevant monthly travel report.

#### 4.0 PROPONENT

Ian Maynard, Divisional Manager, Corporate Services

#### 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

Irene Murphy, Travel Co-ordinator, Strategic Procurement Office, ext. 39159

6.0 DATE

04 December, 2008

#### 7.0 FOR E&C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL?

For information purposes.

# 8.0 IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR ORDINANCE?

No.

#### 9.0 RECOMMENDATION

That the Establishment and Co-ordination Committee note the information submitted on approved travel for December, 2008.

Chairman

I Support/Reject the Recommendation

please state reasons

Cr Adrian Schrinner CHAIR FINANCE COMMITTEE

10.0 DIVISIONAL MANAGER

lan Maynard DIVISIONAL MANAGER

MAR



2009

MMITTEE SECTION

ACTION TAKEN

0 2 MAR 2009

TOWN CLERK IRENE MURPHY.

Recommend Accordingly ACTING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

18

# 11.0 BACKGROUND

In pursuance of the request for information on a monthly basis of travel approved under delegation, reports are enclosed for December, 2008, for each Unit of Administration showing travel actually undertaken during that month.

Attachment "A" shows details associated with travel undertaken by non Council employees not covered by the Travel Policy.

In summary, the position is as follows:



19

# 12.0 CONSULTATION

Liaison with Officers undertaking travel and Divisional Travel Officers.

| Liaison | n with Officers undertaking have and Divisional Traver officers. |
|---------|--|
| 13.0    | IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL   |
| Nil.    |  |
| 14.0    | COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE   |
| No      |  |
| 15.0    | VISION/CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT                                     |
| Nil.    |  |
| 16.0    | CUSTOMER IMPACT  |
| Nil.    |  |
| 17.0    | ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT   |
| Nil.    |  |
| 18.0    | POLICY IMPACT  |
| In line | with Council policy.   |
| 19.0    | FINANCIAL IMPACT   |
| Expen   | ses incurred through Divisional Travel Votes.                    |
| 20.0    | HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT  |
| Not ap  | pplicable.   |
| 21.0    | URGENCY  |
| In the  | normal course of business.                                       |
| 22.0    | PUBLICITY/MARKETING STRATEGY                                     |

At the discretion of the Lord Mayor.

# 23.0 OPTIONS

- (1) That E&C note the information submitted on approved Travel for December, 2008.
- (2) Not approve the recommendation.

Option (1) is the preferred option.

If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

# E&C02/03-06

W

2009

MA

Lord Mayor

臣D

1.0 File number

106/335/1553/1

### SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

2.0 Title

Heritage Incentives Scheme (HIS) Approval of Changed Guidelines.

3.0 Issue/purpose

To obtain approval to the Changed Guidelines for the Heritage Incentive Scheme

#### 4.0 Proponent

Michael Papageorgiou, Divisional Manager, City Planning and Sustainability Division

#### 5.0 Submission prepared by

Silvana Grassadonia, Senior Heritage Architect, Heritage Unit, Heritage Incentives, HAHU, ext 35526

6.0 Date

2 March 2009

### 7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Counc

For E&C approval.

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law? RECEIVED ACTION TAKEN

N/A

- 9.0 Recommendation That E&C Committee approve as set out in Attachment "A" 0 2 MAR 2009 0 2 MAR 2009 0 2 MAR 2009 0 2 MAR 2009 COMMITTEE SECTION the Changed Heritage Incentive Scheme Guidelines GRA-SSRD ON HA
- 10.0
- **Divisional Manager**

**Committee Chairman** 

I Support / Reject the recommendation.

If reject, please state reasons

Cr. Amanda Cooper Chairman, Neighbourhood Planning and Development Assessment Committee

Recommend Accordingly ACTING OHEF XECUTIVE OFFICERS

Michael Papageorgiou

City Planning and Sustainability

**Divisional Manager** 

#### 11.0 Background

The Heritage Incentives Scheme (HIS) was established in 2005-06 and since then has operated successfully with the Owners appreciating the free architectural advice as well as the simplicity and speed of accessing HIS Grants.

The HIS has operated informally since that date under a set of Scheme Guidelines.

Currently the existing HIS Scheme Guidelines mandate that any funding allocation for a property can not exceed the following percentages of the eligible conservation work:

- Conservation work costing less than \$10,000, 30% of the project costs, but not exceeding \$3,000
- Conservation work costing more than \$10,000 20% of the project costs, but not exceeding \$15,000.

Research undertaken confirms that it is particularly difficult for non-profit organisations to fund their percentage of costs under the HIS Scheme Guidelines.

It is therefore now proposed to amend those guidelines

- Maintain maximum limit of \$15,000 but to increase the contribution allocation to 40% of the project costs for properties owned by non-profit organisations.
- · Maintain the current allocations for all other privately owned properties.

The proposed changes to the HIS Guidelines should encourage more participation in the scheme by non-profit organisations. These are mostly church and community groups and can be easily identified by their rates status.

The potential increase in \$ (based on the average of the previous financial years' allocations) would be of only approximately \$13,000 per year. More non-profit organisations could take advantage of the grants increasing their share of requests for funding relative to private owners.

Details of the proposed Changed HIS Guidelines and Conditions are set out in Attachment "A".

E&C Committee approval of the Changed HIS Guidelines is therefore requested.

#### 12.0 Consultation

Cr Amanda Cooper, Chairman Neighbourhood Planning and Development Assessment Committee was briefed on 8 December 2008 and is in agreement with the recommendations.

#### 3.0 Implications of proposal

The implications of the proposal are that by increasing to 40% the grant funding provided by the HIS for conservation work on heritage listed properties owned by non-profit organisations, it will encourage these groups to do more conservation work on heritage buildings.

#### 14.0 Commercial in confidence

Nil

#### 15.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

This proposal is in accordance with an initiative in the Corporate Plan theme 'A City Designed for Subtropical Living'. This is identified as 'Promoting and supporting Brisbane's heritage by providing community education, technical and financial assistance to owners of heritage listed properties.'

#### 16.0 Customer impact

Impact on non-profit organisations will be access to greater funding for conservation projects for buildings which are mainly used by the community.

#### 17.0 Environmental impact

The proposal will assist in protecting Brisbane's valued built environment

#### 18.0 Policy impact

The proposal complies with Council policy of protecting Brisbane's heritage.

#### 19.0 Financial impact

The Heritage Incentives Scheme is a Rolling Project, with expenditure approved by Council for 2008-2009 and future expenditure allocated for the years 2009-2010, 2010-2011 and 2011-2012. These allocations are within current budget limits.

#### 20.0 Human resource impact

Nil

21.0 Urgency

In the normal course of busines

#### 22.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

Publicity may be appropriate on completion of conservation projects and payment of grants.

#### 23.0 Options

That the E&C Committee

Option 1 Approve the recommendation

Option 2 Not approve the recommendation

Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

# E&C02/03-10°

# SUBMISSION NUMBER

# 1.0 FILE NUMBER: 243/98-37/2003/2004

#### SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### 2.0 TITLE

Stores Board Submission for Provision of the Hi Affinity Billing System for Water Retail, including ongoing licences, maintenance, support and additional services.

#### 3.0 ISSUE/PURPOSE

To seek approval of the Establishment & Coordination Committee, in relation to the Provision of the Hi Affinity Billing System for Water Retail, to increase the total allowable estimated contract sum and to enter into a new Support and Services Agreement.

#### 4.0 PROPONENT

Jude Munro, Chief Executive Officer

#### 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

David Cox Corporate Risk Manager Corporate Services Division

#### 6.0 DATE

25 February 2009.

### 7.0 E & C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL?

For E&C approval.

#### 8.0 IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR ORDINANCE?

No.

# 9.0 RECOMMENDATION

That the Establishment and Co-ordination Committee approve the attached submission.

10.0

David Cox Corporate Risk Manager Corporate Services Division

Jude Munro

Chief Executive Officer

OVED

2009

rd Mayor

#### RECENTED

# **ACTION TAKEN**

0 2 MAR 2009

0 2 MAR 2009

G:\SP\Crm\109 CORP MGT\520 Meetings\0\Stores BGAMMDEFFile SECTION 2009\HiAffinity\20090219\_SoleSourceSubmission\_HiAffinity\_v1\_08\_LD final\_doc

TOWN CLERK KAREN THORPE

# 11.0 BACKGROUND

The Chief Executive Officer and the Stores Board considered the attached scheduled submission on 25 February 2009.

The submission is referred to E&C for approval as it is considered the most advantageous outcome for the provision of the required services:

CONTRACT NO.

BW37/03-04 Provision of the Hi Affinity Billing System for Water Retail, including ongoing licences, maintenance, support and additional services.

CONTRACT TITLE

Within E&C Delegation

REASON FOR SUBMISSION

#### 12.0 CONSULTATION

The Chief Executive Officer and Permanent Head of the Unit of Administration responsible for the submission, Stores Board and relevant Divisional Officers have been consulted and are in agreement with the recommendation.

#### 13.0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

The recommended process will provide the most advantageous outcome for Council.

#### 14.0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

No.

### 15.0 CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

The recommendation of this submission will contribute to the efficient management of the City's resources.

#### 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPACT

The submission covers the carrying out of work or supply of goods and services to meet Council approved programs.

# 7.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Tenderers are evaluated in line with Council's policy on the use of environmental friendly and recycled products and environmental considerations have been taken into account in the evaluation of tenders relating to these submissions, where applicable.

# 18.0 POLICY IMPACT

Submitted in accordance with Council's Procedures for Procurement, Contracting and Tendering.

C:\DOCUME~1\047675\LOCALS~1\Temp\20090219\_SoleSourceSubmission\_HiAffinity\_v1\_08 \_LD final\_.doc

# 19.0 FINANCIAL IMPACT

Financial details are included in the Divisional submission.

# 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

Not Applicable.

#### 21.0 URGENCY

As soon as possible.

#### 22.0 PUBLICITY

As required.

#### 23.0 OPTIONS

Option 1: That the E&C approve the recommendation.

5

Option 2: Not approve the recommendation.

Option 1 is the preferred option.

C:\DOCUME~1\047675\LOCALS~1\Temp\20090219\_SoleSourceSubmission\_HiAffinity\_v1\_08 \_LD final\_.doc

# E&CUZ/US-IZ

# SUBMISSION NUMBER

# 1.0 FILE NUMBER

BT 812/15/101

# SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### 2.0 TITLE

Bus Build Project – Approval of further formal commercial arrangements for the Bus Build Project.

### 3.0 ISSUE/PURPOSE

To approve the entry by Council into further formal commercial arrangements for the Bus Build Project.

#### 4.0 PROPONENT

Ian Maynard Divisional Manager Corporate Services Division

#### Alan Warren

Divisional Manager Brisbane Transport

### 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

Karyn Wernham

#### 6.0 DATE

25<sup>th</sup> February 2009

# 7.0 FOR E&C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

E&C Approval

# 8.0 IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR ORDINANCE?

No.

# 9.0 RECOMMENDATION

That E&C, As Council's delegate, make the determinations in accordance with the draft determination in Attachment "A".

DIVISIONAL MANAGER 10.0 Alan Warren Ian Maynard Divisional Manager **Divisional Manager** Corporate Services Division Brisbane Transpor Becommend Accordingly um *....* ACTING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER Cr Jane Prentice AND TRANSPORT CHAIRPERSON PUBLIC AND ECONOMIC **DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE** Jane Heni ED R 2009 I Support / Reject the Recommendation/s. If Reject - please state reasons: Lord Mayor RECEIVE 0 2 MAR 2000 Cr Adrian Schrinner CHAIRMAN FINANCE & ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE COMMITTEE SE. ACTION TAKEN 0 2 MAR 2009 TOWN CLERK I Support / Reject the Recommendation/s. KARYN WERNHAM If Reject - please state reasons:

# 11.0 BACKGROUND

On 14 April 2008, the Establishment and Coordination Committee (E&C) approved the entering into a Heads of Agreement with Volgren Australia Pty Ltd (Volgren) for the **Bus Build Project**.

- On 16 September 2008, Council:
- (a) resolved, pursuant to Section 498 of the Local Government Act 1993 (Qld), to engage in the Bus Build enterprise by way of establishing a company, Brisbane Bus Build Pty Ltd, the shareholders of which will be Council and Volgren;
- (b) approved the entry into the core documents of the Bus Build Project, namely: the Head Supply Agreement, the Constitution and Shareholders' Deed for Brisbane Bus Build Pty Ltd; and
- (c) pursuant to Section 39B of the City of Brisbane Act 1924 (Qld), delegated to E&C the power to approve the entry by Council into the all documentation necessary for the Bus Build Project other than the core documentations approved by Council.

The documentation necessary for the Bus Build Project other than the core documents previously approved by Council to be entered into by Council are as follows:

- 1. Head Lease of Bus Build Site
- 2. Deed of Pre-emption;
- 3. Transition of Permanent Employees Agreement, and
- 4. Plant and Equipment (P&E) Sale Agreement for the sale of Brisbane Transport and existing specified bus build section's plant and equipment. This Agreement is subject to P&E list and their associated values being finalised closer to sale date.

A summary of the Bus Build Project total suite of documentation including the documentation referred to above is set out in Attachment "B"

This submission seeks approval from E&C, as Council's delegate, for Council to enter into the documents tabled and referred to in paragraphs numbered 1 to 4 above.

The documents comprising the Bus Build Project suite of documentation will not come into formal effect until all Bus Build Project Documentation as specified in the condition precedent contained in the various documentation have been satisfied or waived by both parties.

#### 12.0 CONSULTATION

Cr Jane Prentice, Chairperson Public and Active Transport and Economic Development

Cr Adrian Schrinner, Chairman Finance & Administration Committee

lan Maynard, Divisional Manager Corporate Services

Alan Warren, Divisional Manager Brisbane Transport

David Askern, Manager Brisbane City Legal Practice

All consulted are in agreement with the recommendation.

# 13.0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

Approval of this submission will enable the documents necessary for the Bus Build Project other than the core documents previously approved by Council to be finalized and executed to enable work to continue to finalise the remaining documentation for the Bus Build Project between the company, Brisbane Bus Build Pty Ltd, and Council or Volgren, as the case may be, to be finalised and entered into.

# 14.0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

The terms and conditions of the Bus Build Project suite of documentation are Commercial in Confidence.

# 15.0 CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

The proposal will support Moving Brisbane – Program 3 of Council's Corporate Plan and, in particular, Outcome 3.2 Public Transport.

# 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPACT

Approval of this submission will:

- enable the Bus Build Project to proceed and enhance the delivery of improved bus services to the citizens of Brisbane; and
- demonstrate continued action in meeting Council's commitment to local residents in relocating bus building activities from the bus building facility at Toowong.

# 17.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

One of the key objectives in the Bus Build Project is to ensure environmental and safety best practice. The bus building site and facility must demonstrate environmental compliance and demonstrate measurable progress towards achieving Brisbane 2026 environmental aspirations.

#### 18.0 POLICY IMPACT

Approval of this submission is a key action in the continuation of the Bus Build Project.

#### 19.0 FINANCIAL IMPACT

The commercial arrangements under the Bus Build Project have the following financial impacts.

|                         |                         | ledacieu |    |   |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----|---|
|                         |                         |          |    |   |
|                         |                         | redacted |    |   |
| 5                       |                         |          |    |   |
|                         | $\circ$ $\circ$ $\circ$ | redacted |    |   |
|                         |                         |          | ). |   |
| -                       |                         | redacted |    |   |
|                         |                         |          |    |   |
| Ch                      |                         | redacted |    |   |
|                         | <u> </u>                |          |    |   |
|                         | N                       |          |    |   |
|                         |                         |          |    |   |
|                         | •                       | redacted |    |   |
|                         |                         |          |    |   |
|                         |                         |          |    |   |
|                         |                         |          |    | 8 |
|                         |                         |          |    |   |
|                         |                         |          |    |   |
|                         |                         |          |    |   |
| ACCESSION THE ACCESSION |                         | 30       |    |   |

# 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

The Bus Build Project includes an objective to support Council's bus building workforce. The Bus Build Project will require the development of a detailed transition and operations plan. A key element of this plan will be the transition of Council's bus build permanent staff into the new labour company, Brisbane Bus Build Pty Ltd.

# 21.0 URGENCY

Approval of this submission will provide for the continuation of the Bus Build Project in line with timelines presented previously to E&C and contained in the Heads of Agreement.

# 22.0 PUBLICITY/MARKETING

At the discretion of the Lord Mayor

#### 23.0 OPTIONS

Option 1: Approve the recommendation.

Option 2: Do not approve the recommendation.

Option 3: Approve the recommendation on an amended bas

#### Option (1) is the recommended option.

Attachment "A" – Draft Determination Attachment "B" - Bus Build Project suite of documentation

# E&C02/03-13

# SUBMISSION NUMBER

#### 1.0 FILE NUMBER

BT 812/15/101

#### SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE

### 2.0 TITLE

Bus Build Project – Appointment of Brisbane Bus Build Pty Ltd Council appointed Directors and Council Management Committee Members under the Head Supply Agreement.

#### 3.0 ISSUE/PURPOSE

To:

- (a) appoint Council appointed Directors for Brisbane Bus Build Pty Ltd, and
- (b) appoint Council Management Committee Members under the Head Supply Agreement entered into between Council and Volgren Australia Pty Ltd.

#### 4.0 PROPONENT

#### lan Maynard

Divisional Manager Corporate Services Division

#### 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

Karyn Wernham Solicitor Brisbane City Legal Practice

#### 6.0 DATE

No.

25<sup>th</sup> February 2009

7.0 FOR E&C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

E&C Approval

8.0 IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR ORDINANCE?

# 9.0 RECOMMENDATION

That E&C make the determinations in accordance with the draft determination in Attachment "A".

2 MAR

Lord Mayor

2009

10.0 DIVISIONAL MANAGER

lan Maynard Divisional Manager Corporate Services Division

coordingly Recommend .......... ACTING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Cr Jane Prentice CHAIRPERSON PUBLIC AND ACTIVE TRANSPORT AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

RECEIVED

0 2 MAR 2009

COMMITTEE SECTION

Support/ Reject the Recommendation/s.

If Reject - please state reasons:

# ACTION TAKEN

0 2 MAR 2009

TOWN CLERK KARYN ~ER~HAM

Cr Adrian Schrinner CHAIRMAN FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

I Support / Reject the Recommendation/s.

If Reject - please state reasons:

33

# 11.0 BACKGROUND

On 14 April 2008, the Establishment and Co-ordination Committee (E&C) approved the entering into a Heads of Agreement with Volgren Australia Pty Ltd (Volgren) for the **Bus Build Project**.

On 16 September 2008, Council:

- (a) resolved, pursuant to Section 498 of the Local Government Act 1993 (Qld), to engage in the Bus Build enterprise by way of establishing a company, Brisbane Bus Build Pty Ltd, the shareholders of which will be Council and Volgren;
- (b) approved the entry into the core documents of the Bus Build Project, namely the Head Supply Agreement, the Constitution and Shareholders' Deed for Brisbane Bus Build Pty Ltd; and
- (c) pursuant to Section 39B of the City of Brisbane Act 1924 (Qld), delegated to E&C the power to approve the entry by Council into all documentation necessary for the Bus Build Project other than the core documents approved by Council,

The documentation necessary for the Bus Build Project other than the core documents previously approved by Council to be entered into by Council are still in the process of being negotiated.

#### Appointment of Council appointed Directors for Brisbane Bus Build Pty Ltd

The Shareholders' Deed for Brisbane Bus Build Pty Ltd provides that:

(a) under clause 4.2, each shareholder may appoint 2 directors with qualifications which are appropriate having regard to the nature of the Business.

The proponents submit that the following persons, for the time being, be appointed by Council pursuant to clause 4.2 of the Shareholders' Deed.

- (i) Alan Warren Divisional Manager, Brisbane Transport; and
- (ii) Ian Maynard Divisional Manager, Corporate Services.

The proposed persons have provided their consent to being appointed by Council to act as directors for Brisbane Bus Build Pty Ltd

Volgren has advised Council officers that their appointed directors are to be:

- (i) Peter Dale, Managing Director, Volgren; and
- (ii) Michael Salmon, Financial Controller, Volgren.
- (b) under clause 4.3, the shareholders may jointly appoint a fifth Director who is independent of both shareholders, who will also act as Chairman of the Board of Directors. The independent Director/Chairman must have business experience which is appropriate having regard to the nature of the Business (which may include Directorships within the bus build industry or other similar industries)

For the purpose of incorporation and operation of the company, the fifth independent director is not necessary. As at the date of this submission, Council and Volgren have not yet considered and agreed who should be invited to act as the independent (5<sup>th</sup>) Director.

#### Appointment of Council Management Committee Members

Pursuant to clause 13.1 of the Head Supply Agreement ("HSA") entered into between Council and Volgren, each party is to appoint 2 senior representatives to be their Management Committee Members. The meeting and decision-making protocols and duties of the Management Committee are set out in clauses 13.2 and 13.3 of the HSA. The proponents submit that the following persons, for the time being, be appointed by Council as the Council Management Committee Members, pursuant to clause 13.1 of the HSA:

- (i) Alan Warren Divisional Manager, Brisbane Transport; and
- (ii) Ian Maynard Divisional Manager, Corporate Services.

# 12.0 CONSULTATION

Cr Jane Prentice, Chairperson Public and Active Transport and Economic Development Committee

ME

Cr Adrian Schrinner, Chairman Finance & Administration Committee

Ian Maynard, Divisional Manager Corporate Services

Alan Warren, Divisional Manager Brisbane Transport

David Askern, Chief Legal Counsel

All consulted are in agreement with the recommendation.

# 13.0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

Approval of this submission will enable the appointment of the Council appointed Directors for Brisbane Bus Build Pty Ltd which will then enable that company to be incorporated as well as enable the appointment of the Council Management Committee Members required to be appointed under the Head Supply Agreement entered into between Council and Volgren.

#### 14.0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

The terms and conditions of the Bus Build Project suite of documentation are Commercial in Confidence.

### 15.0 CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

The proposal will support Moving Brisbane – Program 3 of Council's Corporate Plan and, in particular, Outcome 3.2 Public Transport.

# 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPACT

Approval of this submission will:

enable the Bus Build Project to proceed and enhance the delivery of improved bus services to the citizens of Brisbane; and

demonstrate continued action in meeting Council's commitment to local residents in relocating bus building activities from the bus building facility at Toowong.

# 17.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

One of the key objectives in the Bus Build Project is to ensure environmental and safety best practice. The bus building site and facility must demonstrate environmental compliance and demonstrate measurable progress towards achieving Brisbane 2026 environmental aspirations.

# 18.0 POLICY IMPACT

Approval of this submission is a key action in the continuation of the Bus Build Project.

# 19.0 FINANCIAL IMPACT

The commercial arrangements under the Bus Build Project have the following financial impacts.

redacted

redacted

redacted

# 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

The Bus Build Project includes an objective to support Council's bus building workforce. The Bus Build Project will require the development of a detailed transition and operations plan. A key element of this plan will be the transition of Council's bus build permanent staff into the new labour company, Brisbane Bus Build Pty Ltd.

#### 21.0 URGENCY

Approval of this submission will provide for the continuation of the Bus Build Project in line with timelines presented previously to E&C and contained in the Heads of Agreement.

#### 22.0 PUBLICITY/MARKETING

At the discretion of the Lord Mayor

#### 23.0 OPTIONS

- Option 1: Approve the recommendation.
- Option 2: Do not approve the recommendation.
- Option 3: Approve the recommendation on an amended basis,

#### Option (1) is the recommended option.

Attachment "A" - Draft Determination
|                     | FILE NO.         | DIV.                       | TITLE   | Result |
|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------|---|--------|
| SUB NO.<br>09/03-01 | 467/34(0)        | Brisbane<br>Infrastructure | Airport Link – Relinquish Council's representation on the board of City North Infrastructure Pty Ltd.   | Yes    |
| M<br>09/03-02<br>R  | 28/2(P6)         | Corporate<br>Services      | Funding a deficit in Defined Benefit<br>section of Brisbane City Council<br>Superannuation Plan   | Yes    |
| 09/03-03            | 131/800/148/1    | C P &S                     | 2008-09 Budget – Additional Capital<br>Expenditure for Landfill Remediation<br>Schedule and Associated Funding<br>Strategy  | Held   |
| 09/03-04<br>M       | 194/830/826/7    | OLMCEO                     | Stores Board Submission – Design,<br>Construction and Commissioning of<br>Two Final Settling, Tanks and<br>associated works at the Gibson Island<br>Water Reclamation Plant | Yes    |
| 09/03-05<br>R       | 376/6/40(P4)     | OLMCEO                     | Contracts and Tendering – Report to<br>Council of Contracts accepted by<br>Delegates (January 2009)   | Yes    |
| 09/03-06<br>M       | 109/830/826/19   | OLMCEO                     | Stores Board Submission – Provision<br>Grass Cutting Services   | Yes    |
| 09/03-07<br>M       | WD90442-08/09    | OLMCEO                     | Stores Board Submission for the<br>Supply of Piped Natural Gas to Oxley<br>Creek Water Reclamation Plant<br>(WRP)   | Yes    |
| 09/03-08<br>M       | 194/830/826/5    | OLMCEO                     | Stores Board Submission for Supply<br>and Delivery of Luggage Point Water<br>Reclamation Plant – Microfilter<br>Replacement   | Yes    |
| 09/03-09<br>M       | 140/465/1002/47  | FaCS                       | Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act –<br>Legislative Review Submission   | Yes    |
| 09/03-10<br>R       | 152/160/128/8    | CP&S                       | City Plan Review – Statement of<br>Proposals (SoP)  | Yes    |
| 09/03-11<br>R       | 194/800/286/8    | Water<br>Distribution      | Approval for additional funding for<br>construction of twin 450mm water<br>mains for the Hale Street Link, using<br>the Hale Street Link Alliance (HSLA)                    | Yes    |
| Walk-in<br>M        | 164/305/6357-756 | OLMCEO                     | Executive Contract  | Yes    |
| Valk-in<br>M        | 164/305/6357-333 | OLMCEO                     | Executive Contract  | Yes    |

Present: Lord Mayor Campbell Newman, G M Quirk, G Knapp, A Cooper, D McLachlan, A Schrinner, P Matic, J Prentice

M - Indicates an E&C Committee decision (or minute item), which is included in this document. R - Indicates an E&C Committee recommendation to full Council. Details can be accessed through the Council Minutes, which are available for inspection on Level 2 of the Brisbane Square Library, 266 George Street, Brisbane.

# 1.0 FILE NUMBER: 467/34(0)

# E&C09/03-01

# SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

## 2.0 TITLE

Airport Link – Relinquish Council's representation on the board of City North Infrastructure Pty Ltd.

## 3.0 ISSUE/PURPOSE

To approve the recommendation to relinquish Council's representation on the board of City North Infrastructure Pty Ltd.

## 4.0 PROPONENT

Jude Munro, Chief Executive Officer.

## 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

Scott Stewart, Executive Manager, Major Infrastructure Projects Office, Extension 37652.

## 6.0 DATE

3 March 2009.

## 7.0 FOR E&C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

For E&C approval.

8.0 IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR LOCAL LAW?

No.

# 9.0 RECOMMENDATION

That E&C resolve as follows:

- (a) To approve the relinquishment of Council's representation on the board of City North Infrastructure Pty Ltd; and
- (b) Authorise the Chief Executive Officer to formally advise the Coordinator-General of this decision.

## 10.0 DIVISIONAL MANAGER

BurryBoe

Barry Broe DIVISIONAL MANAGER BRISBANE INFRASTRUCTURE

Graham Quirk CHAIRPERSON INFRASTRUCTURE

I Support / Reject the Recommendation/s.

If Reject - please state reasons:

I Recommend Accordingh

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

RECEIVED

NK-

2009

MAR

ord Ma

0 9 MAR 2009

**COMMITTEE SECTION** 

# **ACTION TAKEN**

0 9 MAR 2009

TOWN CLERK JUDY GOLD.

C\DOCUME~1\027610\LOCALS~1\Temp\20090303 E&C - Withdrawl from CNI Board\_090303\_final.docC:\Documents and Settings\086051\Desktop\090302 E&C - Withdrawl from CNI Board.doc

# 11.0 BACKGROUND

In a letter dated 16 November 2006 to the Honorable Anna Bligh MP, then Deputy Premier of Queensland, the Lord Mayor set out the details of a number of contributions Council would make to the Airport Link project. Among these, the Lord Mayor stated that Civic Cabinet had endorsed the Executive Manager - Major Infrastructure Projects Office, Mr. Scott Stewart, to be Council's representative on the 'Airport Link Special Purpose Vehicle ('SPV') Board'.

The SPV is City North Infrastructure Pty Ltd ('CNI'), a wholly State Government-owned company established to manage the procurement of nominated State projects. Currently this includes the Airport Link and Northern Busway (Windsor to Kedron) projects.

It is now considered timely to relinquish Council's representation on CNI's board.

Letters of resignation were sent on 2 March 2009 for the individual Council nominees (including alternate nominee, Steve Hammer, Manager Contracts – Major Infrastructure Projects Office). It is also necessary to relinquish Council's position on the board.

## 12.0 CONSULTATION

David Askern, Chief Legal Gounsel, Member Project Finalisation Committee has been consulted and agrees with this submission.

## 13.0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

Approval of the recommendation will result in no direct Council involvement at board level of the aforementioned company.

## 14.0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

15.0 CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

16.0 161

## 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPACT

Nil.

Nil

## 17.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Nil.

C:IDOCUME~1\027610\LOCALS~1\Temp\20090303 E&C - Withdrawl from CNI Board\_090303\_final.docC:\Documents and Settings\086051\Desktop\090302 E&C - Withdrawl from CNI Board.doc

# 18.0 POLICY IMPACT

Nil.

## 19.0 FINANCIAL IMPACT

Nil.

## 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

The Council nominee will no longer have any direct obligations in relation to the CNI board.

## 21.0 URGENCY

It is considered advantageous to give effect to the recommendation as soon as practicable.

•

### 22.0 PUBLICITY/MARKETING

- Nil.
- 23.0
- 23.0 OPTIONS

Option 1: Accept the recommendation.

Option 2: Not accept the recommendation.

Option 3: Amend the recommendation

Option (1) is the preferred option.

# E&C09/03-04

# SUBMISSION NUMBER

## 1.0 FILE NUMBER: 194/830/826/7

## SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

## 2.0 TITLE

Stores Board Submission - Design, Construction and Commissioning of Two Final Settling Tanks and associated works at the Gibson Island Water Reclamation Plant.

#### 3.0 ISSUE/PURPOSE

To seek approval from the Establishment & Coordination Committee to enter into a Contract with Thomas and Coffey Limited ACN 60 000 263 678 (herein referred to as 'Thomas and Coffey Limited') for the contract sum of \$13,146,985 (excl GST) on a fixed lump sum price basis for the Design, Construction and Commissioning of Two Final Settling Tanks and associated works at the Gibson Island Water Reclamation Plant for Council.

#### 4.0 PROPONENT

Michael Papageorgiou, A/Chief Executive Officer.

### 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

David Cox Corporate Risk Manager Corporate Services Division

6.0 DATE

3 March 2009.

7.0 E & C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL?

For E&C Approval

## 8.0 IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR LOCAL LAW? RECEIVED ACTION TAKEN

No.

9.0

10.0

0 9 MAR 2009

0 9 MAR 2009

## RECOMMENDATION

## COMMITTEE SECTION

TOWN CLERK KAREN THORPE

That the Establishment and Co-ordination Committee approve the attached ubmission.

David Cox Corporate Risk Manager Corporate Services Division

Lord Mavor

Michael Papageorgiou A/Chief Executive Officer

G:\SP\Crm\109 CORP MGT\520 Meetings\0\Stores Board\750 - 3 March 2009\Gibson Island\BW 80207 Post Market Gibson Island Opt V12 PB amendments v3.doc

# 11.0 BACKGROUND

The Chief Executive Officer and the Stores Board considered the attached scheduled submission on 3 March 2009.

The submission is referred to E&C as it is considered the most advantageous outcome for the provision of the required services:

CONTRACT CONTRACT TITLE NO.

REASON FOR SUBMISSION

Within E&C Delegation

BW80207-07/08

Besign, Construction and Commissioning of Two Final Settling Tanks and associated works at the Gibson Island Water Reclamation Plant

#### 12.0 CONSULTATION

The Chief Executive Officer and Permanent Head of the Unit of Administration responsible for the submission, Stores Board and relevant Divisional Officers have been consulted and are in agreement with the recommendation.

### 13.0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

The recommended process will provide the most advantageous outcome for Council.

### 14.0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

No.

### 15.0 VISION/CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

The recommendation of this submission will contribute to the efficient management of the City's resources.

### 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPAC

The submission covers the carrying out of work or supply of goods and services to meet Council approved programs.

## 17.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Tenderers are evaluated in line with Council's policy on the use of environmentally friendly and recycled products and environmental considerations have been taken into account in the evaluation of tenders relating to these submissions, where applicable.

## .0 POLICY IMPACT

Submitted in accordance with Council's Procedures for Procurement, Contracting and Tendering.

G:\SP\Crm\109 CORP MGT\520 Meetings\0\Stores Board\750 - 3 March 2009\Gibson Island\BW 80207 Post Market Gibson Island Opt V12 PB amendments v3.doc

Water Distribution

# 19.0 FINANCIAL IMPACT

Financial details are included in the Divisional submission.

# 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

Not Applicable.

## 21.0 URGENCY

As soon as possible.

### 22.0 PUBLICITY/MARKETING STRATEGY

As required.

### 23.0 OPTIONS

Option 1: That the E&C approve the recommendation

Option 2: Not approve the recommendation.

### Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

SHENK

G:\SP\Crm\109 CORP MGT\520 Meetings\0\Stores Board\750 - 3 March 2009\Gibson Island\BW 80207 Post Market Gibson Island Opt V12 PB amendments v3.doc

# SUBMISSION NUMBER

# E&C09/03-06

200

ord Mayor

#### FILE NUMBER: 109/830/826/19 1.0

## SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### TITLE 2.0

Stores Board Submission - Provision of Grass Cutting Services.

#### ISSUE/PURPOSE 3.0

To seek Establishment and Coordination Committee approval of a Signific Procurement Activity Plan in relation to the establishment of Contracts for Services and a Register of Pre-Qualified Suppliers under the Procurement Manual pursuant to the City of Brisbane Act 1924, for the Provision of Grass Cutting Services for the whole of Council.

#### PROPONENT 4.0

Michael Papageorgiou, A/Chief Executive Officer.

#### SUBMISSION PREPARED BY 5.0

David Cox Corporate Risk Manager **Corporate Services Division** 

#### 6.0 DATE

3 March 2009.

E & C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL? 7.0

For E&C Approval.

#### IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED 8.0 UNDER AN ACT OR LOCAL LAW?

No.

#### 9.0 RECOMMENDATION

hat the Establishment and Co-ordination Committee approve the attached submission.

David Cox 10.0 Corporate Risk Manager Corporate Services Division

Michael Papageorgiou A/Chief Executive Officer

RECEIVED

## 0 9 MAR 2009

# ACTION TAKEN

0 9 MAR 2009

## COMMITTEE SECTION

TOWN CLERK KAREN THORF

1

G:\SP\Crm\109 CORP MGT\520 Meetings\0\Stores Board\750 - 3 March 2009\Grass Cutting Services\Grass Cutting\_ Premarket\_v9\_.doc

## 11.0 BACKGROUND

The Chief Executive Officer and the Stores Board considered the attached scheduled submission on 3 March 2009.

The submission is referred to E&C as it is considered the most advantageous outcome for the provision of the required services:

CONTRACT NO.

## CONTRACT TITLE

REASON FOR SUBMISSION

Within E&C

Delegation

A90429- Provision of Grass Cutting Services 08/09

#### 12.0 CONSULTATION

The Chief Executive Officer and Permanent Head of the Unit of Administration responsible for the submission, Stores Board and relevant Divisional Officers have been consulted and are in agreement with the recommendation.

#### 13.0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

The recommended process will provide the most advantageous outcome for Council.

### 14.0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

No.

### 15.0 VISION/CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

The recommendation of this submission will contribute to the efficient management of the City's resources.

## 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPACT

The submission covers the carrying out of work or supply of goods and services to meet Council approved programs.

## 17.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Tenderers are evaluated in line with Council's policy on the use of environmentally friendly and recycled products and environmental considerations have been taken into account in the evaluation of tenders relating to these submissions, where applicable.

### POLICY IMPACT

Submitted in accordance with Council's Procedures for Procurement, Contracting and Tendering.

## 19.0 FINANCIAL IMPACT

Financial details are included in the Divisional submission.

47

## 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

Not Applicable.

## 21.0 URGENCY

As soon as possible.

22.0 PUBLICITY/MARKETING STRATEGY

As required.

### 23.0 OPTIONS

Option 1: That the E&C approve the recommendation.

Option 2: Not approve the recommendation.

### Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

3

ENF

# FILE NUMBER: WD90442-08/09

# E&C09/03-07

## SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### 2.0 TITLE

1.0

Stores Board Submission for the Supply of Piped Natural Gas to Oxley Creek Water Reclamation Plant (WRP).

## 3.0 ISSUE/PURPOSE

To seek approval of the Establishment & Coordination Committee to directly enter into a Contract with AGL Sales (Queensland) Pty Ltd (AGL) for the provision of piped natural gas to the Oxley Creek Water Reclamation Plant (WRP) without seeking competitive tenders from industry in accordance with Section 2.3, Sole or Restricted Tendering, of the Procurement Manual pursuant to the City of Brisbane Act 1924.

#### 4.0 PROPONENT

Jude Munro, Chief Executive Officer

#### 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

David Cox Corporate Risk Manager Corporate Services Division

#### 6.0 DATE

25 February 2009.

## 7.0 E & C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL?

For E&C approval.

### 8.0 IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR ORDINANCE? RECEIVED ACTION TAKEN

No.

0 9 MAR 2009

## 0.0 RECOMMENDATION

### COMMITTEE SECTION

## TOWN CLERK

0 9 MAR 2009

That the Establishment and Co-ordination Committee approve the attached submission.

10.0 David Cox **Corporate Risk Manager** Corporate Services Division.

Jude Munro Chief Executive Officer

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

ord Mayor

G:\SP\Crm\109 CORP MGT\520 Meetings\0\Stores Board\749 - 25 February 2009\Oxley Gas\25-2-2009 Sole Sourcing submission for Oxley Gas v4 ameded PB LA19022009. v2.doc

#### BACKGROUND 11.0

The Chief Executive Officer and the Stores Board considered the attached scheduled submission on 25 February 2009.

The submission is referred to E&C for approval as it is considered the most advantageous outcome for the provision of the required services:

CONTRACT NO.

Supply of Piped Natural Gas to WD90442-Oxley Creek Water Reclamation Plant (WRP)

CONTRACT TITLE

REASON FOR SUBMISSION Within E&C Delegation

CONSULTATION

08/09

12.0

# The Chief Executive Officer and Permanent Head of the Unit of Administration

responsible for the submission, Stores Board and relevant Divisional Officers have been consulted and are in agreement with the recommendation.

#### IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL 13.0

The recommended process will provide the most advantageous outcome for Council.

#### COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE 14.0

No.

#### CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT 15.0

The recommendation of this submission will contribute to the efficient management of the City's resources.

#### 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPACT

The submission covers the carrying out of work or supply of goods and services to meet Council approved programs

#### 17.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Tenderers are evaluated in line with Council's policy on the use of environmental friendly and recycled products and environmental considerations have been taken into account in the evaluation of tenders relating to these submissions, where applicable.

## POLICY IMPACT

Submitted in accordance with Council's Procedures for Procurement, Contracting and Tendering.

#### 19.0 FINANCIAL IMPACT

Financial details are included in the Divisional submission.

#### 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

Not Applicable.

G:\SP\Crm\109 CORP MGT\520 Meetings\0\Stores Board\749 - 25 February 2009\Oxley Gas\25-2-2009 Sole Sourcing submission for Oxley Gas v4 ameded PB LA19022009. v2.doc

#### URGENCY 21.0

As soon as possible.

#### PUBLICITY 22.0

As required.

#### OPTIONS 23.0

CHEME Option 1: That the E&C approve the recommendation.

Option 2: Not approve the recommendation.

Option 1 is the preferred option.

G:\SP\Crm\109 CORP MGT\520 Meetings\0\Stores Board\749 - 25 February 2009\Oxley Gas\25-2-2009 Sole Sourcing submission for Oxley Gas v4 ameded PB LA19022009. v2.doc

SF

#### SUBMISSION NUMBER

#### 1.0 FILE NUMBER: 194/830/826/5

# SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION

#### 2.0 <u>TITLE</u>

Stores Board Submission for Supply and Delivery of Luggage Point Water Reclamation Plant – Microfilter Replacement.

#### 3.0 ISSUE/PURPOSE

To seek approval of the Establishment & Coordination Committee to directly enter into a Contract with Pall Corporation trading as Pall Australia (Pall) for the provision of 396 UNA620 Microfiltration (MF) membranes and associated accessories at the MF/RO plant sited at Luggage Point Water Reclamation Plant (WRP).

### 4.0 PROPONENT

Jude Munro, Chief Executive Officer

#### 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

David Cox Corporate Risk Manager Corporate Services Division

6.0 DATE

No.

25 February 2009.

7.0 E & C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL?

For E&C approval.

## 8.0 IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR ORDINANCE?

0 9 MAR 2009

ACTION TAKEN

### RECOMMENDATION

0 9 MAR 2009

0 0 MAIL 2009

COMMITTEE SECTION TOWN CLERK That the Establishment and Co-ordination Committee approve the attached KALEN submission.

10.0 David Cox Corporate Risk Manager Corporate Services Division

Lord Mayor

Jude Munro Chief Executive Officer

G:\SP\Crm\109 CORP MGT\520 Meetings\0\Stores Board\749 - 25 February 2009\Luggage Point Microfilter Replacement\Sole Source Luggage Point WRP Microfilter replacement PB amended 19022009 v2.doc

#### 11.0 BACKGROUND

The Chief Executive Officer and the Stores Board considered the attached scheduled submission on 25 February 2009.

The submission is referred to E&C for approval as it is considered the most advantageous outcome for the provision of the required services:

CONTRACT CONTRACT TITLE NO. REASON FOR SUBMISSION

BW80255-07/08 Supply and Delivery of Luggage Point Water Reclamation Plant – Microfilter Replacement Within E&C Delegation

### 12.0 CONSULTATION

The Chief Executive Officer and Permanent Head of the Unit of Administration responsible for the submission, Stores Board and relevant Divisional Officers have been consulted and are in agreement with the recommendation.

#### 13.0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

The recommended process will provide the most advantageous outcome for Council.

#### 14.0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

No.

### 15.0 CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

The recommendation of this submission will contribute to the efficient management of the City's resources.

## 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPACT

The submission covers the carrying out of work or supply of goods and services to meet Council approved programs.

## 17.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Tenderers are evaluated in line with Council's policy on the use of environmental friendly and recycled products and environmental considerations have been taken into account in the evaluation of tenders relating to these submissions, where applicable.

#### POLICY IMPACT

Submitted in accordance with Council's Procedures for Procurement, Contracting and Tendering.

#### 19.0 FINANCIAL IMPACT

Financial details are included in the Divisional submission.

G:\SP\Crm\109 CORP MGT\520 Meetings\0\Stores Board\749 - 25 February 2009\Luggage Point Microfilter Replacement\Sole Source Luggage Point WRP Microfilter replacement PB amended 19022009 v2.doc Page 2 of 15

#### 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

Not Applicable.

### 21.0 URGENCY

As soon as possible.

22.0 PUBLICITY

As required.

### 23.0 OPTIONS

Option 1: That the E&C approve the recommendation.

-11

MF

Option 2: Not approve the recommendation.

Option 1 is the preferred option.

G:\SP\Crm\109 CORP MGT\520 Meetings\0\Stores Board\749 - 25 February 2009\Luggage Point Microfilter Replacement\Sole Source Luggage Point WRP Microfilter replacement PB amended 19022009 v2.doc Page 3 of 15

1.0 File number 140/465/1002/47

## SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### 2.0 Title

Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act – Legislative Review Submission

#### 3.0 Issue/purpose

To seek approval for a submission to be forwarded to the Department of Natural Resources and Water on the review of the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act

#### 5.0 Proponent

Margaret Allison, Divisional Manager, Families and Community Services

#### 5.0 Submission prepared by

Kelly McKellar-Nathan, Indigenous Team Leader, Community Services X3697 Rachel Stewart-Koster, Solicitor, Brisbane City Legal Practice X35305

#### 6.0 Date

26 February 2009

### 7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

E&C approval

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

No

#### 9.0 Recommendation

That the Establishment and Coordination Committee approve the submission on the Legislative Review of the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act, Attachment A.

#### 10.0 Divisional Manager

I support/reject the recommendation. If reject, please state reasons.

Margaret Allison **Divisional Manager** Families and Community Services

Recommand Accordingly

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER** 

0 9 MAR 2009

ACTION TAKEN

0 9 MAR 2009

K. MCKELLAR-NATHAN . 55

eradino Knap

Geraldine Knapp Chairperson Families and Community Services Committee

APPRO 2009 Lord Mayor

The Department of Natural Resources and Water (DNRW) is reviewing the performance of the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act 2003.* The Act requires that the legislation be reviewed for efficacy and efficiency within five years of its commencement.

Brisbane City Council was invited by DNRW to provide comments on the legislative review of the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act Review. Direct contact was made by the General Manager, Program Initiatives Indigenous Cultural Heritage Acts Review to Council's Indigenous Team.

The Act was proclaimed in 2003 with the intention of protecting Aboriginal cultural heritage in Queensland. It imposes a duty of care on persons or bodies, including Council, who may carry out works that might damage or interfere with cultural heritage, and contains heavy penalties for the breach of this duty. It also contains cultural heritage duty of care guidelines which, if complied with, afford strict compliance with the cultural heritage duty of care. It also contains guidelines as to how to carry out cultural heritage studies and cultural heritage management plans that comply with the Act.

Following the request made by DRNW, the Indigenous Team and Busbane City Legal Practice coordinated consultation with key areas of Council who interface with this legislation including those areas listed below. This group provided input to the review questions outlined in Attachment A and Community Services branch are now seeking approval for the submission to be forwarded to DNRW.

In summary, Council's submission to DNRW supports the intent of both the legislation and guidelines, however key improvements could be made in the following areas:

- Identification of Aboriginal parties where there are overlapping claims, and
- In clarifying the duty of care that applies to non physical cultural heritage such as Aboriginal story and historical connection to place.

#### 12.0 Consultation

Councillor Geraldine Knapp, Chairman, Families and Community Services Committee Frances Hudson, Senior Policy Officer, Planning Section, Natural Environment and Sustainability Peter Main, Boondall Wetlands Environment Centre Lowry Boyd, Regional Manager Central, Local Asset Services Marnie Hrsto, Environmental Management Co-ordinator, Major Infrastructure Projects Office Peter Hillas, Land Acquisition Manager, Major Infrastructure Projects Office Kelly McKellar-Nathan, Indigenous Team Leader, Community Services Rachel Stewart-Koster, Solicitor, Brisbane City Legal Practice

All are in agreement with the attached submission.

#### 13.0 Implications of proposal

The Legislative Review Submission on the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act has ensured a coordinated response is provided to the Department of Natural Resources and Water.

#### 14.0 Commercial in confidence

Vision/Corporate Plan impact

Legislative Review Submission on the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act will contribute to achieving the 2026 Vision and is aligned with Council's Indigenous Aspirations Strategy.

## 16.0 Customer impact

No

5.0

Council is required to ensure that its activities and projects are carried out in compliance with the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act. If Council, its employees or contractors cause damage to Aboriginal Cultural Heritage, either knowingly or in circumstances where they reasonably should have known, a prosecution may result. Fines of up to \$75,000 or 2 years imprisonment for individuals, and \$750,000 for Council may apply in extreme cases. The court is also able to order costs for the rehabilitation of sites unlawfully harmed.

## 17.0 Environmental impact

The protection of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander cultural heritage is in the interest of all Queenslanders, and effective legislation is central to ensuring that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander culture, traditions and customs are celebrated and protected.

#### 18.0 Policy impact

Council officers that have had recent practical experience with the operation of the Act and guidelines or who may carry out searches of the Department of Natural Resources and Water cultural heritage register were identified and requested to provide comment for the review. The Submission on the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act draws together a wide range of existing policies and programs such as the Indigenous Aspirations Strategy (Families and Community Services Division) and the Environmental Strategy (Natural Environment and Sustainability Division).

#### 19.0 Financial impact

Nil

#### 20.0 Human resource impact

Nil

1

#### 21.0 Urgency

The submission was due on 28 February 2009, however, the Department of Natural Resources and Water have confirmed they will accept late submission after approval has been granted from E&C.

### 22.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

N/A

#### 23.0 Options

| Option 1: | That E&C approve the recommendation.    |
|-----------|---|
| Option 2: | That E&C not approve the recommendation |

### Option 1 is the preferred option.

## 1.0 FILE NUMBER:

#### SUBMISSION NUMBER:

#### SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### 2.0 TITLE

Appointment of Manager, City Property, Division

### 3.0 ISSUE/PURPOSE

Following a process of advertising in the Australian Financial Review in conjunction with online advertising on the Seek and MyCareer websites on the 16<sup>th</sup> January 2009, a total of 28 applications were received. The *People in Property* Group were also engaged to conduct an executive search which resulted in a long list of 23 applicants to the interview panel on 6 February for shortlisting.

*Five* applicants were short listed and invited for panel assessment. At the conclusion of initial panel interviews, *two* candidates were considered unsuitable for further evaluation. Final reference checking has been completed on two candidates who are now put forward for Civic Cabinet consideration.

The following information is now provided:

| Attachment 1 - | Executive Role Statement                              |
|----------------|---|
| Attachment 2 - | Executive Summary, Interview Summaries of Recommended |
| *              | Candidates, Resumes and Referee Reports               |
| Attachment 3 - | Comparative Assessment of Other Candidates            |
| Attachment 4 - | Long-list of all candidates                           |

Both criminal and business history checks will be undertaken on the successful applicant, as advised to all applicants, and further discussion undertaken should any issues arise.

### 4.0 PROPONENT

lan Maynard, Divisional Manager, Corporate Services, as chair of a panel comprising:

- Noel Faulkner, Divisional Manager, Commercial Strategy and Development,
- Sue Rickerby, A/ Divisional Manager, City Business, and
- Nigel Chamier, OAM, Consultant.

## 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

Janice Sturgess, Director, Merit Solutions

6.0

February 2009

### <sup>7.0</sup> FOR E&C APPROVAL, BAC APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

For E&C approval

## 8.0 RECOMMENDATION

That the Establishment and Co-ordination Committee consider and appoint either of the following candidates:

#### redacted

2. Mark Mazurkiewicz

to the position of Manager, City Property, Corporate Services Division, Brisbane City Council, with a contract and salary at the SES level.

## 9.0 DIVISIONAL MANAGER

**Ian Maynard** Divisional Manager Corporate Services Brisbane City Council

I Recommend Accordingly

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

## RECEIVED

Lord Mayor

0 9 MAR 2009 COMMITTEE SECTION

# ACTION TAKEN

0 9 MAR 2009

### 1.0 FILE NUMBER:

### SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

### 2.0 TITLE

Appointment of Manager Construction Group, Brisbane City Works

### 3.0 ISSUE/PURPOSE

In view of the strength of internal candidates, a recruitment process for the role of **Manager Construction Group** was commenced by internal advertisement on Council's Job Board on the 19<sup>th</sup> February 2009.

Two applications were received and candidates were interviewed for the role on 2 March 2009. Both candidates are considered suitable for appointment to the role.

The following information is now provided:

| Attachment 1 - | Executive Role Statement                              |
|----------------|---|
| Attachment 2 - | Executive Summary, Interview Summaries of Recommended |
|                | Candidates, Resumes and Referee Reports               |
| Attachment 3 - | Comparative Assessment of Other Candidates            |
|                |   |

Both criminal and business history checks will be undertaken on the successful applicant, as advised to all applicants, and further discussion undertaken should any issues arise.

#### 4.0 PROPONENT

Kenn Hall, Executive Manager, Brisbane City Works, as chair of a panel comprising:

• Scott Stewart, Executive Manager, Major Infrastructure Projects.

### 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

Cheryl Mills - Senior Consultant, Merit Solutions

6.0 DATE

3 March 2009

7.0

## FOR E&C APPROVAL, BAC APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

For E&C approval

## 8.0 RECOMMENDATION

That the Establishment and Co-ordination Committee consider and appoint either of the following candidates:

1. Graeme Martin

to the position of **Manager Construction Group, Brisbane City Works**, Brisbane City Council, with a contract and salary at the SES level.

## 9.0 DIVISIONAL MANAGER

**Barry Broe** Divisional Manager Brisbane Infrastructure Brisbane City Council

Recommend Accordingly

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

RECEIVED

ED

009

MAR

Lord Mayor

0 9 MAR 2009

COMMITTEE SECTION

# ACTION TAKEN

0 9 MAR 2009

TOWN CLERK

| SUB NO.              | FILE NO.           | DIV.                       | TITLE  | Result |
|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--|--------|
| 16/03-01<br>M        | 204/6(16/P14)      | Brisbane<br>Infrastructure | Monthly Project Report – January<br>2009   | Yes    |
| 16/03-02<br>M        | 112/20/216/3       | Brisbane<br>Infrastructure | Hale Street Link – Land Resumptions  | Yes    |
| 16/03-03<br>M        | 465/6/26(61/P1)    | Brisbane<br>Infrastructure | 2009-2010 Federal Road Safety Black<br>Spot Program  | Yes    |
| 16/03-04<br>M        | 456/2/26(61/P1)    | Brisbane<br>Infrastructure | 2009 Nation Building Package<br>Additional Black Spot Program  | Yes    |
| 16/03-05<br>R        | 243/98-4/2007/2008 | Corporate<br>Services      | Extension to borrowing term in funding<br>works for the Enoggera Dame Water<br>Treatment Project           | Yes    |
| 16/03-06             | 134/800/286/3      | Corporate<br>Services      | Brisbane City Council Rates and<br>Charges – Revenue Policy 2009/2010                                      | Held   |
| 16/03-07<br>R        | 202/11-MD100/808   | ØP&S                       | Purchase of land for Park Purposes<br>situated at No. 808 Blunder Road,<br>Durack.                         | Yes    |
| 16/03-08<br>M        | 106/800/148/5      | FaCS                       | Community Support Funding Program 2008-2009  | Yes    |
| 16/03-09<br><b>M</b> | 149/830/160/1      | OLMCEO                     | Stores Board Submission – Provision<br>of a Mobile Computing and Mobile<br>Middleware Integration Solution | Yes    |
| 16/03-10<br>M        | BT 243/88/2        | Brisbane<br>Transport      | Approval of Bus Build Employee<br>Transition Arrangements  | Yes    |
| 16/03-11<br>M        | 461/7/61           | CP&S                       | Woolloongabba Streetscape Upgrade<br>Stage 2 Funding   | Yes    |
| 16/03-12<br>M        | 381/1/20           | Corporate<br>Services      | Independent Rate Relief Tribunal –<br>New Members  | Yes    |

Present: Deputy Lord Mayor G M Quirk, G Knapp, A Cooper, D McLachlan, A Schrinner, P Matic, J Prentice

M - Indicates an E&C Committee decision (or minute item), which is included in this document. R - Indicates an E&C Committee recommendation to full Council. Details can be accessed through the Council Minutes, which are available for inspection on Level 2 of the Brisbane Square Library, 266 George Street, Brisbane.

#### 1.0 File number

204/6(16/P14)

# E&C16/03-01-

## SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### 2.0 Title

Monthly Project Report - January 2009

### 3.0 Issue/purpose

The purpose of this Submission is to provide regular Project performance updates on all Projects.

### 4.0 Proponent

Barry Broe, Divisional Manager, Brisbane Infrastructure Division, 3403 76

### 5.0 Submission prepared by

Ian Maynard, Divisional Manager, Corporate Services, 3403 457

#### 6.0 Date

26 February, 2009

### 7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

For E&C approval.

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

N/A.

## 9.0 Recommendation

That E&C accept the Monthly Project Report.

Chairperson

Support / Reject the recommendation.

reject, please state reasons

# RECEIVED

1 6 MAR 2009 COMMITTEE SECTION

# **ACTION TAKEN**

1 6 MAR 2009

TOWN CLERK IAN HONOURS.

Signed Councillor Adrian Schrinner, Chairman, Finance and Administration Committee 🔚 💭

10.0 Divisional Manager

Barry Broe

shy Boe

16 MAR 2009 ord Mayor

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Recommend Accordingly

DIVISIONAL MANAGER, Brisbane Infrastructure Division

#### 11.0 Background

The **Project Report Summary** report, in Attachment A, has adopted a colour system to improve the identification of those projects that are reporting exceptions. If a project is reporting an exception (i.e. not on budget in 2007-08, not on time, not on estimate whole of project, scope changes or emerging issues), then the flag for that item will appear as red. If a project is not reporting an exception (i.e. on budget in 2007-08, on time, on estimate whole of project, no scope changes or no emerging issues), then the flag for that item will appear as red. If a group project, no scope changes or no emerging issues), then the flag for that item will appear as green.

In the **E&C Monthly Project Report**, in Attachment B, all additional or new information can be identified under the \*\*\*\*\*JANUARY 2009 UPDATE\*\*\*\*\* heading.

#### 12.0 Consultation

Individual project reports are compiled by Project Reporters, in consultation with the relevant

- Project Managers,
- Program Managers/Business Unit Financial Controllers,
- Divisional/Executive Managers and
- Branch Managers.

Are in agreement with the recommendation.

### 13.0 Implications of proposal

It is envisaged that this approach will improve our capability to respond to any emerging issues and ensure all Stakeholders are well informed of the Projects' progress.

#### 14.0 Commercial in confidence

No.

15.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

Nil.

16.0 Customer impact

Improved standards of Project Management; and Improved awareness of Risk Management Principles.

## 7.0 Environmental impact

Policy impact

19.0 Financial impact

Nil.

Nil

### 20.0 Human resource impact

Nil.

## 21.0 Urgency

In the normal course of business.

### 22.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

N/A.

### 23.0 Options

Option 1: Approve the recommendation that E&C accept the Monthly Project Report for January 2009.
Option 2: Do not accept the report.

## Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

# E&C16/03-02 1

#### FILE NUMBER 112/20/216/3 1.0

# SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### TITLE 2.0

Hale Street Link - Land Resumptions.

#### ISSUE/PURPOSE 3.0

To seek approval for the completion of Private Property Land Resumptions.

#### PROPONENT 4.0

Scott Stewart, Executive Manager, Major Infrastructure Projects Office

#### 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

Terry Baker, Property Acquisition Officer, Major Infrastructure Projects Office.

6.0 DATE

17 February 2009.

#### FOR E&C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL? 7.0

For E&C approval.

#### 8.0 IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR ORDINANCE?

No.

10.0

Barry Broe

#### RECOMMENDATION 9.0

DIVISIONAL MANAGER

That E&C approve as per recommendation, Attachment A.

TOWN CLERK TERRY BAKER.

ACTION TAKEN

1 6 MAR 2009

RECEIVED

1 6 MAR 2009

COMMITTEE SECTION

CHAIRPERSON I Support / Reject the Recommendation If rejected please state reason

ord Mavó

PN

Graham Quirk DIVISIONAL MANAGER CHAIRMAN BRISBANE INFRASTRUCTURE INFRAST

Hammer I Recommend Accordingly HIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

# 11.0 BACKGROUND

To allow the Hale Street Link to be constructed it is necessary for Council to undertake a Land Acquisition Program, including land described in Schedule "A".

On 27 October 2008, the Divisional Manager, Brisbane Infrastructure, approved the issuing of Notices of Intention to Resume in respect of the properties listed in Schedule "A".

Subsequently on 7 November 2008, Notices were issued to the property owners listed in Schedule "A". The property owners did not object to the resumption.

In these circumstances, it is recommended Council pursue the completion of the formal resumption process in respect of the land in Schedule "A" in order to ensure timely acquisition of the land.

Upon the completion of the formal resumption process, the owner's interests in both the resumed land and the business carried out on the resumed land are converted into a claim for compensation, pursuant to the provisions of the Acquisition of Land Act 1967.

Negotiations concerning compensation will continue concurrently with the formal resumption process.

Annexures:

- 1. Plans referred to in Schedule "
- 2. Locality Map

## 12.0 CONSULTATION

Councillor Graham Quirk, Chairperson, Roads, Infrastructure Committee; Steve Hammer, Contracts Manager, Major Infrastructure Projects Office

# 13.0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

It is important that land is acquired in a timely manner so that the imminent design and construction may proceed in accordance with the construction program.

# 0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

# 15.0 CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

This proposal facilitates improvements to the existing public transport network, which is <sup>consistent</sup> with key initiatives of the 2007-2011 Corporate Plan, including:

7.1.2.1 Transport Network – Build bridges. Includes land acquisition for Hale Street Link.

# 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPACT

In liaising with the affected property owner prior to and during the resumption process, it is considered that Council has provided an appropriately high level of customer service.

Key customer service improvements on completion of construction will include improved traffic safety and mobility.

## 17.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

The environmental impact issues associated with the construction will be managed in accordance with current regulations.

## 18.0 POLICY IMPACT

Recommendations are consistent with Divisional Practice of pursuing resumption of privately owned land, following full and proper assessment of options and consultation with affected owners.

## 19.0 FINANCIAL IMPACT

Funds for land acquisition are available in the 2008/2009 Hale Street Link Program budget.

## 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

No impact.

## 21.0 URGENCY

Urgent. Affected landowners have an expectation that Council will progress the acquisition of the required land at the earliest possible opportunity.

## 22.0 PUBLICITY / MARKETING STRATEGY

Nil.

2.

# 23.0 OPTIONS

Approve the recommendation proposed by this submission upon which Brisbane City Legal Practice may make the required applications to the Department of Natural Resources and Water for completion of the resumption process.

Review the private property requirement associated with the scheme.

Option 1 is preferred and recommended.

# E&CI6/03-03

#### FILE NUMBER: 465/6/26(61P1)

### SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### TITLE 2.0

2009 - 2010 Federal Road Safety Black Spot Program.

#### **ISSUE/PURPOSE** 3.0

To consider projects to be submitted for funding consideration under the Federal Road Safety Black Spot Funding Program for 2009 - 2010.

#### PROPONENT 4.0

Barry Broe, Divisional Manager, Brisbane Infrastructure.

#### SUBMISSION PREPARED BY 5.0

Ian Hawksworth, Senior Engineer, Transport Infrastructure, Extension - 37668 ACTION TAKEN

DATE 6.0

16 March 2009.

#### FOR E&C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL? 7.0

For approval by the Establishment and Co-ordination Committee.

IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION 8.0 **REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR ORDINANCE?** 

No

#### RECOMMENDATION 9.0

That EXC approve the projects as indicated in Attachment "A" for nomination to the Federal Government for funding consideration under the 2009 - 2010 Federal Road Safety Black Spot Program.

AL MANAGER DIVISION

Barry Broe **Divisional Manager** Brisbane Infrastructure 11/3/29

I Recommend Accordingly

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

I support/reject the recommendation If reject, please state reasons.

Cr Graham Quirk

Chaimperson mfrastructure Committee

MAR 2009 n A Lord Mays 69

TOWN CLERK

1 6 MAR 2009

RECEIVED

1 6 MAR 2009

COMMITTEE SECTION

IAN HANKSWORTH.

1.0

## 11.0 BACKGROUND

The Queensland Department of Main Roads (DMR) has invited submissions from Local Governments for projects in the 2009 - 2010 Federal Road Safety Black Spot Program. Submissions will be assessed by Queensland's Black Spot Consultative Panel and recommended to the Federal Government for consideration.

The aim of the Black Spot Program is to improve road safety through provision of funding for implementation of traffic engineering measures such as the installation of traffic signals, improving intersection geometry, or improving mid-block sections of road at locations with high crash rates.

To be eligible for funding under this Program, a project must comply with Department of Transport and Regional Services criteria. For example, projects are selected by a quantitative assessment of potential savings to the community as a result of reduced numbers of traffic accidents at an intersection or on a length of road. Only projects that have a potential benefit/cost ratio (BCR) of greater than 2 are considered for funding. In recent years projects needed a BCR of greater than around 3 to be successful in attaining funding.

The projects listed in Attachment "A" are proposed to be nominated by Bosbane City Council in its Federal Road Safety Black Spot funding submission for the period 2009 - 2010. DMR has been provided with a draft list of projects for initial assessment. Projects nominated are the highest priority Black Spot projects (taking into account accident numbers, intersection types and the occurrence of any fatal accidents) that are able to achieve the required BCR criteria set by the Department of Transport and Regional Services. These projects:

- have been identified through detailed crash analysis of all sites in Brisbane with a higher than average crash record
- have a demonstrated high BCR assessment
- comply with the criteria set out in the Road Safety Black Spot Funding Guidelines as established by the Department of Transport and Regional Services.

Approved Federal Road Safety Black Spot funding received by Brisbane City Council in previous years is detailed in the table below:

| YEAR      | FEDERAL FUNDING |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 2000/2001 | \$370,000       |
| 2001/2002 | \$270,000       |
| 2002/2003 | \$1,120,000     |
| 2003/2004 | \$1,323,200     |
| 2004/2005 | \$680,000       |
| 2005/2006 | \$514,000       |
| 2006/2007 | \$1,346,356     |
| 2007/2008 | \$1,388,000     |
| 2008/2009 | \$1,184,500     |

Council is yet to receive confirmation from the Federal Government of the level of funding to be allocated for the 2009/10 period.

## 12.0 CONSULTATION

Councillor Graham Quirk, Chairperson Infrastructure Committee (12 November 2008) is in agreement with the proposal.

## 13.0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

Each project to be submitted has a high priority in terms of improving road safety and would be nominated as a priority project for funding consideration as part of Council's future budget. This submission provides an opportunity for Council to access Federal Government funding for these projects to supplement Council's investment in road safety.

### 14.0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

No.

#### 15.0 CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

The recommendation is in accordance with the Transport Plan for Brisbane 2006 – 2026 Strategic Objective 4 - A safe and efficient road network.

#### 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPACT

The allocation of Black Spot funding to assist Council in implementing traffic safety enhancements at the locations identified in Attachment "A" would improve safety for road users and assist in alleviating community concerns about safety at these locations.

#### 17.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

The projects identified are not expected to have an adverse impact on the environment.

### 18.0 POLICY IMPACT

In accordance with Council policy, an annual submission is prepared for consideration for Federal funding under the Road Safety Black Spot Funding Program.

This initiative is in line with the 2026 Vision and the Transport and Traffic Program, to ensure a modern transport system that meets community needs and sustains Brisbane as a livable city.

## 19.0 FINANCIAL IMPAC

The making of this submission represents an opportunity for Council to receive revenue from the Road Safety Black Spot Funding Program for safety improvement projects.

## 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

#### 1.0 URGENC

In the normal course of business.

## 22.0 PUBLICITY / MARKETING STRATEGY

Publicity for the nominated projects will be undertaken in accordance with Council's Corporate Guidelines on a project by project basis, once the outcome of funding deliberations has been advised by the Federal Government.

It is a Federal requirement that "Federally Funded Black Spot Project" signs, be installed at approved Black Spot sites and if the project cost is greater than \$100,000, that these signs remain in place for a

period of two years.

## 23.0 OPTIONS

- Approve the projects as indicated in Attachment "A" for nomination to the Federal Government for funding consideration under the 2009 - 2010 Federal Road Safety Black Spot Program.
- 2) Amend the projects as indicated in Attachment "A" for nomination to the Federal Government for funding consideration under the 2009 2010 Federal Road Safety Black Spot Program.
- 3) Not to approve the projects as indicated in Attachment "A" for nomination to the Federal Government for funding consideration under the 2009 - 2010 Federal Road Safety Black Spot Program.

### Option (1) is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.
## E&C16/03-04

### 1.0 FILE NUMBER: 465/6/26(61P1)

### SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### 2.0 TITLE

2009 Nation Building Package Additional Black Spot Program.

### 3.0 ISSUE/PURPOSE

To consider projects to be submitted for funding consideration under the Nation Building Package Additional Black Spot Program for 2009.

#### 4.0 **PROPONENT**

Barry Broe, Divisional Manager, Brisbane Infrastructure.

#### 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

Ian Hawksworth, Senior Engineer, Transport Infrastructure, Extension - 37668

#### 6.0 **DATE**

4 March 2009.

### 7.0 FOR E&C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL?

For approval by the Establishment and Co-ordination Committee.

# 8.0 IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR ORDINANCE?

No

#### 9.0 RECOMMENDATION

That E&C approve the projects as indicated in Attachment "A" for nomination to the Federal Government for funding consideration under the 2009 Nation Building Package Additional Black Spot Program

0.0 DIVISIONAL MANAGER

Barry Broe Divisional Manager Brisbane Infrastructure

11/3

Recommend Accordingly ......

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

I support/reject the recommendation If reject, please state reasons.)

Cr Graham Quirk Chairperson Infrastructure Committee D

MAB-2009 ord Mayor

RECEIVED

1 6 MAR 2009

## COMMITTEE SECTION

## ACTION TAKEN

1 6 MAR 2009

TOWN CLERK IAN HAWKSWORTH.

### 11.0 BACKGROUND

The Queensland Department of Main Roads (DMR) has invited additional submissions from Local Governments for projects in the 2009 Nation Building Package Additional Black Spot Program. Submissions will be assessed by Queensland's Black Spot Consultative Panel and recommended to the Federal Government for consideration. Projects in this package are to be delivered by 31 December 2009 and are additional to the 2009/10 Black Spot submission prepared in March 2009.

The aim of the Black Spot Program is to improve road safety through provision of funding for implementation of traffic engineering measures such as the installation of traffic signals, improving intersection geometry, or improving mid-block sections of road at locations with high crash rates.

To be eligible for funding under this Program, a project must comply with Department of Transport and Regional Services criteria. For example, projects are selected by a quantitative assessment of potential savings to the community as a result of reduced numbers of traffic accidents at an intersection or on a length of road. Only projects that have a potential benefit/cost ratio (BCR) of greater than 2 are considered for funding. In recent years projects needed a BCR of greater than around 3 to be successful in attaining funding.

The projects listed in Attachment "A" are proposed to be nominated by Brisbane City Council in its Additional Black Spot funding submission for 2009. DMR has been provided with a draft list of projects for initial assessment. Projects nominated are the highest priority Black Spot projects (taking into account accident numbers, intersection types and the occurrence of any fatal accidents) that are able to achieve the required BCR criteria set by the Department of Transport and Regional Services. These projects:

- have been identified through detailed crash analysis of all sites in Brisbane with a higher than average crash record
- have a demonstrated high BCR assessment
- comply with the criteria set out in the Road Safety Black Spot Funding Guidelines as established by the Department of Transport and Regional Services.

Approved Federal Road Safety Black Spot funding received by Brisbane City Council in previous years is detailed in the table below:

| YEAR      | FEDERAL FUNDING |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 2000/2001 | \$370,000       |
| 2001/2002 | \$270,000       |
| 2002/2003 | \$1,120,000     |
| 2003/2004 | \$1,323,200     |
| 2004/2005 | \$680,000       |
| 2005/2006 | \$514,000       |
| 2006/2007 | \$1,346,356     |
| 2007/2008 | \$1,388,000     |
| 2008/2009 | \$1,184,500     |

Council is yet to receive confirmation from the Federal Government of the level of funding to be allocated for the 2009/10 period.

### 12.0 CONSULTATION

Councillor Graham Quirk, Chairperson Infrastructure Committee (5 February 2009) is in agreement with the proposal.

#### 13.0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

Each project to be submitted has a high priority in terms of improving road safety and would be nominated as a priority project for funding consideration as part of Council's future budget. This submission provides an opportunity for Council to access Federal Government funding for these projects to supplement Council's investment in road safety.

#### 14.0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

No.

#### 15.0 CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

The recommendation is in accordance with the Transport Plan for Brisbane 2006 – 2026 Strategic Objective 4 - A safe and efficient road network.

#### 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPACT

The allocation of Black Spot funding to assist Council in implementing traffic safety enhancements at the locations identified in Attachment "A" would improve safety for road users and assist in alleviating community concerns about safety at these locations.

#### 17.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

The projects identified are not expected to have an adverse impact on the environment.

#### 18.0 POLICY IMPACT

In accordance with Council policy, an annual submission is prepared for consideration for Federal funding under the 2009 Nation Building Package Additional Black Spot Program.

This initiative is in line with the 2026 Vision and the Transport and Traffic Program, to ensure a modern transport system that meets community needs and sustains Brisbane as a livable city.

### 19.0 FINANCIAL IMPACT

If approved, funding of \$2,693,000 is expected to be received.

### 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

#### URGENCI

In the normal course of business.

### 22.0 PUBLICITY / MARKETING STRATEGY

Publicity for the nominated projects will be undertaken in accordance with Council's Corporate Guidelines on a project by project basis, once the outcome of funding deliberations has been advised by the Federal Government.

It is a Federal requirement that "Federally Funded Black Spot Project" signs, be installed at approved Black Spot sites and if the project cost is greater than \$100,000, that these signs remain in place for a

period of two years.

#### 23.0 OPTIONS

- 1) Approve the projects as indicated in Attachment "A" for nomination to the Federal Government for funding consideration under the 2009 Additional Black Spot Program.
- 2) Amend the projects as indicated in Attachment "A" for nomination to the Federal Government for funding consideration under the 2009 Additional Black Spot Program.
- 3) Not to approve the projects as indicated in Attachment "A" for nomination to the Federal Government for funding consideration under the 2009 Additional Black Spot Program.

#### Option (1) is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

# E&C16/03-08

ACTION TAKEN

1 6 MAR 2009

TOWN CLERK

LOUISE CARNEY

File number

106/800/148/5

#### SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### 2.0 Title

1.0

Community Support Funding Program 2008/2009

#### 3.0 Issue/purpose

Seek approval for the allocation of grants funding under the Community Support Funding Program 2008-2009 as per the list of recommended applications in Attachment 1.

#### 4.0 Proponent

Margaret Allison, Divisional Manager, Families and Community Services

#### 5.0 Submission prepared by

Louise Carney, Strategic Project Officer - Access and Equity, Community Services (ext - 74632)

6.0 Date

6 March 2009

7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

For E&C approval

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

N/A

#### 9.0 Recommendation

That the list of recommended applications identified in Attachment 1 be approved to receive rates rebates under the Community Support Funding Program 2008-2009.

77

10.0 Divisional Manage

Margaret Allison Divisional Manager Families and Community Services

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

I Support / Reject the recommendation

2009

MITTER SECTION

If reject, please state reasons

leadure Knapp

Cr Geraldine Knapp Chairman Families and Community Services Committee

APPROVED

2009 Lord Mayor

#### Background 11.0

Since its inception in 1998, the Community Support Funding Program has provided financial assistance in the form of General Rates rebates to not-for-profit housing organisations and community groups providing community services, activities or facilities for the benefit of the residents of Brisbane. At the request of Establishment and Coordination Committee in 2006, the 2006/2007 funding round was expanded to include general rates rebates for commercial providers of affordable housing in Brisbane (that is organisations assisting people on low incomes to obtain reasonably priced rental residential accommodation).

A total of 164 organisations have applied for the 2008/2009 Community Support Funding Program.

Applicants were required to demonstrate that they -

- Are an incorporated body with not-for-profit objectives, or
- Are a registered commercial provider of affordable housing
- Pay general rates to Brisbane City Council .
- Increase access to social goods and services
- Are not a gaming machine licensed organisation
- Are not a kindergarten or child care organisation
- Do not lease from Brisbane City Council
- Do not already receive a Council Rate Remission

A small proportion of applicants were assessed to be ineligible and therefore not recommended. Reasons for ineligibility were mostly because the organisations were general rates exempt or already received a partial rates remission.

The listed organisations in Attachment 1 have been broken up into four categories:

- Not for Profit community organisations community services focus (eligible for up to 50% rebate)
- Not for Profit community organisations/clubs leisure and lifestyle focus (eligible for up to 25% rebate)
- Not for Profit providers of affordable housing (eligible for up to 50% rebate) and
- Commercial providers of affordable housing (eligible for up to 50% rebate)

Approval is sought to pay grants to recommended organisations for the Community Support Funding Program as identified in Attachment 1.

#### 12.0 Consultation

- Cr. Geraldine Knapp, Chairman, Families and Community Services
- Cr. Amanda Cooper, Chairman, Neighbourhood Planning and Development Assessment Committee
- Richard Ferrett, Policy Adviser
- Kent Stroud, Manager, Community Services Kerry Doss, Manager, City Planning
- Ken Stevens, Rates Team Leader
- Jim Lynch, Program Officer Community Grants
- Brandon Bouda, Urban Planner, City Planning
- Vanessa Fabre, Community Development Manager
- Marnie Alefosio, Team Leader, Access and Equity, Community Services

Karen Pyle, Finance Officer, Community Services

All are in agreement with the recommendation.

#### 13.0 Implications of proposal

Successful applicants will benefit from financial assistance from Council, which will help relieve financial hardship to community organisations contributing to our Vision 2026. In addition, providers of affordable housing will receive rate relief that encourages the retention of affordable housing in Brisbane.

#### 14.0 Commercial in confidence

Nil

#### 15.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

"Housing is affordable" is listed in Vision 2026 as one of the qualities that Brisbane people most value about their City. In addition, these organisations are contributing significantly to the 'inclusive and caring' and 'active and healthy' citywide outcomes.

Corporate Plan Outcome 5.4 Opportunities for all identifies that Brisbane values and supports fair outcomes for all and that our diverse communities have equitable access to resources, services and facilities.

#### 16.0 Customer impact

This grants program aims to reduce financial imposts being experienced by not for profit groups providing community or leisure services in Brisbane and to support community and commercial providers of affordable housing. The program will increase their ability to continue the delivery of valuable services and programs for the Brisbane community during difficult economic times.

The additional funding of \$260,000 made available from the Affordable Housing Incentives Package and the Future Brisbane Program allows Council to provide a higher percentage of rates rebates to community and commercial organisations. However, this level of funding will not be available in 2009/2010 and organisations will receive a lower percentage of rates rebates.

#### 17.0 Environmental impact

Nil

#### 18.0 Policy impact

The Community Support Funding Program contributes to both the Your Brisbane Program and Future Brisbane Program goals. This grants program is an initiative under the Affordable Housing and Homelessness Strategy and the Affordable Housing Incentive Package, delivering the specific objective of "supporting expansion of the supply of affordable housing".

#### 19.0 Financial impact

An allocation of \$263,000 is available from 5.4.1.1 Participation Initiatives for the Community Support Funding Grants Program in the Your Brisbane 2008/09 Budget.

Due to the shared outcome of affordable housing in Brisbane, the Future Brisbane Program has agreed to enhance the budget available by utilising \$260,000 from their Affordable Housing Incentives Package budget to support commercial and community providers of affordable housing. The Planning Guidance Committee was notified and agreed to this intended allocation of the incentives budget on 14 July 2008. This was also identified in the notes section of the Affordable Housing Incentive Package which was adopted by Council. A submission will be made in the 3<sup>rd</sup> budget review to transfer a component of the existing service development to the Community Support Grants allocation.

Therefore, a total budget of \$523,000 is available for the 2008/2009 Community Support Funding Program. This will allow Council to grant the maximum rate relief to the organisations listed in Attachment 1, including 25% for leisure and recreation clubs and 50% for community organisations and commercial providers of affordable housing.

This additional \$260,000 is **only** available for the 2008/2009 program and will not be available in future years as City Planning reports that there has been a high level of interest in the Affordable Housing Incentives Program and expects that the funds will be fully allocated to new affordable housing developments in future years.

### 20.0 Human resource impact

Nil.

#### 21.0 Urgency

It is desirable to apply the rebate as a credit against the next rate notices:

- 'Commercial' rates notices to non-residential community organisations April 2009
- 'Residential' rates notices to all non-profit and commercial housing June 2009

#### 22.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

All applicants will be advised of the results of their submission within 10 working days of approval by the Establishment and Coordination Committee. Successful applicants will receive a letter from the Lord Mayor.

#### 23.0 Options

Option 1: That E&C approve the recommended applications as set out in Attachment 1

- Option 2: That E&C not approve the recommended applications as set out in Attachment 1
- Option 3: That E&C vary the level of assistance provided to applicants

#### Option 1 is the preferred option.

*NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.* 

## E&C16/03-09

#### SUBMISSION NUMBER

### 1.0 FILE NUMBER: 149/830/160/1

### SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

### 2.0 <u>TITLE</u>

Stores Board Submission - Provision of a Mobile Computing and Mobile Middleware Integration Solution.

#### 3.0 ISSUE/PURPOSE

To seek approval of the Establishment & Coordination Committee to directly enter into a Contract with Exa-Min Technologies Pty Ltd on a schedule of rates basis for the provision of a Mobile Computing and Mobile Middleware Integration Solution, with the capability for the scheduling and dispatch of reactive and planned work orders and field worker automation, without seeking competitive tenders from industry in accordance with Section 2.3, Sole or Restricted Tendering, of the Procurement Manual pursuant to the City of Brisbane Act 1924.

#### 4.0 PROPONENT

Jude Munro, Chief Executive Officer.

#### 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

David Cox Corporate Risk Manager Corporate Services Division

6.0 DATE

10 March 2009.

### 7.0 E & C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL?

For E&C Approval.

8.0 IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR LOCAL LAW?

No.

### RECOMMENDATION

APPROVED

ord Mayor

That the Establishment and Co-ordination Committee approve the attached submission.

10.0 David Cox Corporate Risk Manager Corporate Services Division

Jude Munro Chief Executive Officer

G:\CIO\109 CORP MGT\174 COMMITTEES\520 Meetings\Storesprad\20090310\i80178 Sole Sourcing submission v0.07 Stores Board.doc

RECEIVED

1 6 MAR 2009

COMMITTEE SECTION

# ACTION TAKEN

1 6 MAR 2009

KAREN THOPPE

#### 11.0 BACKGROUND

The Chief Executive Officer and the Stores Board considered the attached scheduled submission on 10 March 2009.

The submission is referred to E&C as it is considered the most advantageous outcome for the provision of the required services:

# CONTRACT CONTRACT TITLE NO.

REASON FOR SUBMISSION

23

D

i80178 -07/08

Provision of a Mobile Computing and Mobile Middleware Integration Solution Within E&C Delegation

#### 12.0 CONSULTATION

The Chief Executive Officer and Permanent Head of the Unit of Administration responsible for the submission, Stores Board and relevant Divisional Officers have been consulted and are in agreement with the recommendation.

#### 13.0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

The recommended process will provide the most advantageous outcome for Council.

### 14.0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

No.

### 15.0 VISION/CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

The recommendation of this submission will contribute to the efficient management of the City's resources.

### 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPACT

The submission covers the carrying out of work or supply of goods and services to meet Council approved programs.

## 17.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Tenderers are evaluated in line with Council's policy on the use of environmentally friendly and recycled products and environmental considerations have been taken into account in the evaluation of tenders relating to these submissions, where applicable.

### 18.0 **POLICY IMPACT**

Submitted in accordance with Council's Procedures for Procurement, Contracting and Tendering.

#### 19.0 FINANCIAL IMPACT

Financial details are included in the Divisional submission.

#### 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

Not Applicable.

### 21.0 URGENCY

As soon as possible.

### 22.0 PUBLICITY/MARKETING STRATEGY

As required.

#### 23.0 OPTIONS

Option 1: That the E&C approve the recommendation.

Option 2: Not approve the recommendation.

#### Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

# E&C16/03-10

1.0 File number

BT 243/88/2

#### SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### 2.0 Title

Approval of Bus Build Employee Transition Arrangements

#### 3.0 Issue/purpose

To approve the terms and conditions of the 'Offer of Employment' to be made under the 'Transition of Permanent Employees Agreement'.

#### 4.0 Proponent

Alan Warren Divisional Manager Brisbane Transport

#### 5.0 Submission prepared by

Sherry Clarke, Network Operations Manager, Brisbane Transport, TNOM ext 72372

6.0 Date

10 March 2009

For E&C approval or recommendation to Council 7.0

For E&C approval

If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or 8.0 Local Law?

9.0 Recommendation

Not applicable

That E&C approves the terms of the 'Offer of Employment' set out in Attachment "A" under the 'Transition of Permanent Employees Agreement' for the Bus Build Project ..

10.0 **Divisional Manager & Chairperson**  I Support/ Reject the Recommendation/s.

If Reject – please state reasons:

ane Prentice

Councillor Jane Prentice CHAIRPERSON

APPROVED

2009 MAN 5 -----

Alan Warren **DIVISIONAL MANAGER** 

I Recommend Accordingly

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

In order to meet Council's objectives for the future confirmed supply of new buses, Council has entered into commercial arrangements with Volgren Pty Ltd to construct and operate a bus building facility in Brisbane.

In May 2008, Volgren and Brisbane City Council developed a Heads of Agreement (HoA) which established the framework for moving forward with the joint facility (the *Brisbane Bus Build*). One of the six agreed outcomes in the HoA was that the arrangements: (*support*) *Council's current BT bus build staff with a view to staff being no worse off as a result of the JV*.

The Transition of Permanent Employees Agreement has now been finalised and under the terms of that agreement, the Employment Offer to be made to Bus Transport Workshop Employees as set out in Attachment "A"must, amongst other requirements, be:

"... for a position that is comparable to the existing position of the Employee;

on terms and conditions that:

- do not disadvantage the Employee when compared on an overall basis to the Employee's existing terms and conditions of employment;
- (ii) are commercially sustainable and supportive of the prime activities of the bus build arrangement between Council and Volgren; and
- (iii) have been approved by Council (with a view to such terms and conditions meeting or exceeding Council's current EBA6 provisions (particularly, in respect of hourly rates, penalty rates, leave provisions, access to training and flexible work arrangements) up to an equivalent package value of BBB's EBA at the time of transition);

BBB will seek to retain the maximum number of Permanent Employees by developing a culture where the Number 1 principle is the development and well being of the BBB staff. This will involve:

Minimum training of 40 hrs/employee/yr;

Minimum number of apprentices being 5% of the bus build production workforce, including apprentices, team leaders and section leaders:

Communicate BBB's #1 focus on people;

Effective Communication;

Involve Permanent Employees in transition/planning; and Company wide recognition of achievements."

e substance of the employment offer is summarised as follows:

(a) That the overall value of the package offer to each of the 47 current permanent employees meets or exceeds the value of their current entitlements.

(b) That Council-specific benefits such as the safety net sick leave etc are offset by Volgren's higher hourly rate for comparative work.

- (c) That the gross dollar value difference for each employee between their current package with Council and the proposed package allows each employee to exercise discretion in application of the increased gross dollars available ie take as cash, additional salary sacrifice to superannuation or purchase appropriate Salary Continuance Insurance etc.
- (d) That other terms and conditions are as outlined in a Greenfield EBA agreement (currently in draft and subject to negotiation with the AMWU) that broadly reflects the terms and conditions operating in Volgren's plants at Dandenong and Perth and at the future Newcastle plant.

Of the 47 permanent employees impacted by the change (excluding the supervisory positions), financial modelling has been undertaken to confirm that the overall value of each individual's package meets or exceeds the value of their current entitlements. See the spreadsheet which forms Attachment B.

To encourage employees' acceptance of the offer, Volgren and BT propose to pay a 'sign up' incentive to permanent employees who opt to transition to the new entity.

The proposed amount will depend on appropriate negotiation and discussion but is mooted in the order of redacted to redacted per employee with costs to be shared between Volgren and BT.

The payment would be in two parts, the first part on acceptance of offer and the remainder after 3 months as a Brisbane Bus Build employee.

Approval is sought to the form of the Employment Offer to enable discussions to occur with unions and employees.

#### 12.0 Consultation

Cr Jane Prentice, Chairman Public and Active Transport and Economic Development Committee

Chief Executive Officer, Jude Munro David Askern, Manager, Brisbane City Legal Practice Ian Maynard, Divisional Manager, Corporate Services Katrina Odgaard, A/Manager, Employment Arrangements

All consulted are in agreement with the recommendation.

### 13.0 Policy Implications

Sign off by Council allows commencement of appropriate consultation with unions prior to the provision of employment offers to all permanent staff by April 30 2009 with responses returned by 29 May 2009. Transitioning employees ie those that accept the offer will formally transition by 16 June 2009 as employees of the Brisbane Bus Build.

The decision to transition employees in June 2009 was also prompted by changes to Commonwealth industrial relations legislation as part of the Fair Work Bill 2008 which takes effect on 1 July 2009.

Please note that transitioning employees (ie those that accept the offer) will not physically transition to the new facility until its completion in late 2009/early 2010. In the interim, transitioning employees will be seconded back to the Brisbane Transport Workshops to continue to assemble buses at Toowong to ensure continuity of delivery of buses in accordance with BCC requirements.

#### 14.0 Commercial in confidence

The terms and conditions of the Brisbane Bus Build Offer of Employment are Commercial in Confidence.

#### 15.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

The proposal will support Moving Brisbane – Program 3 of Council's Corporate Plan, in particular, Outcome 3.2 Public Transport and supports Living in Brisbane 2026 Vision of a Smart and Prosperous City.

By 2026, Brisbane will have a strong, diversified and resilient economy, using its competitive advantages to deliver prosperity, high employment and quality jobs for all Brisbane residents. Brisbane will be a leader in technology, using all of its resources effectively, to generate a competitive position in key export markets.

#### 16.0 Customer impact

Continuity of supply of BCC bus build requirements is covered in a separate interim agreement between Brisbane Transport and Volgren. This agreement, which is subject to finalisation, details the seamless interim arrangements to build buses at Toowong between now and the transition to the new facility. This interim agreement will reflect the terms and requirements of the Head Supply Agreement for the commercial arrangement between BCC and Volgren.

#### 17.0 Environmental impact

Nil

18.0 Policy impact

Nil

### 19.0 Financial impact

BCC contribution to the 'sign up' incentive will be funded by BT from the 08/09 financial year.

Redeployment costs for non transitioning employees including funding of \$2,500 for career counselling and retraining for each employee) not accepting the incentive payment will be funded by BT from the 09/10 budget.

The lead time between provision of offers (June 2009) and transition to new facility in late 2009/early 2010 allows appropriate management of employees in accordance with EBA requirements – in other words BT has approximately six months to find meaningful employment for non transitioning employees. As non transitioning employees are placed in alternate roles during this period the Brisbane Bus Build facility will recruit replacement staff and charge back to BT in order for the BTW to complete the interim build arrangements.

#### 20.0 Human resource impact

Employees electing not to transition to the new entity will have access to Council's Retraining and Placement Program. Given the skills and capabilities of the existing Bus Build staff, it is expected that alternate employment will be sourced readily. Council's placement program proactively assists and demonstrates respect for people who are involved in and affected by technological or organisational change. The program balances our need to ensure value for money with our commitment to retaining and retraining our employees. A fundamental principle of the program is to facilitate the expeditious placement of employees to permanent roles. The retraining and placement of individuals will be in accordance with the provisions and principles outlined for retraining, placement and separation in Council's Enterprise Bargaining Agreement/s. The placement program provides an opportunity for employees to be placed either within Council or to consider career opportunities external to Council.

#### 21.0 Urgency

Employment offers are intended to be made on April so a timely decision will allow sufficient lead time to conduct the appropriate consultation eg with unions etc

#### 22.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

In accordance with the internal and external communications strategy developed with assistance from Corporate Marketing

#### 23.0 Options

Option 1: Approve the recommendation Option 2: Not Approve the recommendation

#### Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

#### 1.0 File number

## E&C16/03-11

461/7/61

#### SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### 2.0 Title

Woolloongabba Streetscape Upgrade Stage 2 Funding

#### 3.0 Issue/purpose

To request support for changes to budget allocation and carryover for Stage 2 of the Woolloongabba Streetscape Upgrade project.

#### 4.0 Proponent

Michael Papageorgiou, Divisional Manager City Planning and Sustainability

#### 5.0 Submission prepared by

Damian Dewar, Principal Program Officer Urban Design , ext 34697

#### 6.0 Date

12 March 2009

7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

For E&C Approval

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

NA

#### 9.0 Recommendation

That E&C approve:-

- A carryover of a portion of the current Stage 2 funding into next financial year in third budget review.
- The allocation of \$1.7M in the 09/10 financial year to complete construction works on the Woolloongabba Streetscape Upgrade Stage 2.

10.0 Divisional Manager

Michael Papageorgiou Divisional Manager City Planning & Sustainability

I Recommend Accordingly

..... CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

**Committee Chairman** 

I Support / Reject the recommendation.

If reject, please state reasons

Cr Amanda Cooper Chairman, Neighbourhood Planning and Development Assessment Committee

PPROVED 1 6 MAR 2009 Lord Mayo 89

ACTION TAKEN

IVED

EE SECTION

MAR 2009

1 6 MAR 2009

DAMIAN DEWAR

#### 11.0 Background

#### The Woolloongabba Neighbourhood Plan

The Woolloongabba Centre Streetscape Upgrade Projects are a series of demonstration projects conceived through the Woolloongabba Centre Neighbourhood Plan.

The Woolloongabba Centre Neighbourhood Plan encourages mixed use development to create opportunities for people to 'live, work and play' in the centre. Noticeable changes will include increased building densities and a range of residential, commercial, retail and entertainment uses to support 12,000 people living and 10,000 people working in Woolloongabba by 2026. The Woolloongabba Centre Streetscape Upgrade works are a key demonstration project for the implementation of City Shape and is how Council will achieve increased population densities coupled with improvements to public spaces.

The Woolloongabba Urban Centre Improvement project is a three stage project. Please refer to Attachment A which details the stages and costs of the project and Attachment B for plans and illustrations

#### 12.0 Consultation

- Cr Amanda Cooper, Chair Neighbourhood Planning and Development Assessment Committee
- Michael Papageorgiou, Manager City Planning and Sustainability Division
- Kerry Doss, Manger City Planning Branch
- Mike Brady, Manager Brisbane City Works Civil Infrastructure Provider Major Roads
- Gregg Buyers, A/Executive Manager MIPO North South Bypass Tunnel
- Vic Nash, Road Use Management
- Brian Bothwell, Brisbane Transport

All consulted are in agreement with the recommendation.

#### 13.0 Implications of proposal

Commitment to funding the remainder of the Stage 2 project in 09/10 will allow works to commence within the current financial year and be delivered directly after the Stage 1 works that are currently under construction.

Without funding commitment in 09/10 the Stage 2 project will be unable to proceed this financial year, this will result in:

The construction crew will have to leave the site at the completion of the Stage 1 works. This will result in additional construction site set-up and site break-down costs associated with Stage 2.

The potential of the public perceiving the Stage 1 works are incomplete as they finish  $\frac{3}{4}$  of the way along the block of Logan Rd between Ipswich Rd and Jurgens St. This in turn could generate negative media around the project.

Stage 3 of the project will be unable to proceed in 09/10 and thereby complete the NSBT work on 'Gabba Green'.

A hand back in third budget review of the remaining monies allocated to Woolloongabba this financia year.

#### Commercial in confidence

#### 15.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

The Woolloongabba Streetscape Upgrade Project is a tangible outcome of the Woolloongabba Centre Neighbourhood Plan. Support for the capital works upgrades in this key area of the Woolloongabba Centre will continue to demonstrate Council's commitment to the Neighbourhood Planning process.

#### 16.0 Customer impact

The customers impacted by non-delivery of Stage 2 works not following Stage 1, will principally be the businesses and property owners within the project area. Their dissatisfaction will be largely due to the works appearing unfinished as Stage 1 of the project will only complete approximately three quarters of the street. The unfinished appearance will be principally associated with its visual aesthetic.

Stage 3 of the project is a partnership with the NSBT project. The non-delivery of Stage 2 will in turn prohibit the construction of Stage 3 (City Planning's agreed section of the 'Gabba Green'). This outcome will directly impact on the NSBT project as their works will appear incomplete.

#### 17.0 Environmental impact

Nil

#### 18.0 Policy impact

Nil

#### 19.0 Financial impact

All options for continuation of the project will require adjustment to the FY08/09 Budget, potential carryover and the dedication of additional funds in the FY09/10 budget. Fund amounts are identified in Section 11 of this report.

Support for the continuation of Stage 2 will allow Brisbane City Works to follow on with Stage 2 directly from Stage 1. This outcome will provide a cost benefit to the project as Stage 2 costs will not include additional BCW site set-up and site-breakdown costs.

#### 20.0 Human resource impact

Nil

#### 21.0 Urgency

Brisbane City Works' forward construction program is nearing capacity for the 08/09 and 09/10 financial years. BCW require urgent confirmation that Stage 2 of the project is to proceed in order for the project to remain on the construction program. Removal of the project from the BCW forward construction program will cause further delays to the project.

#### 22.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

Nil

#### 23.0 Options

Option 1 - Agree to the immediate continuation of Stage 2 on completion of Stage 1 Option 2 - Do not proceed with Stage 2

Option 3 – Delay commencement of Stage 2 til FY09/10.

Option 4 – Do not fund Stage 3.

Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the Officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

## E&C16/03-12

VED

MAR 2009

COMMITTEE SECTION

ACTION TAKEN

1 6 MAR 2009

TOWN CLERK

NICK SHAN

File number 1.0

381/1/20

#### SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### Title 2.0

Independent Rate Relief Tribunal - New Members.

#### Issue/purpose 3.0

To appoint new Tribunal Members to the Independent Rate Relief Tribunal.

#### 4.0 Proponent

Ian Maynard, Divisional Manager, Corporate Services.

#### Submission prepared by 5.0

Nick Shaw, Manager Financial Performance, extension 35982

6.0 Date

13 March 2009

For E&C approval or recommendation to Counci 7.0

For E&C approval.

If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law? 8.0 No.

#### 9.0 Recommendation

That E&C approve the new members of the Independent Rates Relief Tribunal.

10.0

**Divisional Manager** 

Chairman

6

I Support / Reject the recommendation.

If reject, please state reasons.

Adrian Schrinner CHAIRMAN FINANCE AND COMMITTEE OMINISTATION

ord Mayor

lan Maynard DIVISONAL MANAGER **CORPORATE SERVICES** 

Recommend Accordingly

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

#### 11.0 Background

#### **Appointment of Tribunal Members**

On 10<sup>th</sup> September 1991, the Establishment & Co-ordination Committee, acting as delegate during Council recess, approved the establishment of the Independent Rate Relief Tribunal.

By resolution D22-43 of 1<sup>st</sup> November 1994, Council reconstituted the membership of the Independent Rate Relief Tribunal and adopted operating guidelines which included, amongst other things, delegation of powers of the Council to make payment in relief of hardship in respect of liability for the payment of rates.

By resolution 323/2008-9 of 25<sup>th</sup> November 2008, Council adopted changes to the 'Independent Rate Relief Tribunal Role Operation and Relationships' which included, amongst other things, the manner of distributing applications to Tribunal Members, remuneration paid to Tribunal Members and Delegation of Powers. The resolution noted that the Establishment and Coordination Committee, put forward nominations for replacement members for the Independent Rates Relief Tribunal.

John Payne (Tribunal Member) recently resigned from the Tribunal following 16 years of service to the Tribunal and the rate payers of Brisbane.

Helen Colgrove (Tribunal Member) has recently relocated her residence and her current whereabouts is unknown. Ms Colgrove has served for 16 years as a Tribunal Member and the rate payers of Brisbane.

Currently, John Quinn (Tribunal Chair) is the only member of the Tribunal.

To operate in a fair and equitable manner, two new Tribunal Members are required to be appointed.

The following persons are submitted for consideration:

Mr Richard Jeffreys redacted

and

Pastor Russell Withan redacted

Their resumes are attached and marked as Attachment "A" and Attachment "B".

### 12.0 Consultation

- Councillor Adrian Schrinner, Chairman Finance and Administration Committee
- Ian Maynard, Divisional Manager, Corporate Services
- Peter Haigh, Acting Manager, Brisbane Commercial Services
  - David Askern, Chief Legal Counsel
  - John Quinn, Chair, Independent Rate Relief Tribunal
- Ron Freier, Principal Receivables Officer, City Business and Secretary Independent Rate Relief Tribunal

All are in agreement with the recommendation.

#### 13.0 Implications of proposal

Approval of this submission will enable the Independent Rate Relief Tribunal to operate.

#### 14.0 Commercial in confidence

No.

#### 15.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

Nil.

#### 16.0 Customer impact

After these appointments are made, the Tribunal will be in a position to assess applications received from rate payers who are suffering genuine financial hardship.

-MF

#### 17.0 Environmental impact

Nil.

#### 18.0 Policy impact

Nil.

#### 19.0 Financial impact

Funds are available in budget allocated for the Independent Rate Relief Tribunal (1.02.1120.210.000.000.733.000.00)

#### 20.0 Human resource impact

Nil.

#### 21.0 Urgency

Normal course of business.

#### 22.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

Nil.

#### 23.0 Options

- Option 1: Approve the recommendations.
- Option 2: Not approve the recommendations.

Option 3: Amend the recommendations.

### Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

| SUB NO.                 | FILE NO.   | DIV.                       | TITLE   | Result |
|-------------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--------|
| 23/03-01<br>M           | 467/33(0/P4)   | Brisbane<br>Infrastructure | Hale Street Link – Twin 450mm<br>diameter Water Main  | Yes    |
| R                       | 221/100  | Corporate<br>Services      | Adoption of the 2009 Financial Risk<br>Management Framework, and<br>delegation of powers pursuant to<br>section 39B of the <i>City of Brisbane Act</i><br><i>1924</i>                 | Yes    |
| 3/03-03<br>R            | 243/5-50075/2004/2005  | Corporate<br>Services      | Intraday Credit Accommodation and Direct Debit Limits   | Yes    |
| 3/03-04<br>M            | 134/325/738/13   | Corporate<br>Services      | Inclusion of Charitable Organisation in<br>Appendix 'A' of the Schedule of Fees<br>and Charges  | Yes    |
| 3/03-05<br>R            | (3)381/70/5  | Corporate<br>Services      | Sale of Land for Overdue Rates – List<br>Number 275   | Yes    |
| 3/03-06<br>M            | 12/51/1(P9)  | Corporate<br>Services      | Monthly Report – Delegation of<br>Authority to Travel – November 2008   | Yes    |
| 3/03-07<br>M            | 364/102/2-GD170/891A<br>364/102/2-GD170/899<br>364/102/2-GD060/20A | Corporate<br>Services      | Acceptance of an offer of<br>compensation from the Queensland<br>State Government (represented by the<br>Department of Main Roads) arising<br>from the resumption of Council land     | Yes    |
| 3/03-08<br>M            | 364/102/2-PD050/1448A  | Corporate<br>Services      | Acceptance of an offer of<br>Compensation from the Queensland<br>Department of Main Roads arising<br>from the resumption of Council land at<br>1448a Beaudesert Road, Acacia<br>Ridge | Yes    |
| 3/03-09<br>M            | 188/210/297/1  | Corporate<br>Services      | Approval of Preferred Proponents for<br>the delivery of up to Four New City Cat<br>Ferry Terminals  | Yes    |
| 3/03-10                 | 140/465/1002/26  | CP&S                       | Draft South East Queensland<br>Regional Plan 2009 - 2031  | Held   |
| 803-11<br>M             | 460/6/3(2)   | CP&S                       | Appointment of additional member to<br>Urban Futures Board and Independent<br>Design Advisory Panel   | Yes    |
| 3/03-12<br>R            | 152/160/881/48   | CP&S                       | Fortitude Valley Neighbourhood Plan   | Yes    |
| <sup>3/03-13</sup><br>R | 131/800/148/1  | CP&S                       | 2008-09 Budget – Additional Capital<br>Expenditure for Landfill Remediation<br>Schedule and Associated Funding<br>Strategy  | Yes    |

| SUB NO.       | FILE NO.               | DIV.     | TITLE  | Result |
|---------------|------------------------|----------|--|--------|
| 23/03-14      |                        | Withdraw | n  |        |
| 23/03-15<br>M |                        | CSMO     | Travel Proposal – The Inaugural<br>Greater Auckland Governance<br>Summit                           | Yes    |
| 23/03-16<br>M | 106/800/148/6          | FaCS     | Men's Shed Grants Program<br>2008/2009   | Yes    |
| 23/03-17<br>R | 376/6/40(P4)           | OLMCEO   | Contracts and Tendering Report to<br>Council of Contracts accepted by<br>Delegates (February 2009) | Yes    |
| 23/03-18<br>M | 112/201/297/6          | OLMCEO   | Stores Board Submission – New Ferry<br>Maintenance Facility  | Yes    |
| 23/03-19<br>R | 243/30-80127/2007/2008 | OLMCEO   | Stores Board Submission –<br>Infringement Notice Management<br>Process (INMP)                      | Yes    |
| 23/03-20<br>R | 460/75/96(A1)          | CP85     | Proposed Paradise Wetlands<br>Neighbourhood Plan   | Yes    |
| 23/03-21<br>R | 137/225/137/2          | OLMCEO   | Code of Conduct for Councillors  | Yes    |

Present: Lord Mayor Campbell Newman, G.M. Quirk, G.Knapp, A.Cooper, D.McLachlan, A.Schrinner, P.Matic, J. Prentice

M - Indicates an E&C Committee decision (or minute item), which is included in this document. R - Indicates an E&C Committee recommendation to full Council. Details can be accessed through the Council Minutes, which are available for inspection on Level 2 of the Brisbane Square Library, 266 George Street, Brisbane.

# E&C23/03-01

#### 1.0 FILE NUMBER: 467/33(0/P4)

### SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### TITLE 2.0

Hale Street Link – Twin 450mm diameter Water Main

#### **ISSUE/PURPOSE** 3.0

To consider the proposed new twin 450mm diameter trunk water main ad the Hale Street Link in conjunction with the bridge construction

#### 4.0 PROPONENT

Barry Broe, Divisional Manager, Brisbane Infrastructure

#### SUBMISSION PREPARED BY 5.0

Steve Hammer, Contracts Manager, Major Infrastructure Projects Office, Ext 37541 ACTION TAKEN

6.0 DATE 23 March 2009

OMMITTER SECT

TOWN CLERK

2 3 MAR 2009

- FOR E&C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL STEVE HAMMER. 7.0 For E&C Approval
- IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION 8.0 REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR LOCAL LAW?

No

#### RECOMMENDATION 9.0

That the Establishment and Coordination Committee approve the issuing of Variation No. 6 (twin water main construction works) to the Hale Street Link Alliance for a total target cost of up to \$5,800,000.

0.0 **DIVISIONAL MANAGER AND CHAIRPERSON** 

Barry Broe 13/3/29 **Divisional Manager Brisbane Infrastructure** I Recommand Accordingly

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

2009 MAR Graham Quirk ord Mayor Chairperson Infrastructure Committee I Support / Reject the Recommendation/s. If Rejected - please state reasons:

G:\BI\MIPO\Hale St Link\F Internal Stakeholders\101 Civic Cabinet\Submissions\090323 Water Distribution construction of water main/E&C Submission HSL Water Main construction\_090310.doc

### 11.0 BACKGROUND

Water Distribution (WD) has proposed twin 450mm diameter trunk water mains to be constructed across the Hale St Bridge to improve water service delivery north and south of the Brisbane River.

WD has undertaken a feasibility study of the proposal in May 2008. Based on network modeling and growth projections, WD determined that two 450mm diameter water mains need to be constructed within the next five years to provide a pipeline link between the Water Supply Zones (WSZ) of Green Hill Reservoir and Highgate Hill Reservoir.

A replacement strategy for all aged trunk mains in the Tarragind Reservoir, Highgate Hill Reservoir and Highgate Hill Reservoir Booster WSZs showed the advantages of the Hale St Link pipeline would reduce capital cost and reduce impact from alternative infrastructure construction.

The Hale Street Link Alliance (HSLA) is the best placed entity to undertake the design and construction of twin mains across the Hale Street Link. Constructing the main in conjunction with the bridge delivers the lowest construction cost and least disruption to traffic at the points of connection on either side of the river.

Amongst the parties of MIPO, HSLA and WD it is agreed that the most appropriate manner to engage the Alliance is through a variation to the existing bridge contract. The alternative method of procurement is for WD to develop a sole sourcing submission and then issue a contract to HSLA.

The Alliance designed the main in January 2009, under Variation No. 3, and priced the construction of it in February 2009. WD has commissioned an independent verification of the construction costs. The Alliance variation mechanism is a target cost mechanism whereby the Alliance (including BCC) carries the cost risk and gains of the target price. WD has agreed to carry the cost risk/gain component of BCC for the purposes of this variation. In the case of a variation approval, the target cost is amended to reflect the increased scope.

The SEQ Water Reform Program will affect the ownership of water assets. It is proposed that commercial arrangements for the water main crossing utilising the Hale Street Link asset are formally documented and executed to protect Council's interests. Commercial arrangements will be developed with consultation between City Assets, WD and MIPO.

Approval is now sought to issue variation order No. 6.

G:\BI\MIPO\Hale St Link\F\_Internal Stakeholders\101\_Civic Cabinet\Submissions\090323 Water Distribution construction of water main\E&C Submission HSL Water Main construction\_090310.doc

#### CONSULTATION 12.0

Cr Graham Quirk, Chairperson Infrastructure Committee Jude Munro, Chief Executive Officer Robin Lewis, Executive Manager, Water Distribution Scott Stewart, Executive Manager, MIPO Prakash Shandil, Manager Project Management Services, Water Distribution

#### IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL 13.0

The proposed recommendation will allow Council to formally endorse issuing of Variation No. 6 under the Hale Street Link Contract.

#### COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE 14.0

No

#### CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT 15.0

This action is consistent with Program 2 - Integrated Water Management goal (Strategy 2.1.1 Ensure the water and sewerage services meet the changing needs of the City) of the Corporate Plan

#### 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPACT

Nil

#### ENVIRONMENTAL IMPA 17.0

Nil

18.0 POLICY IMPA

Nil

#### 19.0 **FINANCIAL IMPAC**

Variation No. 6 will be funded directly by Water Distribution for a total cost of up to \$5,800,000. Water Distribution has received approval of Establishment and Co-Ordination Committee on 9 March 2009 for the funding of these works in the Trunk Mains Replacement Program (Water), project reference RW122.

The variation works will be instructed by the Chief Executive Officer to the HSLA under the variation provisions stated in the Hale Street Link Alliance Contract subject to, the Hale Street Link Alliance and Brisbane City Council (Water Distribution and MIPO) agreeing to the Target Out-turn Cost amount agreed for the Variation No. 6.

The agreed Target Out-turn Cost (TOC) amount has been reviewed by an Independent Cost Reviewer (Rider Levett Bucknall) for verification of the costs included in the submission by HSLA, leading to some modification of the HSLA estimate. The remaining differences between the two estimates are

G:\BI\MIPO\Hale St Link\F\_Internal Stakeholders\101\_Civic Cabinet\Submissions\090323 Water Distribution construction of water 

primarily due to the use of different productivity rates by the Cost Reviewer and the HSLA. The rates applied by the Alliance are those that are currently in use in their current contract. The explanation for the differences and the value of the TOC are considered reasonable.

### 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

Nil

### 21.0 URGENCY

In the normal course of business

#### 22.0 PUBLICITY/MARKETING

Nil

### 23.0 OPTIONS

Option 1: Accept the recommendation and formally approve the issuing of Variation No. 6

Option 2: Not accept the recommendation

Option (1) is the preferred option

|         | EQU   | 23703-02              | 34/325/738/13                                      |
|---------|---|-----------------------|--|
| 1.0     | File number<br>File number 134 325 738  | ,                     | LREF   |
| SUBM    | IISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND  | CO-ORDINATION C       | OMMITTEE TION MANAGEMENT                           |
| 2.0     | Title<br>Inclusion of Charitable Organisation in A  | L                     | edule of Fees and Charges.                         |
| 3.0     | <b>Issue/purpose</b><br>To approve the inclusion of Muscular Dy<br>of Fees and Charges from 9 January 200           |                       | to Appendix 'A' of the Schedule                    |
| 4.0     | <b>Proponent</b><br>Ian Maynard, Divisional Manager, Corpo  | ate Services Division |  |
| 5.0     | Submission prepared by<br>Nick Shaw, Financial Performance Mana   | ger, Corporate Servic | es, extension 35982                                |
| 6.0     | <b>Date</b><br>17 March 2009  |                       | PPRÓVED  |
| 7.0     | For E&C approval or recommendation<br>For E&C Approval  | to Council            | 1 3 MAR 2009                                       |
| 8.0     | If for recommendation to Council, is a<br>Local Law?<br>No.   | Council resolution    | Lord Mayor<br>required under an Act or             |
| 9.0     | Recommendation<br>That E&C approve the inclusion of Muscu<br>Council's Schedule of Fees and Charges<br>application. |                       | ary 2009, being the date of their                  |
|         |   | MAR 2009              | ACTION TAKE  |
| 10.0    |   | TEE SECTION           | 2 3 MAR 2009                                       |
| Divisio | onal Manager  | Chairm                | an NICK SHAN                                       |
| 2       |   | I Suppo               | rt / Reject the recommendation.                    |
|         |   | If reject             | , please state reasons.                            |
| Joh     | Maynard   | A                     | hifti  |
| DIVISO  | aynard<br>ONAL MANAGER<br>ORATE SERVICES<br>mend Accordingly  | CHAIRI                | Schrinner<br>MAN FINANCE AND<br>STRATION COMMITTEE |

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Ð

#### 11.0 Background

On 9 January 2009, Muscular Dystrophy Association lodged an application for inclusion in Appendix 'A' of the Schedule of Fees and Charges to the Chief Financial Officer.

In support of their application for concessions, the Association provided the required documentation in the form of a 'Certificate of Registration as a Charity', registration CH 1173.

#### 12.0 Consultation

No broader consultation has taken place. The Chief Financial Officer, being the delegated officer to receive such applications is satisfied that the Association complies with the criteria for inclusion in Appendix 'A' as evidenced by the provision of a Certificate of Registration as a Charity.

#### 13.0 Implications of proposal

Muscular Dystrophy Association will become eligible for concessions against certain Fees and Charges as detailed in the Schedule of Fees and Charges document.

#### 14.0 Commercial in confidence

This matter is not commercial in confidence.

#### 15.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

The inclusion of Muscular Dystrophy Association in Appendix 'A' supports the outcomes under "Inclusive Caring Communities."

#### 16.0 Customer impact

Muscular Dystrophy Association will have access to a range of Council services at a reduced level which will be more affordable for a charitable organisation.

#### 17.0 Environmental impact

There is no environmental impact.

#### 18.0 Policy impact

There is no policy impact as approving Muscular Dystrophy Association inclusion in Appendix A' is consistent with existing policy.

1000

### 9.0 Financial impact

There is no significant financial impact.

#### 20.0 Human resource impact

There is no significant impact.

## 21.0 Urgency

The approval, if granted, may be processed in the normal course of business.

#### 22.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

None required.

#### 23.0 Options

Option 1:Approve the recommendation.Option 2:Not approve the recommendation.Option 3:Amend the recommendation.

#### Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

. U.

# E&C23/03-06

#### FILE NUMBER: 12/51/1(P9) 1.0

### SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### TITLE 2.0

Monthly Report - Delegation of Authority to Travel - November, 2008

#### **ISSUE/PURPOSE** 3.0

Provision of relevant monthly travel report.

#### PROPONENT 4.0

Jan Maynard, Divisional Manager, Corporate Services

#### SUBMISSION PREPARED BY 5.0

Rachelle Gallacher, A/Travel Co-ordinator, Strategic Procurement Office, ext. 3915

#### 6.0 DATE

12 January, 2009

#### 7.0 FOR E&C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL?

For information purposes.

#### IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION 8.0 **REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR ORDINAN**

No.

#### 9.0 RECOMMENDATION

That the Establishment and Co-ordination Committee note the information submitted on approved travel for November, 2008.

Chairman

I Support/Reject the Recommendation

If Reject - please state reasons

Cr Adrian Schrinner CHAIR FINANCE COMMITTEE

10.0 DIVISIONAL MANAGER

Ian Maynard DIVISIONAL MANAGER

APP 忍风 UUY Lord Mayor

Recommend Accordingly

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

TOWN CLERK IRENE MURPH

OMMITT

2009

E SECTION

ACTION TAKEN

2 3 MAR 2009

#### 11.0 BACKGROUND

In pursuance of the request for information on a monthly basis of travel approved under delegation, reports are enclosed for November, 2008, for each Unit of Administration showing travel actually undertaken during that month.

Attachment "A" shows details associated with travel undertaken by non Council employees not covered by the Travel Policy.

In summary, the position is as follows:

ember, 2008 Non-Commercial Operations **International Travel** a) (i) Number of Bookings 5 (ii) Airfares \$14,681.63 **Domestic Travel** b) (i) Number of Bookings 53 Airfares (ii) \$19,811.38 Accommodation and Allowances C c) \$30,624.06 d) **Registration Fees for Conference** \$36,715.40 osts e.g. hire car e) Other \$ 8,530.42 OTAL \$110,362.89 Attachment 896.00 \$

### 12.0 CONSULTATION

6

Liaison with Officers undertaking travel and Divisional Travel Officers.

| 14 Carlos Carlo |  |
|-----------------|--|
| 13.0            | IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL                       |
| Nil.            |  |
| 14.0            | COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE                       |
| No              |  |
| 15.0            | VISION/CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT                   |
| Nil.            |  |
| 16.0            | CUSTOMER IMPACT                                |
| Nil.            |  |
| 17.0            | ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT                           |
| Nil.            |  |
| 18.0            | POLICY IMPACT                                  |
| In line         | e with Council policy.                         |
| 19.0            | FINANCIAL IMPACT                               |
| Exper           | ases incurred through Divisional Travel Votes. |
| 20.0            | HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT                          |
| Not aj          | oplicable.                                     |
| 21.0            | URGENCY  |
| In the          | normal course of business.                     |
| 22.0            | PUBLICITY/MARKETING STRATEGY                   |
|                 |  |

At the discretion of the Lord Mayor.

### 23.0 OPTIONS

- (1) That E&C note the information submitted on approved Travel for November, 2008.
- (2) Not approve the recommendation.

Option (1) is the preferred option.

If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

### 1.0 FILE NUMBERS: 364/102/2-GD170/891A ,364/102/2-GD170/899, 364/102/2-GD060/20A

#### SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### 2.0 TITLE

Acceptance of an offer of compensation from the Queensland State Government (represented by the Department of Main Roads) arising from the resumption of Council land.

#### 3.0 ISSUE / PURPOSE

To approve the acceptance of an offer of compensation from the Queensland State Government (represented by the Department of Main Roads) in the amount of redacted arising from its resumption of Council land situated at 891A and 899 Centenary Highway, Sumner, and 20a Bullockhead Street, Sumner.

#### 4.0 PROPONENT

lan Maynard, Divisional Manager Corporate Services.

#### 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

Graeme Bullas Acquisition & Disposal Officer, City Property, Ext. 8906

#### 6.0 DATE

8.0

11 March 2009

### 7.0 FOR E&C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL?

For Establishment & Co-ordination Committee Approval.

IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR LOCAL LAW?

No

9.0

#### RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the offer of compensation from the State of Queensland (represented by the Department of Main Roads) in the amount of redacted arising from the resumption of Council owned freehold land identified in Schedule "A" below for Road Purposes be accepted

| ocation of Land<br>esumed      | Description of Land<br>Resumed                      | Area of<br>Land<br>Resumed |
|--------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| 1a Centenary<br>ghway, Sumner  | The Whole of Lot 1<br>RP133950, Parish of<br>Oxley  | 80.9m <sup>2</sup>         |
| 9 Centenary<br>ghway, Sumner   | The Whole of Lot 1<br>RP169855, Parish of<br>Oxley  | 292m <sup>2</sup>          |
| a Bullockhead,<br>reet, Sumner | The Whole of Lot 29<br>RP122857, Parish of<br>Oxley | 79.7m <sup>2</sup>         |

4

#### SCHEDULE "A"

I Support / Reject the Recommendation If rejected please state reasons

Councillor Adrian Schrinner Chairman FINANCE and ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

10.0 DIVISIONAL MANAGER

lan Maynard, Divisional Manager CORPORATE SERVICES.

I Recommend Accordingly

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

RECEIVED

ROVEN

MAR 2009

Lord Mayor

3

2 3 MAR 2009

COMMITTEE SECTION

# ACTION TAKEN

2 3 MAR 2009

TOWN CLERK GRAEME BULLAS
# 11.0 BACKGROUND

On the 21<sup>ST</sup> February 2008, the State of Queensland (represented by the Department of Main Roads) resumed Council freehold land for Road Purposes for the upgrading of the Cunningham Highway (Ipswich Motorway) Refer to Schedule "A" at attachment 1.

Describe in the Council records as "Access Restriction Strips", the three land parcels resumed were retained by Council to control access arrangements on the area to the west of the Centenary Highway. Refer to Aerial map at Attachment "2".

As required under the Acquisition of Land Act 1967, the date of valuation to assess compensation for the resumed land is the date of resumption. Valuation consultants Johnstom and Company Pty Ltd were appointed on behalf of Council to make a compensation assessment on the value of land taken as at the date of resumption. The assessment was determined at \$2,000 for each parcel – (refer to Valuation Summary at Attachment 3).

Following negotiations, the Department of Main Roads has agreed to pay an 'all up' payment of compensation in the amount of redacted. This figure is under all heads in full and final settlement of all claims whatsoever arising out of the resumption. In accordance with a private ruling by the Australian Taxation Office on 18 August 2004, the taking of this land does not involve a supply and therefore does not attract GST.

Therefore it is recommended that the offer of compensation from the State of Queensland (represented by the Department of Main Roads) in the amount of redacted arising from the resumption of Council owned freehold land listed in Schedule "A" at attachment 1 for Road Purposes be accepted.

# 12.0 CONSULTATION

Councillor Milton Dick, Richlands Ward Matt Tapsall, Policy Liaison Officer to Chairperson, Finance and Administration Committee. Graham Heiner, Principal Officer, Land & Buildings, Strategic Asset Management, City Assets Peter Marron, Principal Engineer, Feasibility and Development Services, Brisbane Water Steve Lintern, Senior Program Officer, Parks, Natural Environment & Sustainability Vicki Grieshaber, Senior Program Officer, Environmental Planning & Sustainability Brad Wilson, A/Senior Program Officer, Bushland Acquisition, Natural Environment & Sustainability Susan Dymock, Program Officer, Bushland Acquisition, Natural Environment & Sustainability John Dutton, Senior Engineer, Transport & Traffic

No objections have been received from those consulted.

# 13.0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

If the recommendation is accepted, Council will receive compensation for the resumed land in the amount of \$8,250.00 and this revenue will form part of the 2008/09 Property Disposal Program.

Sectional Support: Service Levels: Political: Industrial Relations: Regional Implications: Social and Community: No implications No implications No implications No implications No likely implications

# 14.0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

Nil

# 15.0 CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

Corporate Vision Theme: Council program: Service focus: Smart and Prosperous City. Corporate Services Manage Council's finances and assets effectively to provide the best value for money for ratepayers.

# 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPACT

Nil

### 17.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Nil

# 18.0 POLICY IMPACT

Nil

## 19.0 FINANCIAL IMPACT

- 1. Budget impact: Council will receive revenue in the amount of redacted, which will form part of the 2008/2009 Property Disposal Program. The only cost to Council for this action was the outlay of \$2,250 for valuation fees.
- 2. Taxation issues: Nil,
- 3. Risk Assessment: Not Applicable

# 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

# URGENCY

Normal course of business

- 22.0 POLICY/MARKETING STRATEGY
  - Nil

# 23.0 OPTIONS

| Option 1. | Approve the recommendation.     |
|-----------|---------------------------------|
| Option 2. | Not approve the recommendation. |

# Option 1 is preferred and recommended.

**NB:** If the Officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

### 1.0 FILE NUMBER: 364/102/2-PD050/1448A

## SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

# 2.0 TITLE

Acceptance of an offer of Compensation from the Queensland Department of Main Roads arising from the resumption of Council land at 1448a Beaudesert Road, Acacia Ridge

### 3.0 ISSUE/PURPOSE

To approve the acceptance of an offer from the Queensland Government (represented by the Department of Main Roads) compensation in the amount of redacted arising from its resumption of Council land.

### 4.0 PROPONENT

lan Maynard Divisional Manager, Corporate Service

# 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

Graeme Bullas Acquisition & Disposal Officer City Property Ext. 39068

### 6.0 DATE

8.0

11 March 2009

# 7.0 FOR E&C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

For Establishment and Coordination Committee Approval

IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR LOCAL LAW?

## 9.0 RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the offer of compensation from the State of Queensland (represented by the Department of Main Roads) in the amount of redacted arising from the resumption for road purposes of 14 square metres of Council owned freehold land situated at 1448a Beaudesert Road, Acacia Ridge and described as Lot 100 on RP883077 be accepted.

I Support / Reject the Recommendation If rejected please state reasons

Councillor Adrian Schrinner Chairman FINANCE and ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

10.0 DIVISIONAL MANAGER

Ian Maynard **Divisional Manager** CORPORATE SERVICE

ecommo dingly

UTIVE OFFICER

2 3 MAR 7009

HENK

5 0 MANY 7803

COMMITTEE SECTION

# **ACTION TAKEN**

2 3 MAR 2009

TOWN CLERK GRAEME BULLAS

### 11.0 BACKGROUND

On the 18<sup>th</sup> October 2007, the State of Queensland (represented by the Department of Main Roads) resumed 14 square metres of Council freehold land for Road Purposes situated at 1448a Beaudesert Road, Acacia Ridge for the upgrading of the Mount Lindesay Arterial Road.

The land resumed comprises a dedicated Access Restriction Strip which is located on the boundaries of Industrial land. Refer area in red on map at Attachment "1".

As required under the Acquisition of Land Act 1967, the date of valuation to assess compensation for the resumed land is the date of resumption. Valuation consultants Johnston and Company Pty Ltd were appointed on behalf of Council to make a compensation assessment on the value of land taken as at the date of resumption. The assessment was determined at redacted – (refer valuation summary at Attachment 2).

Following negotiations, the Department of Main Roads has agreed to pay an 'all up' payment of compensation in the amount of redacted This figure is under all heads in full and final settlement of all claims whatsoever arising out of the resumption. In accordance with a private ruling by the Australian Taxation Office on 18 August 2004, the taking of this land does not involve a supply and therefore does not attract GST.

Therefore it is recommended that the offer of compensation from the State of Queensland (represented by the Department of Main Roads) in the amount of redacted arising from the resumption for road purposes of 14 square metres of Council owned freehold land situated at 1448a Beaudesert Road, Acacia Ridge and described as Lot 100 on RP883077 be accepted

# 12.0 CONSULTATION

Councillor Steve Griffiths, Moorooka Ward

Matt Tapsall, Policy Liaison Officer to Chairperson, Finance and Administration Committee

Graham Heiner, Principal Officer, Land & Buildings, Strategic Asset Management, City Assets

Peter Marron, Principal Engineer, Feasibility and Development Services, Brisbane Water

Steve Lintern, Senior Program Officer, Parks, Natural Environment & Sustainability Vicki Grieshaber, Senior Program Officer, Environmental Planning & Sustainability Brad Wilson, A/Senior Program Officer, Environmental Planning & Sustainability Susan Dymock, Program Officer, Bushland Acquisition, Natural Environment & Sustainability

John Dutton, Senior Engineer, Transport & Traffic

o objections have been received from those consulted.

# 13.0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

If the recommendation is accepted, Council will receive compensation for the resumed land in the amount of redacted and this revenue will form part of the 2008/09 Property Disposal Program.

Sectional Support Service Levels Political Industrial Relations Regional Implications Social and Community No implications No implications No implications No implications No implications No implications

# 14.0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

Nil

# 15.0 CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

Corporate Vision Theme: Council program: Service focus: Smart and Prosperous City. Corporate Services Manage Council's finances and assets effectively to provide the best value for money for ratepayers.

## 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPACT

Nil

# 17.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPAG

Nil

18.0 POLICY IMPAG

# 0.0 FINANCIAL IMPACT

- 1. Budget impact: Council will receive revenue in the amount of redacted, which will form part of the 2008/2009 Property Disposal Program. The only cost to Council for this action was the outlay of \$750 for valuation fees.
  - Taxation issues: Nil;
  - Risk Assessment: Not Applicable.

# 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

Nil

# 21.0 URGENCY

In the normal course of business.

# 22.0 PUBLICITY/MARKETING STRATEGY

Nil

# 23.0 OPTIONS

Ø

Option 1. Approve the recommendation Option 2. Not approve the recommendation.

# Option 1 is the preferred option.

**NB**: If the Officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

# 1.0 FILE NUMBER: 188/210/297/1

# SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT & CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

# 2.0 TITLE

Approval of Preferred Proponents for the delivery of up to Four New CityCat Ferry Terminals.

1

# 3.0 ISSUE/PURPOSE

To approve Preferred Proponents for the delivery of up to Four New CityCat Ferry Terminals.

# 4.0 PROPONENT

Ian Maynard Divisional Manager, Corporate Services

# 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

Ian Grant-Smith Business Services Manager, City Property

# 6.0 DATE

16 March 2009

# 7.0 FOR E&C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL?

For E&C Approva

8.0 IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR LOCAL LAW?

Not applicable.

# 9.0 RECOMMENDATION

That the following parties be nominated as preferred proponents for the delivery of the nominated CityCat Terminals:-

- (a) Proponent 1 Metroplex Management (MM) Adjacent to western side of Gateway
- (b) Proponent 2 Port of Brisbane Corporation (POBC) Northshore Hamilton

# **CHAIRPERSON**

I Support / Reject-the Recommendation If rejected please state reasons

Councillor Adrian Schrinner Chairman FINANCE and ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

10.0 DIVISIONAL MANAGER

lan Maynard

**Divisional Manager** 

Recommend Accordingly

RECEIVED

2 3 MAR 2009

**COMMITTEE SECTION** 

2009

Lord Mayor

# ACTION TAKEN

2 3 MAR 2009

# TOWN CLERK

IAN GRANT-SMIM.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

CORPORATE SERVICES

# 11.0 BACKGROUND

On 23 June 2008 the Establishment & Co-ordination Committee approved the Significant Procurement Activity Plan (SPAP) for the delivery of the new CityCat Ferry Terminals.

The following proponents submitted expressions of interest and were shortlisted after under Stage 1 of the SPAP:-

Proponent 1 – Metroplex Management (MM) – Adjacent to western side of Gateway Proponent 2 – Port of Brisbane Corporation (POBC) – Northshore Hamilton Proponent 3 – Pradella Developments (PD) – Victoria St, West End Proponent 4 – Brookfield Multiplex (BMPX) – Northshore Hamilton (Portside)

A plan showing the location of each proponent forms Attachment "A" to this submission.

Stage 2 of the SPAP involved the shortlisted proponents being invited to enter into one on one negotiations with Council, where information was sought to further detail their proposals.

To date, design, operational and costing aspects of each proposal have been of a preliminary nature with the Stage 2 negotiations at an impasse due to the proponents' requirement for confirmation of preferential status.

The awarding of that status is seen by the proponents as an assurance by Council that it intends to progress with a proposal prior to the proponents incurring significant cost in order to undertake detailed studies into design and constructability.

Once preferential status has been conferred upon the proponents it will enable a more in depth study into key aspects of Council requirements and a conclusion to the evaluation of each proposal, prior to finalising contracts with each proponent.

A summary of the assessment of the key issues for each proponent is set out in Attachment "B" to this submission. This assessment includes that the proposals put forward by proponents 1 and 2 should progress and the proposals put forward by proponents 3 and 4 should not proceed further.

The recommended process will provide the proponents with sufficient assurance to move to detailed design and costing thus allowing Council to finalise negotiations and bring final proposals back to E&C for consideration. It is requested that preferential status is conferred upon two nominated proponents in order to progress negotiations to completion.

# 12.0 CONSULTATION

- Councillor Adrian Schrinner, Chairman Finance and Administration Committee
- Councillor Jane Prentice, Chairman Public Transport Committee
- Barry Broe, Divisional Manager Brisbane Infrastructure Division
- Margaret Allison, Divisional Manager Families and Communities Division
- Ian Maynard, Divisional Manager Corporate Services
- David Askern, Manager Brisbane City Legal Practice
- Michael Byrne, Chief Procurement Officer

# 13.0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

The recommended process will provide the proponents with sufficient assurance to move to detailed design and costing thus allowing Council to progress negotiations to completion and bring final proposals back to E&C for consideration.

# 14.0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

Yes

# 15.0 VISION/CORPORATE PLAN IMPAC

Program 3 - Moving Brisbane Outcome 3.2 - Public Transport Strategy 3.2.3 - Provide High Quality Ferry Services Service 3.2.3.1 - Provide Ferry Infrastructure Council will collaborate with the private sector to provide two to three new terminals in subsequent financial years.

# 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPACT

The provision of new CityCat ferry terminals will significantly improve access to these ferry services for customers in Doboy and Hamilton Wards.

# 17.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Proponents are evaluated in line with Council's policy on the use of environmentally friendly and recycled products and environmental considerations have been taken into account in the evaluation of proposals relating to these submissions, where applicable.

# 18.0 POLICY IMPACT

Nil

# 19.0 FINANCIAL IMPACT

The EOI and negotiation process will seek delivery of at least two new CityCat Ferry Terminals to Council at 'Nil Capital Cost'.

# 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

Nil

## 21.0 URGENCY

As soon as possible

# 22.0 PUBLICITY/MARKETING STRATEGY

As required

### 23.0 OPTIONS

**Option 1**: Confer preferred proponent status upon all four proponents and allow negotiation team to complete negotiations.

**Option 2**: Confer preferred proponent status upon select proponents and allow negotiation team to complete negotiations presenting final recommendations back to E&C by 30 July 2009.

**Option 3**: Conclude EOI process, progress negotiations to final deal with preferred proponents and commence direct negotiations with potential partners at other locations.

**Option 4**: Not approve the recommendation

Option 3 is the preferred option.

NB: If the Officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

121

# E&C23/03-11

### File number

460/6/3(2)

# SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

### 2.0 Title

Appointment of additional member to Urban Futures Brisbane Board and Independent Design Advisory Panel

### 3.0 Issue/purpose

To appoint Michael Rayner to the Urban Futures Brisbane (UFB) Board and the Independent Design Advisory Panel (IDAP), for an initial term to expire on 30 June 2010

### 4.0 Proponent

Michael Papageorgiou, Divisional Manager, City Planning and Sustainability Division

### 5.0 Submission prepared by

Matt Rolley, A/Executive Officer, Urban Futures Brisbane, telephone 3403 4677

### 6.0 Date

£,

23 March 2009

7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council COMMUTEE SECTION

For E&C approval

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

Not applicable

### 9.0 Recommendation

That Michael Rayner be appointed to the Urban Futures Brisbane Board and the Independent Design Advisory Panel, for an initial term to expire on 30 June 2010

# 10.0 Divisional Manager

Michael Papageorgiou Divisional Manager



Committee Chairman

I Support / Reject the recommendation.

If reject, please state reasons

RECEIVED

MAR 2009

Councillor Amanda Cooper Chairman, Neighbourhood Planning & Development Assessment Committee

2009 MAR ord Mavor 122

1.0

2 3 MAR 2009

TOWN CLERK

MATI ROLLEY.

### 11.0 Background

In May 2006, Council established the Urban Futures Brisbane (UFB) Board and its associated portfolios, including the Independent Design Advisory Panel (IDAP).

The primary purpose of the UFB Board, as per its Terms of Reference, is to provide strategic advice to Council on planning and implementing Brisbane's development future. The UFB Board meets monthly to provide input to a wide range of Council activities. Details of the UFB Board, its membership and issues considered to date are publicly available through the Council website at www.brisbane.gld.gov.au/urbanfutures.

IDAP's primary function is to give Council design advice on the quality of public and private development, so as to improve the planning, urban design, built form and landscape of Brisbane. That advice is provided with a strategic focus, consistent with established design principles, and is aimed at promoting excellence in design as a foundation for Brisbane's future growth and quality of life

The current membership of the UFB Board is shown at Appendix A, while the current IDAP panellists are shown at Appendix B.

It has been identified that both the UFB Board and IDAP would benefit greatly from the addition to their membership of respected Brisbane architect, Michael Rayner. Mr Rayner is Principal Director of Brisbane-based firm, Cox Rayner Architects & Planners, and has indicated his willingness to accept such appointments.

Members of the UFB Board and IDAP are remunerated for their time at the rate of redact per hour (or part thereof), if claimed. While several members do not wish to be paid for their service, funds are available to cover payment of fees to Mr Rayner if requested.

Current appointments of members to the UFB Board and IDAP expire on 30 June 2010. It is considered appropriate to appoint Mr Rayner to both bodies for terms that expire at the same time.

### 12.0 Consultation

In preparing this submission, the following parties have been consulted:

- Cr Amanda Cooper, Chairman, Neighbourhood Planning & Development Assessment
- Jude Munro, Chief Executive Officer
- Kerry Doss, Manager, City Planning Branch
- Professor Michael Keniger, Chairman of the UFB Board
- Bevan Lynch, Chairman of IDAP
- Nathan Percy, Policy Advisor to Cr Cooper

All are in agreement with the recommendation.

# 13.0 Implications of proposal

The proposed appointment will enable Council to further capitalise on strong relationships built to date, and to gain access to additional expertise in its consideration of emerging issues.

# Commercial in confidence

No Commercial in Confidence issues are identified at this time. All UFB Board and IDAP members are required to enter into general confidentiality and probity agreements with Council.

# 15.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

The activities of the UFB Board and IDAP and their ongoing support are consistent with the Vision and the Corporate Plan's Citywide outcomes regarding:

- Strong Communities
- Better Built Environment
- City Profile
- Land Use and Management
- Effective Road Network
- Active Communities

### 16.0 Customer impact

The UFB Board engages with major stakeholders, and through its portfolios (including IDAP), enhances Council's engagement with a range of industry, community, government, environmental and public stakeholders.

### 17.0 Environmental impact

No adverse environmental impacts are identified at this time.

### 18.0 Policy impact

The proposal is consistent with Council policy to ensure good quality planning outcomes for Brisbane.

### 19.0 Financial impact

Funds are available for ongoing support to the UFB Board and its activities, under GL Account 1.03.1329.362.000.000.

### 20.0 Human resource impact

No changes to establishment are proposed.

### 21.0 Urgency

In the normal course of business.

### 22.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

At the discretion of Civic Cabinet.

### 23.0 Options

Option 1:Approve the recommendationOption 2:Not approve the recommendationOption 3:Appoint a different additional member to the UFB Board and to IDAP

### Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

124

# E&C23/03-15

### 1.0 File number:

### SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

### 2.0 Title

ų

Travel Proposal - The Inaugural Greater Auckland Governance Summit

### 3.0 Issue/purpose

The purpose of this submission is to request permission for Andrew Chesterman, Executive Manager, Corporate Strategy & Marketing to travel to Auckland to present at the Inaugural Greater Auckland Governance Summit on 23 June, 2009.

### 4.0 Proponent

Jude Munro, Chief Executive Officer.

### 5.0 Submission prepared by

Andrew Chesterman, Executive Manager, CSMO

6.0 Date

16 March 2009

# 7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

For E&C approval

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

N/A

# 9.0 Recommendation

It is recommended that approval be granted for Andrew Chesterman, Executive Manager, Corporate Strategy & Marketing to travel to Auckland to present at the Inaugural Greater Auckland Governance Summit on 23 June, 2009 at an estimated cost of \$800.

**Divisional Manag** 

3 2009 Lord Mayor

Jude Munro Chief Executive Officer RECEIVED

2 3 MAR 2009

COMMITTEE SECTION

AGTION TAKEN

2 3 MAR 2009

TOWN CLERK ANDREN CHESTERM,

### 11.0 Background

Andrew Chesterman has been invited to present at the Inaugural Greater Auckland Governance Summit on 23 June 2009. The topic of the Summit is Local Government Reform – Exploring options for change in New Zealand's local government, towards lower costs and higher efficiency.

This event plans to discuss the most suitable solution for the Auckland region in the future. This takes into consideration the recommendations for Auckland governance by the Royal Commission which would by then have been released and read by those needing and wanting to discuss the future of Auckland.

There has been substantial interest shown from the key delegates regarding experiences, planning and implementation of strategic frameworks and the governance structure of large cities. Andrew's presentation will be on Bringing Brisbane's 20 Year Vision to Life – Council's Strategic Planning Framework.

Other presenters include:

- Honourable Peter Salmon, Chair, Royal Commission on Auckland Governance
- Rod Oram, Business Journalist
- Dr John Small, Covec
- Phil McDermott, City Scope
- Andrew Williams, Mayor, North Shore City Council
- Penny Webster, Mayor Rodney District Council
- Len Brown, Mayor, Manukau City Council
- Vernon Rive, Partner, Chapman Tripp
- Dr Andry Asquith, Change Manager, Massey University.

Other topics and presentations will include:

- Spending Less Improving Local Government's Financial Management
- Considering RMA Reforms' Effect On Local Government
- Canvassing Ideas For Higher Local Government Officials Participation
- Build, Maintain And Profit From, Council Controlled Organization The Way To Go.
- Creating Public Private Partnerships For Benefits To Both Parties
- Local Government Re-Organisation in England Fudge, Fix Or Flop.

### 12.0 Consultation

- Councillor Adrian Schrinner, Chairperson Finance and Administration
- Jude Munro, Chief Executive Officer

Are all in agreement with the recommendation

### 13.0 Implications of proposal

4.0 Commercial in confidence

### 5.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

Program 10 in the Corporate Plan and in particular, Council's corporate improvement and innovation strategy, highlights the need to find, replicate and promote innovative ideas both within and outside of Council. The program further details the need to support all areas of Council to achieve efficient and effective operations. Attending this conference will assist Council understand best practice operations and allow ongoing collaboration and knowledge sharing to occur.

### 16.0 Customer impact

Nil

# 17.0 Environmental impact

Nil

# 18.0 Policy impact

In accordance with Council policy

# 19.0 Financial impact

Air travel and accommodation are covered by the conference organisers and will be at no cost to council. Council's costs will only be as follows:

| Meals/Taxi Travel                             | \$300 |
|---|-------|
| Daily allowance (3 days)                      | \$150 |
| Accommodation (up to 2 days to be negotiated) | \$350 |

Efforts will be made to arrange a follow-up meeting with Auckland City Council as a result of Council's involvement in the "Knowledge Exchange Network". Additional accommodation costs may result and are included in these figures.

Funds are available from the CSMO budget.

### 20.0 Human resource impact

Nil

# 21.0 Urgency

In the normal course of business - however conference organisers need confirmation as soon as possible.

# 22.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

Nil

# 23.0 Options

| Option 1: | Approve the recommendation     |
|-----------|--------------------------------|
| Option 2: | Amend the recommendation       |
| Option 3: | Not approve the recommendation |

# Option 1 is the preferred option

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

# SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### Title 2.0

Men's Shed Grants Program 2008/2009

#### Issue/Purpose 3.0

To approve the allocation of funding under the Men's Shed Grant Program for the recommended applications identified in Attachment 1.

RECEIVED

MAR 2009

#### Proponent 4.0

Margaret Allison, Divisional Manager, Families and Community Services Division

#### Submission Prepared By 5.0

Nina Bowbridge, Community Development Coordinator West, Community Services Branch extension 70201

#### Date 6.0

16 March 2009

For E&C Approval Or Recommendation To Cound TTEE SECTION 7.0

TOWN CLERK NINA BOWBRIDGE.

2 3 MAR 2009

ACTION TAM

E&C23/03-16 |

E&C approval

If For Recommendation To Council, Is A Council Resolution Required Under An Act Or Local 8.0 Law?

No

#### 9.0 Recommendations

- (a) That the Men's Shed Grants 2008/2009 be approved for the recommended applications identified in Attachment 1.
- (b) That the juture rounds of the Men's Shed Grants Program be incorporated into the existing Community Grants Program for 2009/10 and 2010/11.

Chairperson

Support / Reject the recommendation

f reject, please state reasons

o kno

2

3 MAR 2009 Lord Mayor

**Cr** Geraldine Knapp Chairperson, Families and Community Services Committee

10.0

**Divisional Manager** 

Margaret Allison **DIVISIONAL MANAGER** 

I Recommend Accordingly

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

128

1.0

### 11.0 Background

Council is for the first time supporting the development Men's Sheds across the city by offering one off grants to established and emerging men's groups and networks. The Men's Shed Grant Program provides funding to local non-profit organisations seeking to develop and improve programs and infrastructure in response to men's issues.

Men's Sheds initiatives aim to provide a safe, supportive and friendly environment for men of all ages to gather, volunteer, develop skills and seek companionship with other people as well as promote mental, physical, emotional and economic wellbeing of men in the community. This is the first time that the Men's Shed Grant has been offered to community organisations and groups.

The Men's Shed Grant Program opened on 10 November 2008 and closed on 19 December 2008. In order to ensure consistency of applications the grant program was structured within specific guidelines as shown in Attachment 2. The Program was advertised through Quest Newspapers, the Men's Shed Network (now the Queensland Men's Shed Association) and a community workshop was held in November at Brisbane Square Library. Applications were also available from Council's website.

A total of 13 applications were received from across Brisbane and were assessed by a panel that included officers from Community Services Branch. Each application was assessed against the following criteria:

- Achieving the objectives of Men's Shed Grant Program
- · Demonstrated need for the project and community benefit
- Value for money
- · Capacity to deliver the project outcomes
- · Evidence of consultation and partnerships
- · Demonstrated financial need and
- · Sustainability of the project

The recommendations were reviewed by a Comparative Assessment Committee comprising of the Community Development Manager and Program and Business Manager from Community Services Branch.

Of the 13 applications received, 6 were assessed as meeting the criteria. Specific grant conditions will be placed on some recommended applications to better meet the grant criteria. A summary of the recommended applications and those not recommended are outlined in Attachment 1.

The Assessment Ranel determined a number of possible reasons why only a small portion of applications were of a high enough standard including:

- This is the first time such grants have been offered
- Potential lack of awareness about the Men's Shed initiative
- Lack of awareness about the grant program and
- The timing of the grant round

The Queensland Men's Shed Association (QMSA) has recently become an incorporated organisation and will be playing an active leadership role in promoting Men's Sheds and supporting emerging and established groups. It is anticipated that support provided by the QMSA in addition to the promotion of successful Men's Shed Grant recipients will increase the awareness and quality of future applications.

In order to optimise the number and quality of applications and streamline the process, it is recommended that future Men's Shed Grant Programs be aligned with the existing Community Grants Program including relevant advertising and marketing.

## 12.0 Consultation

Cr Geraldine Knapp, Chairman, Families and Community Services Committee Richard Ferrett, Policy Adviser Kent Stroud, Manager, Community Services Branch Vanessa Fabre, Community Development Manager Neville Wilmott, Program and Business Manager

All the above are in agreement with the recommendation

### 13.0 Implications of Proposal

Successful applicants will be able to proceed with their projects. Unsuccessful applicants will be contacted to discuss their applications and future options.

### 14.0 Commercial In Confidence

No

### 15.0 VISION/CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

The Men's Shed grants are delivering outcomes within the Your Brisbane Program including:

Outcome 5.3 Sports and Recreation – Brisbane is an active and healthy city with high quality facilities and programs providing a range of sport, leisure and recreation opportunities. Strategy 5.3.1 – Improve the health and quality of life of communities through participation in sport and recreation activities.

Outcome 5.1 Arts and Culture – A diverse and vibrant city which encourages and supports opportunities for creativity, innovation and participation in cultural life by the whole community. Strategy 5.1.2.1 – Local Cultural Activities.

Outcome 5.4 Opportunities for All - Brisbane values and supports fair outcomes for all. Our diverse communities have equitable access to resources, services and facilities. Strategy 5.4.1 – Community involvement.

### 16.0 Customer Impact

The proposed funding will allow development of programs and infrastructure that improves social inclusion and in particular creates supportive community environments for a broad range of people to develop networks, skills and participate in the life of the City including men and those experiencing social isolation.

### 17.0 Environment Impact

Nil

### 18.0 Policy Impact

The Men's Shed Grants Program is delivering on the Lord Mayor's election commitment and 2008/09 budget commitment to help community groups create social places for men through a grants program.

# 19.0 Financial Impact

Of the 13 applications received, 6 are recommended for either full or part funding representing a total of \$104,640.

Funds of \$100,000 have been allocated from the Your Brisbane Program, Service 5.3.1.2 for Community Grants. If the recommended applications are approved, the additional \$4,640 will be met by the Your Brisbane Program within the Service.

Human Resource Impact

# 21.0 Urgency

In the normal course of business.

### 22.0 Publicity/Marketing Strategy

All applicants will be advised of the results of their application within 10 working days of approval by the Establishment and Coordination Committee. Successful applicants will receive a letter from the Lord Mayor.

Publicity will be prepared by Marketing and Communication Branch in consultation with Community Services Branch. Agreed promotional material will not be distributed until applicants have been formally advised of the outcomes.

## 23.0 Options

| Option 1 | That E&C approve the recommendations     |
|----------|--|
| Option 2 | That E&C not approve the recommendations |
| Option 3 | That E&C vary the recommendations        |

Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

# E&C23/03-18

2009

ord Mayor

### SUBMISSION NUMBER

#### 1.0 FILE NUMBER: 112/201/297/6

### SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### TITLE 2.0

ton

City

rate

from

Our

Stores Board Submission - New Ferry Maintenance Facility.

#### **ISSUE/PURPOSE** 3.0

To seek Establishment and Coordination Committee approval of this Significant Procurement Activity Plan (SPAP) in relation to the issue of a Request For Proposal to establish a lease for a new Ferry Maintenance Facility.

#### 4.0 PROPONENT

Jude Munro, Chief Executive Officer.

#### 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

David Cox Corporate Risk Manager **Corporate Services Division** 

#### 6.0 DATE

17 March 2009.

### E & C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL 7.0

No.

No.

### IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION 8.0 **REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR LOCAL LAW?**

RECEIVED

STION TAWEN

2 3 MAR 2009

2 3 MAR 2009

RECOMMENDATION 9.0

COMMITTEE SECTION

That the Establishment and Co-ordination Committee approve the attached KAREN THOR PE. submission

TOWN CLEK

**David Cox** 

Jude Munro **Chief Executive Officer** 

10.0 **Corporate Risk Manager Corporate Services Division** 

# 11.0 BACKGROUND

The Chief Executive Officer and the Stores Board considered the attached scheduled submission on 17 March 2009.

The submission is referred to E&C as it is considered the most advantageous outcome for the provision of the required services:

CONTRACT NO. CONTRACT TITLE

REASON FOR SUBMISSION

Within E&C Delegation

E90468-08/09

New Ferry Maintenance Facility

# 12.0 CONSULTATION

The Chief Executive Officer and Permanent Head of the Unit of Administration responsible for the submission, Stores Board and relevant Divisional Officers have been consulted and are in agreement with the recommendation.

# 13.0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

The recommended process will provide the most advantageous outcome for Council.

# 14.0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

No.

# 15.0 VISION/CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

The recommendation of this submission will contribute to the efficient management of the City's resources.

# 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPACT

The submission covers the carrying out of work or supply of goods and services to meet Council approved programs.

# 17.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Tenderers are evaluated in line with Council's policy on the use of environmentally friendly and recycled products and environmental considerations have been taken into account in the evaluation of tenders relating to these submissions, where applicable.

# 18.0 POLICY IMPACT

Submitted in accordance with Council's Procedures for Procurement, Contracting and Tendering.

# 19.0 FINANCIAL IMPACT

Financial details are included in the Divisional submission.

G:\BI\CA\SAM\LB\PCCA\0630 Projects\Ferry Maint\Stores Board\200603 SPAP Pre Market Submission\_Ferry\_v5\_clean.doc

## 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

Not Applicable.

# 21.0 URGENCY

As soon as possible.

# 22.0 PUBLICITY/MARKETING STRATEGY

As required.

# 23.0 OPTIONS

Option 1: That the E&C approve the recommendation.

Option 2: Not approve the recommendation.

# Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

3

| SUB NO.                               | FILE NO.                               | DIV.                       | TITLE   | Result           |
|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|---|------------------|
| 30/03-01<br>M                         | 202/11-NK620/104                       | Brisbane<br>Infrastructure | Hale Street Link – Parmalat Land<br>Exchange  | Yes              |
| 30/03-02<br>M                         | 140/465/1002/26                        | CP&S                       | Draft South East Queensland<br>Regional Plan 2009 - 2031  | Ves              |
| 30/03-03<br>R                         | 100/435/568/1                          | CP&S                       | Provision of seed capital of Brisbane<br>Green Heart CitySmart Pty Ltd  | Yes              |
| 30/03-04<br>R                         | J90286-08-09                           | FaCS                       | Delegation of powers under the<br>Chinatown and Brunswick Street Malls<br>Local Law   | Yes              |
| 30/03-05                              | 364/48/3(245)                          | FaCS                       | Proposed new Property Lease of<br>Premises for Visible Ink North<br>(Zillmere) at Shops 3 and 5, 67<br>Dunsford Street, Zillmere. | Held             |
| 30/03-06<br>R                         | 182/255/722/18                         | OLMCEO                     | Stores Board Submission – Significant<br>Procurement Activity Plan in Relation<br>to financial delegations for RAP                | Yes -<br>Amended |
| 30/03-07                              | 364/48/2-D0347(7/A1)                   | OLMCEO                     | Stores Board Submission –<br>Community Markets at West End  | Held             |
| 30/03-08<br>M                         | MD90438-2008/2009<br>112/210/179/17-02 | OLMCEO                     | Stores Board Submission – Principal<br>Contractor for the Construction for<br>Redevelopment of China Town Mall                    | Yes              |
| 30/03-09<br>M                         | 140/520/466/4                          | OLMCEO                     | 2009 National General Assembly of<br>Local Government – Delegates and<br>Observers  | Yes -<br>Amended |
| 30/03-10                              | 234/70/7(P1)                           | OLMCEO                     | 2009 Australian Local Government<br>Women's Association (Queensland)<br>Annual Conference – Delegates and<br>Observers            | Held             |
| 30/03-11<br>R                         | 221/9/27-2007(3)                       | City Business              | Shaw Estate Park – Tennis Centre<br>Operator.   | Yes              |
| 30/03-12<br>R                         | 112/445/302/4                          | City Business              | Shaw Estate Park – Beach Volleyball<br>Operator.  | Yes              |
| <sup>30/03-13</sup><br>R<br>(Walk-In) | 188/590/785/24                         | Brisbane<br>Infrastructure | 2009 Parking Regulation Purpose<br>and Objectives Framework   | Yes              |

<sup>Pres</sup>ent: Lord Mayor Campbell Newman, G M Quirk, G Knapp, A Cooper, <sup>D McL</sup>achlan, A Schrinner, P Matic, J Prentice M - Indicates an E&C Committee decision (or minute item), which is included in this document. R - Indicates an E&C Committee recommendation to full Council. Details can be accessed through the Council Minutes, which are available for inspection on Level 2 of the Brisbane Square Library, 266 George Street, Brisbane.

 $\langle \rangle$ 

# E&C30/03-01

# 1.0 File number

202/11-NK620/104

# SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

2.0 Title

Hale Street Link – Parmalat Land Exchange

3.0 Issue/purpose

To accept an offer from Parmalat to exchange land.

4.0 Proponent

Barry Broe, Divisional Manager, Brisbane Infrastructure

# 5.0 Submission prepared by

Terry Baker, Land Acquisition Officer

6.0 Date

r

20 March 2009

7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

For E&C approval

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

No

# 9.0 Recommendation

That the Establishment and Coordination Committee approve the following:

- The exchange of Council land described as part of Lot 4 on SP199266, shown shaded orange on the plan at Annexure 1, for land in the ownership of Parmalat Pty Ltd described as the parts of Lot 3 on SP199266, Lot 2 on RP10855 and Lot 3 on RP10855 as shown shaded green on the plan in Annexure 1;
- 2) That Council bear responsibility and cost of, plan preparation, plan lodgement in the titles office, title correction, Stamp Duty and GST necessary to give effect to the land exchange;
- 3) Each party be responsible for rates and land tax adjustment that may be required as part of the land exchange.

# 10.0 DIVISIONAL MANAGER AND CHAIRPERSON

BURLY BOR Barry Broe

DIVISIONAL MANAGER BRISBANE INFRASTRUCTURE

20/3/09

Recommend

Graham Quirk CHAIRPERSON INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE

Support / Reject the Recommendation/s.

If Reject - please state reasons:

MAR

Lord Mayor

30

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

ccordingly

A CEIVED 3 0 MAR 2009

OMMITTEE SECTION

ACTION TAKEN

3 0 MAR 2009

TOWN CLERK TERRY BALER.

# 11.0 Background

The Hale Street Link Project is currently in construction phase in its modified form.

In August 2007, Council resumed land for the project from Pauls Ice Cream and Milk Pty Ltd, now called Parmalat, at the intersection of Montague Road and Boundary Street South Brisbane, for road purposes. Compensation arising from the resumption was settled in January 2008, based on a valuation from Johnston and Company that assessed the value of the land at redacted per square metre of site area.

The recent changes to the project design at the southern approaches to the proposed Hale Street Bridge require changes to Council's land requirements in this locality. The changes include a minor alteration to the Boundary Street alignment of the project in the vicinity of the Parmalat site at 100 Montague Road, South Brisbane, which was the subject of the previous resumption.

Parmalat has offered to transfer to Council an additional 132 square metres of their residual land (shaded green in Annexure 1) for road purposes to take account of that alteration.

In exchange, Council will transfer back to Parmalat 132 square metres of the land already resumed which is now surplus to requirements.

As the land areas and values are identical, there will be no consideration for the land exchange with Council being responsible for all administrative costs.

# 12.0 Consultation

Councillor Graham Quirk, Chairperson, Infrastructure Committee;

has been consulted regarding this submission and is in agreement with the recommendation.

# 13.0 Implications of proposal

Nil

# 14.0 Commercial in confidence

# Vision/Corporate Plan impact

This proposal facilitates improvements to the existing public transport network which is consistent with key initiatives of the 2007-2011 Corporate Plan including:

3.3.2.1 Transapex –Includes land acquisition for the Hale Street Link project.

# 16.0 Customer impact

Key customer service improvements on completion of construction will include improved traffic safety and mobility.

# 17.0 Environmental impact

No impact.

# 18.0 Policy impact

No impact.

# 19.0 Financial impact

There will be no payments in respect of the land exchange. Council will be responsible for all administrative costs, stamp duty and GST only. Funds for Hale Street Link have been approved in the 2008/2009 budget.

# 20.0 Human resource impact

No impact.

21.0 Urgency

Normal course of business

# 22.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

Nil.

- 23.0 Options
- 1. Approve the recommendation proposed by this submission.
- 2. Review all the property requirements associated with the scheme.

Option 1 is preferred and recommended.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

# E & C 3 0 / 0 3 - 0 2

ECEIVED

3 0 MAR 2009

COMMITTEE SECTION

ACTION TAKEN

3 0 MAR 2009

TOWN CLERK MARK PATTEMORE

#### File number 1.0

140/465/1002/26

. .

### SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### Title 2.0

Draft South East Queensland Regional Plan 2009-2031

#### 3.0 Issue/purpose

To endorse Brisbane City Council's submission on the Draft South East Queensland Regional Plan 2009-2031

#### 4.0 Proponent

Michael Papageorgiou, Divisional Manager, City Planning and Sustainability

#### 5.0 Submission prepared by

Mark Pattemore, Regional Planning Coordinator, X31918 Graham Phegan, Principal Program Officer Regional Planning, X35

#### 6.0 Date

23 March 2009

#### For E&C approval or recommendation to Council 7.0

E&C approval

If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law? 8.0

N/A

#### 9.0 Recommendation

That the E&C Committee endorse the Brisbane City Council Submission to the State Government on the Draft South East Queensland Regional Plan 2009-2031 as set out in Attachment 1.

#### **Divisional Manager** 10.0

### Committee Chairman

I Support / Reject the recommendation.

If reject, please state reasons

Michael Papageorgiou **Divisional Manager** City Planning & Sustainability

d Accordingly Recomm

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Cr Amanda Coope Chairman, Neighbourhood Planning and **Development Assessment Committee** 

MAR 2009

ED

Lord Mayor

3/0

### 11.0 Background

The South East Queensland Regional Plan (SEQRP) 2005-2026 was released in 2005 as the statutory framework for achieving sustainable growth management in SEQ to the year 2026. It designates five Regional Land Use Categories; describes twelve regional policies (desired regional outcomes); and contains regulatory provisions. A complementary South East Queensland Infrastructure Plan and Program (SEQIPP) is released annually in conjunction with the State government budget process.

The SEQRP was to have been reviewed and updated in 2010, however, due to the combined pressures of unexpectedly high population growth, traffic congestion and the emerging issues of affordable housing and climate change, the review has been brought forward 12 months with a view to releasing an updated regional plan as the SEQRP 2009-2031 in mid 2009.

In anticipation of the Draft SEQRP, Brisbane City Council made four submissions to the Department of Infrastructure and Planning:

- Comments on the SEQRP Terms of Reference (May 2008).
- A summary of key matters identified in the preparation of draft LGMS (conveyed to the Minister by letter from the Lord Mayor on 18 July 2008).
- A submission on other key issues that have been identified since the preparation of the LGMS in 2007 (approved by the E&C Committee on 1 September 2008).
- A submission recommending the transfer of 17 sites in Brisbane from the Urban Footprint to the Regional Landscape and Rural Production Area (approved by the E&C Committee on 29 September 2008).

On 7 December 2008, the Draft SEQRP 2009-2031 was released on public exhibition by the State Government. Revised regulatory provisions, included with the plan, were effective from the date of their gazettal, namely, 5 December 2008, but the original SEQRP 2005 will remain in effect until replaced by a revised final plan anticipated in July 2009.

The SEQRP has a significant influence on Local Government planning. Of the three land use categories now proposed in the draft plan, the Urban Footprint (UF) covers approximately 59% of the Brisbane area; the Regional Landscape and Rural Production Area (RLRPA) 40%; and the Rural Living Area 0.82%. All twelve regional policies apply to Brisbane to some degree as do the regulatory provisions, which relate to development restrictions outside the Urban Footprint

The City Planning and Sustainability Division has convened the Planning Working Group, chaired by the Regional Planning Coordinator, and with representatives of key policy areas from City Planning and Sustainability, Brisbane Infrastructure, and Families and Community Services to prepare Council's submission. In addition to the key issues and principles previously endorsed by the E&C Committee in 2008, and more recently by the Planning and Guidance Committee, the Planning Working Group has been guided by the vision, therees city wide outcomes, and targets of *Living in Brisbane 2026* as well as the Lord Mayor's Deliverables in the 2008-2012 budget.

### 12.0 Consultation

This submission has been prepared in consultation with the Planning Working Group comprised of the following senior planning/policy officers from City Planning and Sustainability, Brisbane Infrastructure, and Families and Community Services divisions:

- Mark Patternore, Regional Planning Coordinator, City Planning (Chair)
- Graham Phegan, Principal Regional Planning, City Planning
- Terry Scanlan, Strategic Planner, City Planning
- Glenn McDonald, Senior Planner, City Planning
- Kevin Cronin, Planning Manager, Development Assessment
- Mishka Foster, Principal Analyst, Economic Development
- Angela Jones, Project Coordinator, Community Services
- Robert Wright, A/Principal Officer Urban Planning, Water Resources
- Vicki Grieshaber, Senior Planning Officer, Natural Environment and Sustainability
- Matthew Tilly, Senior Transport Planner, Transport and Traffic
- Ross Cope, Principal Officer Corporate Sustainability, City Planning and Sustainability Division.

Further consultation has been undertaken with:

- The Neighbourhood Planning and Development Assessment Committee (18 February 2009)
- Councillor Amanda Cooper, Chairman Neighbourhood Planning and Development Assessment Committee (16 February and 9 March 2009)
- Barry Broe, Divisional Manager Brisbane Infrastructure (26 February 2009)
- Margaret Allison, Divisional Manager Families and Community Services (26 February 2009)
- Sue Rickerby, A/Divisional Manager City Business (26 February 2009)
- Divisional Management Team, City Planning and Sustainability (11 February and 11 March 2009).

All of the above are in agreement with the recommendation.

### 13.0 Implications of proposal



The SEQRP is the key instrument for shaping the future development of South East Queensland. It has statutory effect (under the *Integrated Planning Act*) and will have implications for the upcoming review of City Plan and the implementation of the CityShape Strategy, neighbourhood plans and other non-statutory programs and projects. As the SEQRP is not scheduled to be reviewed again for five years, the current review offers an opportunity for council to influence the content of the plan at a critical time in the city's development. It will influence the revised City Plan which is currently in preparation.

### 14.0 Commercial in confidence

There are no commercial-in-confidence matters in this submission.

### 15.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

The key issues identified in this submission reflect the priority targets and deliverables from Living in Brisbane 2026 and the 2008-2012 budget.

### 16.0 Customer impact

The Draft SEQRP 2009-2001 was prepared through consultation and cooperation of the State Government and Local Government (SEQ Council of Mayors). As stated in the submission (Attachment 1), Council is supportive of many of the key elements of the Draft Plan and recommends that they be retained. This is particularly the case where the SEQRP supports *Living in Brisbane 2026* and implementation of the CityShape Strategy, both of which are based on extensive stakeholder consultation.

However, there are matters which Council has progressively put to the State in successive submissions since 2005 which have not been addressed. These are also contained in the comments at Attachment 1.

### 17.0 Environmental impact

In order to achieve its primary purpose of sustainable growth management the SEQRP purports, inter alia, to protect and enhance the region's landscape, open spaces and farmland and to maintain the environmental quality of the region. This is consistent with the intent of the *Integrated Planning Act 1997*, and the intent of Council's planning scheme, City Plan. However, in the time since the release of the SEQRP in 2005, it has become necessary to review the environmental implications of a number of emerging issues, including:

climate change

increased urban development

- water reform
- major infrastructure development.

These matters are addressed against the relevant desired regional outcomes (DRO) in the submission to the State Government.

### 18.0 Policy impact

The submission (Attachment 1) reflects current Council policy, particularly *Living in Brisbane 2026*, the CityShape Strategy, and the forward program for Neighbourhood Planning approved by the Committee on 7 July 2008.

The provisions of the SEQRP will have statutory effect from the release of the final plan, anticipated in July 2009, and will therefore influence Council's planning policy.

### 19.0 Financial impact

Nil

### 20.0 Human resource impact

Nil

### 21.0 Urgency



Submissions on the Draft SEQRP are required to be lodged with the Department of Infrastructure and Planning by 3 April 2009. As the plan has been released under the provisions of the *Integrated Planning Act 1997*, Council's submission will have to be lodged by that date.

### 22.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

Nil

### 23.0 Options

- Option 1:Endorse the Brisbane City Council Submission to the State Government on the Draft<br/>South East Queensland Regional Plan 2009 2031(Attachment1).Option 2:Endorse the Brisbane City Council Submission to the State Government on the
  - Draft South East Queensland Regional Plan 2009-2031(Attachment1) with modification.

# Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

ACTION TAKEN

3 0 MAR 2009

TOWN CLERK

concers

KAREN THORIE

## SUBMISSION NUMBER

# 1.0 FILE NUMBER: MD90438-2008/2009, 112/210/179/17-02

## SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND CO-ORDINATION COMMITTEE

# 2.0 <u>TITLE</u>

Stores Board Submission - Principal Contractor for the Construction for Redevelopment of China Town Mall.

# 3.0 ISSUE/PURPOSE

To seek approval from the Establishment and Coordination Committee to enter into a Contract with ADCO Constructions Pty Limited for the estimated contract sum of \$7,173,777.00 (excl GST) on a schedule of rates price basis for the Construction of the Redevelopment of China Town Mall as it is the most advantageous offer to Council.

REGE

0

MAR 200

### 4.0 PROPONENT

Jude Munro, Chief Executive Officer.

### 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

David Cox Corporate Risk Manager Corporate Services Division

6.0 DATE

24 March 2009.

7.0 <u>E & C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL?</u>

ad

For E&C approval

8.0 IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED UNDER AN ACT OR LOCAL LAW?

9.0 RECOMMENDATION

No.

That the Establishment and Co-ordination Committee approve the attached scheduled submission. and Ch Knapp activity that the was wrong

5

ff 10.0

David Cox Corporate Risk Manager Corporate Services Division Jude Munro Chief Executive Officer

R 2009

Lord Mayor

AN

G:\SP\Crm\109 CORP MGT\520 Meetings\0\Stores Board\753 - 24 March 2009\China Town Mall\China Town Mall Post Makret v6\_1A\_2.doc

## 11.0 BACKGROUND

The Chief Executive Officer and the Stores Board considered the attached scheduled submission on 24 March 2009.

The submission is referred to E&C as it is considered the most advantageous outcome for the provision of the required services:

## CONTRACT NO. CONTRACT TITLE

# REASON FOR SUBMISSION

MD90438-2008/2009 Principal Contractor for the Construction for Redevelopment of China Town Mall Within E&C Delegation

# 12.0 CONSULTATION

The Chief Executive Officer and Permanent Head of the Unit of Administration responsible for the submission, Stores Board and relevant Divisional Officers have been consulted and are in agreement with the recommendation.

### 13.0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

The recommended process will provide the most advantageous outcome for Council.

## 14.0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

No.

# 15.0 VISION/CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

The recommendation of this submission will contribute to the efficient management of the City's resources.

# 16.0 CUSTOMER IMPAC

The submission covers the carrying out of work or supply of goods and services to meet Council approved programs.

# 17.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Tenderers were evaluated in line with Council's policy on the use of environmentally friendly and recycled products and environmental considerations have been taken into account in the evaluation of tenders relating to these submissions, where applicable.

# POLICY IMPACT

Submitted in accordance with Council's Procedures for Procurement, Contracting and Tendering.

### 19.0 FINANCIAL IMPACT

Financial details are included in the Divisional submission.

G:\SP\Crm\109 CORP MGT\520 Meetings\0\Stores Board\753 - 24 March 2009\China Town Mall\China Town Mall Post Makret v6\_1A\_2.doc

## 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

Not Applicable.

# 21.0 URGENCY

As soon as possible.

# 22.0 PUBLICITY/MARKETING STRATEGY

As required.

# 23.0 OPTIONS

Option 1: That the E&C approve the recommendation.

Option 2: Not approve the recommendation.

## Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

G:\SP\Crm\109 CORP MGT\520 Meetings\0\Stores Board\753 - 24 March 2009\China Town Mall\China Town Mall Post Makret v6\_1A\_2.doc

# E&C30/03-09

MAR 2009

3/1

#### 1.0 FILE NUMBER: 140/520/466/4

# SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE

#### 2.0 TITLE

2009 National General Assembly of Local Government – Delegates and Observers

#### 3.0 **ISSUE/PURPOSE**

To gain E&C approval for Councillors to attend the 2009 National General Assembly of Local Government that will be convened on Sunday 21 to Wednesday 24 June in Canberra.

#### 4.0 PROPONENT

Hayden Wright, Executive Manager, Office of the Chief Executive

#### 5.0 SUBMISSION PREPARED BY

Elisha Keighley, Project Officer, Office of the Chief Executive, extrat THE D

#### 6.0 DATE

12 March 2009

#### 7.0 Lord Mayor FOR E&C APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL?

For E&C approval

IF FOR RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL, IS A COUNCIL RESOLUTION REQUIRED 8.0 UNDER AN ACT OR ORDINANCE?

N/A

#### 9.0 RECOMMENDATION

- That two Councillors be approved to attend the ALGA National General Assembly as Council's official delegates. Characteristic attend the ALGA National General Assembly as
  That additional Councillors (number to be determined by E&C) be approved to attend the
- ALCA National General Assembly as observers. NIC

10.0 I Support/Reject Hayden Wright Cr Adrian Schrinner OFFICE OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE **CHAIRPERSON, FINANCE &** DMINISTRATION COMMITTEE PPRO I Recommend Accordingly 2009 3 MA CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE Lord Mayor

148

# 11.0 BACKGROUND

The 2009 National General Assembly of the Australian Local Government Association (ALGA) will be held in Canberra from 21 to 24 June. The theme is: Rising to the Challenge - Infrastructure, Climate Change and Local Government Finances.

Council has traditionally allowed Councillors to attend this conference. Councillor Margaret de Wit and Councillor Peter Matic represented Brisbane City Council at the Local Government Constitutional Summit – A Special National General Assembly in December 2008. Councillor Peter Matic and Ms Jude Munro attended the Expert's Forum on constitutional recognition for local government in August 2008 by invitation of the Local Government Association of Queensland (LGAQ) delegation. The 2005 ALGA National General Assembly was attended by Councillor Ann Bennison and Councillor Margaret de Wit.

Council is entitled to one vote on agenda items at the Assembly, although two delegates can be nominated due to the debating structure of the Assembly – that is, some sessions are held concurrently. In addition to the official delegate(s), Council is permitted to nominate other attendees, who are given 'observer' status.

E&C's direction is sought regarding the identity of Council's official delegates and observers, if any.

### 12.0 CONSULTATION

Councillor Adrian Schrinner, Chairperson, Finance and Administration Committee Hayden Wright, Executive Manager, Office of the Chief Executive

All are in agreement that this submission should proceed to E&C.

### 13.0 IMPLICATIONS OF PROPOSAL

None anticipated.

14.0 COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

Nil

# 15.0 CORPORATE PLAN IMPACT

Consistent with Corporate Plan – specifically:

Developing regional, national and international alliances to advance Brisbane's interests and foster long-term economic, development, cultural and social opportunities.

Participating in government networks and alliances to develop and promote cooperative regional and international initiatives.

CUSTOMER IMPACT

# 17.0 ENVIRONMENT IMPACT

Nil

### 18.0 POLICY IMPACT

Consistent with the established policy that the Brisbane City Council provides a voice on national local government issues through its representation at the ALGA National General Assembly.

### 19.0 FUNDING IMPACT

Cost for each Councillor attending will include return flights to Canberra (approx. \$800), accommodation (approx. \$800), conference registration (up to \$1,000) and miscellaneous expenses (say \$300). This would total approximately \$2,900 per attendee. Funds are available from the Councillor Travel budget.

# 20.0 HUMAN RESOURCE IMPACT

Nil

# 21.0 URGENCY

In the normal course of business, however, early approval will enable travel arrangements to be made and accommodation to be secured.

## 22.0 PUBLICITY/MARKETING STRATEGY

Not required.

### 23.0 OPTIONS

D

D

- 1. To endorse the attendance of Councillors to the 2009 ALGA Annual Conference, to nominate Councillors.
- 2. Not to endorse the attendance or nomination of Councillors to the 2009 ALGA Annual Conference.

Option 1 is recommended.