		E&C	FORMAL SUBMISSIONS RESULTS 5 MARCH 2012		
SUBMISSION NUMBER	FILE NUMBERS	DIVISION	TITLE	RESULT	RECOMMENDATION FOR PUBLIC RELEASE
05/03-01 M	137/800/1121/457	Brisbane Infrastructure	Clem Jones Tunnel (CLEM7) – Council Consent to Debt Financier Arrangements – Substitution of Centerbridge Credit Partners Offshore Intermediate I, LP and Centerbridge Credit Partners TE Intermediate I, LP with Centerbridge Credit Partners Master, LP	Approved	Immediate Release
05/03-02 M	137/800/1121/463 161/630/543/90 161/630/543/92	CPAS	Frew Park – Approval of Final Plan for Public Release	Approved	June 2012
05/03-03 M	137/800/1121/401	OLMCEO	Claim for compensation – Resumption of Murarrie Landfill, Murarrie	Approved	Not for Release
05/03-04 M	137/800/1121/339	Brisbane Lifestyle	Development and Construction of a New State Emergency Service (SES) Facility for Moggill SES	Approved	Immediate Release
05/03-05 M	137/800/1121/455	OLMCEO	2012 National General Assembly of Local Government – Delegates and Observers	Amended	Immediate Release
05/03-06 M	137/800/1121/462	OLMCEO	Appointment of Andrew MacLeod, Chief Internal Auditor, to the Professional Issues Committee of the Institute of Internal Auditors	Approved	Not for Release
05/03-07 M	137/800/1121/460 176/315/33/48	OLMCEO	Stores Board Submission – World Refugee Day 2012 Community Festival	Approved	Immediate Release
05/03-08 M	137/800/1121/446	Brisbane Lifestyle	Rescind the Approval of Community Facility Flood Recovery Grant Program – Round 2 Part B	Approved	Not for Release

		E&C	FORMAL SUBMISSIONS RESULTS 5 MARCH 2012		
SUBMISSION NUMBER	FILE NUMBERS	DIVISION	TITLE	RESULT	RECOMMENDATION FOR PUBLIC RELEASE
05/03-09 M	137/800/1121/7446	Brisbane Lifestyle	Community Facility Flood Recovery Grant Program – Round 2 Part B	Approved	Immediate Release
05/03-10 M	137/800/1121/464	CPAS	Brisbane Hotels Infrastructure Contributions Administrative Procedure	Approved	Immediate Release

Present: Lord Mayor Graham Quirk, A Schrinner, G Knapp, A Cooper, D McLachlan, P Matic, M de Wit & J Simmonds.

M - Indicates an E&C Committee decision (or minute item), which is included in this document.

R - Indicates an E&C Committee recommendation to full Council. Details can be accessed through the Council Minutes, which are available for inspection on Level 2 of the Brisbane Square Library, 266 George Street, Brisbane.

E&C

0 5 MAR 2012 - 0 1

SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE

1.0 Primary file number

137/800/1121/457

Related subject matter files

Not applicable.

2.0 Title

Clem Jones Tunnel (CLEM7) – Council Consent to Debt Financier Arrangements Substitution of Centerbridge Credit Partners Offshore Intermediate I, LP and Centerbridge Credit Partners TE Intermediate I, LP with Centerbridge Credit Partners Master, LP.

3.0 Issue/purpose

To provide consent to the substitution of a Debt Financier associated with the CLEM7 project.

4.0 Proponent

Scott Stewart, Executive Manager, City Projects Office, Ext 3765

5.0 Submission prepared by

Gregg Buyers, Program Director, City Projects Office, Ext

6.0 Date

5th March 2012.

7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

For Establishment and Coordination Committee approval.

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

Not applicable.

9.0 Recommended for public release

For immediate release.

10.0 Recommendation

That the Establishment and Coordination Committee consent to the substitution of Centerbridge Credit Partners Offshore Intermediate I, LP and Centerbridge Credit Partners TE Intermediate I, LP and their rights, obligations and interests, with Centerbridge Credit Partners Master, LP in accordance with Clause 10.3(b) of the Debt Finance Side Deed.

11.0

Executive Manager

Scott Stewart

EXECUTIVE MANAGER CITY PROJECTS OFFICERecomments Accordingly

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Chairman

I Support / Reject the recommendation. If reject, please state reasons.

5/MAR 201

Lord Mayor

Man

AP

Councillor Margaret de Wit CHAIRMAN **INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE**

On 23 May 2006, Brisbane City Council entered into a contract with the RiverCity Motorway Consortium (RCM) for the delivery of the Clem Jones Tunnel (CLEM7), formerly known as the North-South Bypass Tunnel.

RCM's obligations to finance the project are established in the Project Deed and the Debt Financing Documents such as the "Debt Finance Side Deed" and "Loan Note Subscription Agreement".

By way of letter dated 14th February 2012, the Security Trustee under the CLEM7 Debt Finance Side Deed requested Council's consent for the substitution of Debt Financiers associated with the CLEM7 project with Centerbridge Credit Partners Master, LP.

Clause 10.3(a) of the CLEM7 Debt Finance Side Deed requires the Security Trustee to obtain the prior consent of Council before assigning or transferring any of its rights and obligations under the Debt Financing Documents.

Clauses 10.3(a) and (b) further state that Council's consent must not be unreasonably withheld and that within 15 business days after notification from the Security Trustee, council must give its consent for substitution of a Debt Financier's obligations if the substitute is a bank or financial institution and either:

- i. has the required rating (a credit rating of at least BBB by Standard and Poor's (Australia) Pty Limited or Baa2 by Moody's Investors Service, Inc.); or
- ii. is guaranteed and indemnified on terms acceptable to Council by a financial institution or investment fund which has the Required Rating.

The Security Trustee advised in its letter that Centerbridge Credit Partners Master, LP do not currently have a credit rating from any rating agency. Therefore, Council is not obliged to consent to the assignment under Clause 10.3 but cannot unreasonably refuse that consent.

A credit rating was stipulated to primarily reduce the fisk to Council of funds becoming unavailable due to the financial difficulty of one of the Debt Financiers during construction. Now that construction is complete and CLEM7 is operational, the consequences of this risk are greatly diminished and this change to Council's risk profile means that the credit rating of Centerbridge Credit Partners Master, LP is not as significant.

The CLEM7 project team is of the view that the request should be agreed by Council as there are no particular reasons for withholding consent.

Establishment and Coordination Committee consent is sought to permit the substitution of Centerbridge Credit Partners Offshore Intermediate I, LP and Centerbridge Credit Partners TE Intermediate I, LP and their rights, obligations and interests, with Centerbridge Credit Partners Master, LP, in accordance with Clause 10.3(b) of the Debt Finance Side Deed.

13.0 Consultation

Barry Broe, Divisional Manager, Brisbane Infrastructure Greg Evans, Divisional Manager, Organisational Services David Askern, Chief Legal Counsel, Brisbane City Legal Practice Craig Stevens, Manager, Corporate Communication, Organisational Services

4

All are in agreement with the recommendation.

14.0 Implications of proposal

The proposed recommendation will endorse the substitution of the current Debt Financiers, Centerbridge Credit Partners Offshore Intermediate I, LP and Centerbridge Credit Partners TE Intermediate I, LP, with the proposed substitute of Centerbridge Credit Partners Master, LP.

15.0 Commercial in confidence

No.

16.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

This action is consistent with the Accessible, Connected City theme of the Corporate Pla

17.0 Customer impact

Nil.

18.0 Environmental impact

Nil.

19.0 Policy impact

Nil.

20.0 Financial impact

Nil.

21.0 Human resource impact

Nil.

- 22.0 Urgency
- LLIO Orgeney
- In the normal course of busines
- 23.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

Nil.

24.0 Options

Option A Accept the recommendation and formally approve the substitution of the current Debt Financiers, Centerbridge Credit Partners Offshore Intermediate I, LP and Centerbridge Credit Partners TE Intermediate I, LP, with the proposed substitute of Centerbridge Credit Partners Master, LP.

Option 2: Not accept the recommendation.

Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

E&C

0 5 MAR 2012 - 0 2

SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE

1.0 Primary file number

137/800/1121/463

Related subject matter files 161/630/543/90

161/630/543/92

2.0 Title

Frew Park – Approval of Final Plan for public release.

3.0 Issue/purpose

To approve the final plan for Frew Park at Milton for public release in June 201

4.0 Proponent

Andrew Chesterman, Divisional Manager, City Planning and Sustainability Division.

5.0 Submission prepared by

Andrew Ensbey, Natural Environment and Sustainability, City Planning and Sustainability Division ext 34935.

6.0 Date

5 March 2012

7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

For E&C approval.

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

n/a

9.0 Recommended for public release

Released in June 2012

10.0 Recommendation

That E&C approves that the Final Concept Plan - Frew Park be publicly released in June 2012.

Divisional Manager

11.0

Chairman

Support-Reject the recommendation. If reject, please state reasons.

APPR

MYED.

Lord Mayor

in

Cr Peter Matic CHAIRMAN ENVIRONMENT PARKS & SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE

Andrew Chesterman DIVISIONAL MANAGER CITY PLANNING AND SUSTAINABILITY DIVISION

I Recommend Accordingly ************** CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

In March 2011, Brisbane City Council (Council) acquired the former Milton Tennis Centre and Milton Bowl site for redevelopment as a new district park to be called Frew Park. Site investigations and initial community consultation activities to generate ideas for the new park were completed on 7 December 2011. A draft concept plan was prepared based on earlier feedback received and was subsequently released for public feedback on 31 January 2012.

Public feedback on the draft plan closed on 24 February 2012. Over 150 responses were received via emails, web surveys and one-on-one contact at a public plan display event in the park on 12 February 2012. Feedback collected has been very positive and has indicated overall support for the proposed features of the park. As such, only one minor change has been made to the plan, which has been to relocate the tennis courts slightly to create a better pedestrian entry off Milton Road and to move the flexible multi-use sports pavement (item No. 12 on plan) into a better position. All other features of the plan remain unchanged. The *Final Concept Plan - Frew Park* is outlined in "Attachment B"

Submissions were received requesting that a skate facility be constructed within the park, primarily from the Brisbane Skate Cartel. A skate facility on this site is not desired by Council due to the impacts on other facilities and as there is already a facility 1.2km east in Neal Macrossan Park at Paddington. Discussions were held directly with the leader of the Brisbane Skate Cartel following which it has been agreed that Council will consult more specifically with the Brisbane Skate Cartel about a potential future upgrade of the Neal Macrossan park facility to be delivered as budget allows.

It is therefore recommended that E&C approves that the *Final Concept Plan - Frew Park* be publicly released in June 2012.

13.0 Consultation

- John Jordan, Manager, Natural Environment & Sustainability (23 February 2012).
- Peter Simpson, Regional Manager, Asset Services West (23 February 2012).
- Lorraine Gregory, Manager Healthy and Vibrant Communities (23 February 2012).
- Craig Stevens, Manager, Corporate Communication, Marketing and Communication (24 February 2012).

All consulted are in agreement with the recommendation.

An extensive community consultation process has been delivered for this project, including a recent phase to seek public feedback on the draft concept plan.

14.0 Implications of proposal

The plan and subsequent development of the park will allow the community to access this new public space to engage in a broad range of recreational activities.

15.0 Commercial in confidence

Vision/Corporate Plan impact

The development of this park contributes to Outcome 1.4 Parks, Gardens and Recreation which aims to maximise community benefit from our green and open spaces by delivering an accessible and connected network of public and private open space that provides for a broad range of recreation opportunities and that meets the growing demands of the city. Providing new parks such as this one in a high growth part of the city is a key strategy to deliver this outcome.

17.0 Customer impact

No

16.0

Brisbane residents, particularly those in the inner west, will benefit from the provision of high quality district park facilities in this area for their recreation and enjoyment.

2

18.0 Environmental impact

The Frew Park Final Plan will convert an existing disused vacant site into a high quality useable recreational space for Brisbane residents.

19.0 Policy impact

The Frew Park Final Plan is consistent with the core principles and values of Council's Open Space Policy.

20.0 Financial impact

Funding for the development and implementation of this plan is provided in Program 1.4.2.1 Development and Planning.

21.0 Human resource impact

Nil.

22.0 Urgency

Normal course of business.

23.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

IMAP approval for a range of communication materials was received on 2 December 2011 for the draft plan public consultation period. Materials included a newsletter containing the plan, corporate website content with online survey, plan displays, public feedback event in Gregory Park, Milton, and a press advertisement. A final newsletter is planned to be sent to residents in June 2012 advising them of the final plan.

24.0 Options

- Option 1: Approve the recommendation.
- Option 2: Amend the recommendation.
- Option 3: Do not approve the recommendation.

Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.



F&C

0 5 MAR 2012 - 0 3

SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE

1.0 Primary file number

137/800/1121/401

Relevant subject matter files

2.0 Title

Claim for compensation - resumption of Murarrie Landfill, Murarrie.

3.0 Issue/purpose

Approval of Further Cash Advance.

4.0 Proponent

Colin Jensen, Chief Executive Officer.

5.0 Submission prepared by

David Askern, Chief Legal Counsel, ext 34741.

6.0 Date

12 March 2012.

7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

For E&C approval

- 8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law? No.
- 9.0 Recommended for public release

Not for release.

10.0 Recommendation

That E&C approve the recommendation in Attachment A.

11.0 Divisional Manager

Solin Jensen CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Lord Mayor

On 25 March 2011, the resumption of the Murarrie Landfill, being Lots 143 and Lot 141 on RP207883 situated at 270 and 380 Murarrie Road, was gazetted for environmental purposes.

Trading from the site ceased as agreed on 31 October 2011 and post closure works are already under way.

Silveressence have lodged a total claim for compensation for \$ redacted primarily based on business disturbance costs. Information is being sought from Silveressence Pty Ltd to justify the amount of the claim.

Council's forensic accountants are at present signalling a minimum figure of about redacted inclusive of redacted for the land.

On 6 December 2011, E&C approved:

- (a) a cash advance of redacted to be paid immediately to Silveressence (the Initial Cash Advance); and
- (b) the transfer of part of 227 Gardner Road, Rochedale "as is" for the value of redacted subject to Ministerial consent,

as partial satisfaction of Silveressence's claim for compensation

Ther redacte Initial Cash Advance has already been paid

The Minister for Local Government refused to grant Council ministerial exemption from the requirement to comply with Section 180 of the *City* of *Brisbane (Finance, Plans and Reporting) Regulation 2010* (Qld) to sell the land without first seeking tenders or offering the land for sale by auction.

Accordingly the contract for sale of the Gardner Road Property has been lawfully terminated.

As a result Silveressence have again approached Council and requested that Council pay it an additional advance of redacted (the Additional Cash Advance) being the equivalent value attributed to the Gardner Road property.

Silveressence require the redacte Additional Cash Advance to assist with the cost of the rehabilitation works.

Further investigatory works performed by, or on behalf of, Council estimate that the total value of the claim for compensation by Silveressence will be a minimum of redacted

The payment of the initial Cash Advance represents payment by Council of redacted of the potential total value of the claim.

The Additional Cash Advance of redacted raises the overall figure to redacted. On the information above the total value of compensation ultimately payable by Council should be higher than this figure.

E&C is requested to approve the Additional Cash Advance of redacted as set out in the recommendation Attachment A.

13.0 Consultation

Lord Mayor Councillor Quirk Councillor Matic Andrew Chesterman, Divisional Manager, City Planning and Sustainability John Jordan, Manager, Natural Environment and Sustainability (28/2/2012); Brad Wilson, Program Outcome Manager, Natural Environment and Sustainability (28/2/2012)

All are in agreement with the recommendation.

14.0 Implications of proposal

The claim for compensation will be reduced by the redacted Additional Cash Advance payment.

15.0 Commercial in confidence

No

16.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

Nil

17.0 Customer impact

Nil

18.0 Environmental impact

Nil

19.0 Policy impact

Nil

20.0 Financial impact

Sufficient funds to cover the redacted payment have previously been accrued in the NES Budget (Program 1) - Bulimba Creek Acquisition.

3

21.0 Human resource impact

Nil

22.0 Urgency

In the normal course of busines

23.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

Nil

24.0 Options

Option 1 approve the recommendation Option 2: reject the recommendation

Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

Lau

05 MAR 2012 - 04

SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE

1.0 Primary file number 137/800/1121/339

Relevant subject matter files N/A

2.0 Title

Development and construction of a new State Emergency Service (SES) facility for Moggill SES.

3.0 Issue/purpose

To seek E&C approval to commence the development application for the construction of a replacement depot for the Moggill SES Group.

4.0 Proponent

Vicki Pethybridge, Divisional Manager, Brisbane Lifestyle.

5.0 Submission prepared by

Lowry Boyd, Local Controller Brisbane City SES Unit, Asset Services, Field Services Group ext 72535.

6.0 Date

27 February 2012

7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

E&C approval

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

9.0 Recommended for public release

Immediate release

10.0 Recommendation

That E&C approve for the development application to be submitted for the construction of a new SES depot at 398 Hawkesbury Road, Anstead

11.0

Divisional Manage



Vicki Pethybridge DIVISIONAL MANAGER BRISBANE LIFESTYLE

1 Recommend Accordingly CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Chairman

I Support / Reject the recommendation.

PPPOVE

ord Mayor

n

1

If reject, please state reasons.

Levablic Shopp

Councillor Geraldine Knapp CHAIRMAN BRISBANE LIFESTYLE COMMITTEE

Currently, there are 760 members of the Brisbane City SES Unit including reserves and new recruits. It is estimated that a city the size of Brisbane should have in the vicinity of 1,000 SES volunteer members to assist the residents in times of emergencies and other disasters.

Currently the Moggill SES Group is located on Seqwater property at Stumers Road, Mt Crosby and has been occupying a building at this site based on a gentleman's agreement between Seqwater and Council for a number of years. Seqwater has now advised they intend to issue Council a licence to occupy the site for a further 12 month period, after which they require Council to vacate the site.

Council's Commercial Leasing Manager Ms Pauline Davis has advised she has received the licence from Seqwater which has a termination date of 13 December 2012. This means Council has to vacate the existing SES Depot at Mt Crosby on or before this date.

After consultation with City Property and Natural Environment and Sustainability Branch (NES) the new SES depot is proposed for 398 Hawkesbury Road, Anstead, a site purchased under the bushland acquisition program

After the receipt of the relevant development assessment approval for part of 398 Hawkesbury Road, Anstead, subsequent Program 6 and 9 budget submissions will be submitted seeking funding for the construction and necessary offset of the Bushland Preservation Levy purchase for the site.

E&C approval is therefore sought to proceed with a development application for the construction of a new SES depot at 398 Hawkesbury Road, Anstead.

List of Attachments:

Attachment A – Publication Summary Attachment B – Map of 398 Hawkesbury Road, Anstead site

13.0 Consultation

The following people have been consulted and are in agreement with the recommendation.

- Councillor David McLachlan, Chairman Field Services Committee (10 January 2012)
- Councillor Margaret De Wit, Chairman Infrastructure Committee (2 February 2012)
- Councillor Peter Matic, Chairman Environment, Parks & Sustainability Committee (6 January 2012)
- Shane MacLeod, Manager Asset Services, Field Services Group (4 January 2012)
- James Rouse, Corporate Real Estate Strategy Manager, City Property (4 January 2012)
- Ian Mitchell, Assistant Commissioner QFRS Brisbane Region (14 July 2011)
- Senior Sergeant Jim Whitehead, State Search and Rescue Coordinator Queensland Police Service (14 July 2011)
- Peter Wood QAS, Regional Director Operations Brisbane Region (14 July 2011)
- Michael Shapland, Regional Director, Brisbane Region Emergency Management Queensland (14 July 2011)

Graig Stevens, Manager Marketing and Communication (11 October 2011)

John Jordan, Manager Natural Environment and Sustainability (16 December 2011) has been consulted and offered alternative locations to site the new SES depot.

Implications of proposal

The establishment of new SES facilities and Groups will help increase the SES membership within the Unit to ensure the city has increased emergency volunteers (SES members) to support disasters that may impact on the city and its residents.

2

15.0 Commercial in confidence

No

16.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

Relevant Corporate Plan programs include:

Program 6 - the security of our citizens is a primary consideration for Council. Council will:

- ensure the highest possible levels of personal and property safety for all residents, businesses and visitors and
- support for the Brisbane's State Emergency Service.

Program 9 - planning, organising, coordinating and implementing measures to mitigate, prevent, prepare for, respond to and recover from disasters in the Brisbane area.

17.0 Customer impact

The following customer groups have been identified as being affected by this submission.

- Existing and new SES members. The construction of this planned depot is scheduled to commence in July 2012 and be completed by November 2012.
- Local residents the construction of a new SES depot will enhance the response times to the local community in times of disasters and emergencies.

18.0 Environmental impact

The site area required for the SES depot will be taken from land for conservation purposes.

19.0 Policy impact

Nil

20.0 Financial impact

\$750,000 in funding has been approved in the current 2011/2012 financial year capital budget to commence the Development Assessment process and start the construction (subject to a successful Development Assessment) of new SES depots This is available under GL Code 1.12.2500.470.000.000.676.000.00

21.0 Human resource impact

Nil

22.0 Urgency

As soon as possible, as a Development Application needs to be submitted and approved by Council for the nominated site to allow construction to commence early 2012/13 financial year.

23.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

Options

Option 1: Option 2:

E&C approve the recommendations

E&C amend the recommendations

Option 3: E&C not approve the recommendations

Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

E&C 0 5 MAR 2012 - P F

SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE

1.0 File number

137/800/1121/455

2.0 Title

2012 National General Assembly of Local Government - Delegates and Observers

3.0 Issue/purpose

To gain E&C approval for Councillors to attend the 2012 National General Assembly of Loca Government from Sunday 17 to Wednesday 20 June 2012 in Canberra.

4.0 Proponent

Peter Rule, Executive Manager, Office of the Chief Executive

5.0 Submission prepared by

Jo Gibney, Project Officer, Office of the Chief Executive, ext 34309

6.0 Date

5 March 2011

7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Counc

For E&C approval

If for recommendation to Council, I a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law? 8.0

N/A

9.0 Recommended for public release

Immediate release

At

- 10.0 Recommendation
 - That two Councillors be approved to attend the ALGA National General Assembly as Council's 1. official delegates at a cost of approximately \$2900 per attendee. That additional Councillors (number to be determined by E&C) be approved to attend the ALGA National General Assembly as observers at the same cost.

ull be a magned de totte hit an I delegate to be appoint An of the Chairman atte

Divisional Manager

11.0

Peter Rule **EXECUTIVE MANAGER** OFFICE OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE

I Recommend Accordingly CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

this

I Support / Reject the recommendation.

MAR 2012

In

Lord Mayor

If reject, please state reasons.

Cr Adrian Schrinner CHAIRMAN FINANCE, ECONOMIC **DEVELOPMENT & ADMINISTRATION** COMMITTEE

The 2012 Australian Local Government Association (ALGA) National General Assembly (NGA) is to be held in Canberra from 17 to 20 June 2012. This year's theme is 'National Voice, Local Choice – Infrastructure, Planning, Services'.

Council has traditionally allowed Councillors to attend this conference. Cr Margaret de Wit attended the 2011, 2010 and 2009 ALGA National General Assemblies. Councillor Margaret de Wit and Councillor Peter Matic represented Brisbane City Council at the Local Government Constitutional Summit – A Special National General Assembly in December 2008. The 2007 ALGA National General Assembly was attended by Councillor Ann Bennison and Councillor Margaret de Wit.

Council is entitled to one vote on agenda items at the Assembly, although two delegates can be nominated due to the debating structure of the Assembly. In addition to the official delegate(s), Council is permitted to nominate other attendees, who are given 'observer' status.

E&C's direction is sought regarding the number and identity of Council's official delegates and observers, if any.

13.0 Consultation

Peter Rule, Executive Manager, Office of the Chief Executive

14.0 Implications of proposal

Nil

15.0 Commercial in confidence

Nil

16.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

Consistent with Corporate Plan – specifically:

- Work with other governments and organisations for the betterment of the local community, city and region.
- Support Council's involvement in regional, capital city and local government associations, networks and alliances.

17.0 Customer impact

Nil

lil

19 0

18.0 Environmental impact

Policy impact

Consistent with the established policy that the Brisbane City Council provides a voice on national local government issues through its representation at the ALGA National General Assembly.

20.0 Financial impact

Cost for each Councillor attending will include return flights to Canberra (approx. \$800), accommodation (approx. \$800), conference registration (up to \$1,000) and miscellaneous expenses (approx. \$300). This would total approximately \$2,900 per attendee.

Funds are available under cost code 101150301500000063300000 for accommodation and conference fees and cost code 101150301500000062800000 for travel costs.

21.0 Human resource impact

Nil

22.0 Urgency

In the normal course of business. However, early approval will ensure costs are minimised by taking advantage of early bird conference rates and any available discounted travel and accommodation rates.

23.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

Not required.

24.0 Options

- 1. To endorse the attendance and nomination of Councillors to the 2011 National General Assembly of Local Government.
- 2. Not to endorse the attendance and nomination of Councillors to the 2011 National General Assembly of Local Government.

Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

E&C n 5 mar 2012 - 0 6

SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE

1.0 Primary file number

137/800/1121/462

2.0 Title

Appointment of Andrew MacLeod, Chief Internal Auditor, to the Professional Issues Committee of the Institute of Internal Auditors.

3.0 Issue/purpose

The purpose of this submission is to seek E&C approval for:

- 1. The continued appointment of Andrew MacLeod, Chief Internal Auditor, to the Professional Issues Committee of the Institute of Internal Auditors; and
- 2. The Chief Internal Auditor, to attend up to two overseas meetings of the Professional Issues Committee of the Institute of Internal Auditors during 2012/2013.

4.0 Proponent

Peter Rule, Executive Manager, Chief Executive's Office

5.0 Submission prepared by

Bob Overell – Financial Assurance Manager, Assurance, Security and Ethical Standards, OLMCEO (x34322)

6.0 Date

0

5 March 2012

7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

For E&C approval

lot for release

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

9.0 Recommended for public release

AP Lord Mayor

1

10.0 Recommendation

That E&C grant approval for:

- 1. The appointment of Andrew MacLeod, Chief Internal Auditor to the Professional Issues Committee of the Institute of Internal Auditors; and
- 2. The Chief Internal Auditor to attend two overseas meetings (Boston July 2012 and Orlando December 2012) of the Professional Issues Committee of the Institute of Internal Auditors at a cost to Council of a maximum of \$6,950.

11.0

Divisional Manager

Chairman

I Support / Reject the recommendation. If reject, please state reasons.

Peter Rule EXECUTIVE MANAGER CEO's OFFICE

Councillor Adrian Schrinner

DEPUTY MAYOR AND CHAIR FINANCE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

2

Recommend Accordingly

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

The Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA) serves over 170,000 members in internal auditing, governance and internal control, IT audit, education, and computer security from more than 165 countries.

This will be Andrew MacLeod's sixth year on the IIA Professional Issues Committee (PIC). The mission of the PIC is to provide timely guidance to the members of the profession on concepts, methodologies, and techniques included in the International Professional Practices Framework and to comment on, or develop positions on other matters which directly or indirectly impact the profession of internal auditing. The Council will continue to benefit from Andrew's access to leading edge research on all facets of internal and computer auditing and ethics. As part of his involvement with the PIC, Andrew has been appointed Chair of the Risk Management Taskforce which produces guidance for internal auditors on Risk Management. Also, he has been appointed Co-Chair of the ISO Risk Management Coordination Group. Membership of this group is wider than just members of the PICC.

Membership of the PIC will allow Andrew to keep up to date with what is happening worldwide in relation to internal auditing and establish world renowned contacts. Council will benefit from Andrew's involvement in writing guidance and reviewing the International Professional Practices Framework. This year there will be a meeting held in conjunction with the International Conference in Boston in July 2012, and a meeting in Orlando in December 2012 where the IIA is headquartered.

It is proposed that Council allow Andrew up to ten days time off from work to attend meetings of the PIC and the Government and Regulatory Authorities Committee of the Information Systems Audit and Control Association (if reappointed) in the same financial year. Any extra time required will be taken as either leave without pay or recreation leave.

Approval of E&C is sought for the appointment of Andrew MacLeod to the IIA Professional Issues Committee and for him to attend up to two overseas meetings of the Committee during 2012/2013.

13.0 Consultation

- Colin Jensen, Chief Executive Officer
- Len Scanlan, Independent Chairperson of the Brisbane City Council Audit Committee.

are in agreement with the recommendation.

Implications of proposal

Membership of the IIA Professional Issues Committee will allow Council, through Andrew, access to leading edge internal audit research and developments. This access helps in the retention and recruitment of staff.

15.0 Commercial in confidence

Nil

16.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

Membership of the Professional Issues Committee will contribute to the following aspects of Council's Vision 2026 and Corporate Plan 2008-2012 (2011 update):

3

- Developing Brisbane as a Regional and World City through improving Cooperative City Governance by sharing skills and knowledge with other SEQ councils through the SEQ Local Government Internal Auditors Discussion Group.
- Protecting council assets, people and the environment.
- Helping Brisbane to achieve Outcome 9.5 Risk Management in 2011/2012 Council Budget by assuring service delivery through the effective management of risks.

17.0 Customer impact

Andrew's membership of the IIA Professional Issues Committee should lead to a higher quality of Assurance and Ethical Standards coverage in Council.

18.0 Environmental impact

Nil

19.0 Policy impact

Nil

20.0 Financial impact

Council will fund up to a maximum of \$6,950 for the two trips in 2012/2013. Andrew's attendance at the July 2012 event in Boston is to be held in conjunction with the Institute of Internal Auditors International Conference and will be partially funded by the Institute of Internal Auditors as Andrew is speaking at the International Conference Conference registration will be provided as will two nights accommodation and US\$2,500 for the cost of the airfare.

The breakdown of the estimated maximum cost for Council for the two trips is as follows:

200

\$6,950

Boston (July 12) Orlando (December 12

Funds are available in the budget of Assurance, Security and Ethical Standards Branch under GL code 101 1520 230 000 000 628 000 00.

21

uman resource impact 21.0

Urgency

In the normal course of business.

23.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

Nil.

24.0 Options

Option 1: Approve the recommendation

Option 2: Not approve the recommendation

Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

E&C

0 5 MAR 2012 - 0 7

SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE

1.0 Primary file number 137/800/1121/460

137/000/1121/400

Related subject matter files 176/315/33/48

2.0 Title

Stores Board Submission - World Refugee Day 2012 Community Festival

3.0 Issue/purpose

To seek approval from the Establishment & Coordination Committee to directly enter into a contract with the Multicultural Development Association Inc (MDA) 28 Dibley Street, Woolloongabba Qld 4102 - ABN 41 372 481 576, for the estimated contract expenditure of \$300,000 (ex GST), for the provision of World Refugee Day Community Festivals in 2012, 2013 and 2014 without seeking competitive tenders or quotations from the market on the basis of being an exemption from the Contract Manual for a Social Enterprise.

4.0 Proponent

Colin Jensen, Chief Executive Officer

5.0 Submission prepared by

Mark Johnston, Acting Corporate Risk Manager, Organisational Services Division

6.0 Date

5 March 2012

7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

For E&C approval

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

No

9.0 Recommended for public release

Immediate release

10.0 Recommendation

hat the Establishment and Co-ordination Committee approves the attached submission.

11.0 Mark Johnston ACTING CORPORATE RISK MANAGER

Colin Jensen CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

APPROVED

MAR

org Mayor

2012

Maur

The Chief Executive Officer and the Stores Board considered the attached scheduled submission on 28 February 2012.

The submission is referred to E&C as it is considered the most advantageous outcome for the provision of the required services:

CONTRACT	CONTRACT TITLE
NO.	

BLQ120121- Provision of World Refugee Day Community 11/12 Festivals in 2012, 2013 and 2014

For E&C approval

REASON FOR SUBMISSION

2

13.0 Consultation

- The Chief Executive Officer
- Divisional Manager Brisbane Lifestyle
- Stores Board
- Relevant Divisional Officers

All are in agreement with the recommendation.

14.0 Implications of proposal

The recommended process will provide the most advantageous outcome for Council.

15.0 Commercial in confidence

Not Applicable.

16.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

The recommendation of this submission will contribute to the efficient management of the City's resources.

17.0 Customer impact

The submission covers the provision of services to meet Council approved programs.

18.0 Environmental impact

Not applicable.

19.0 Policy impact

Submitted in accordance with Council's Procedures for Procurement, Contracting and Tendering.

Financial impact

Financial details are included in the Divisional submission.

21.0 Human resource impact

Not Applicable

22.0 Urgency

As soon as possible

23.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

As Required

24.0 Options

Option 1:That the E&C approves the recommendation.Option 2:Not approve the recommendation.

Option 1is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

0 5 MAR 2012 - 0 8

SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE

Primary file number

137/800/1121/446

Relevant subject matter files

Nil

2.0 Title

1.0

Rescind the approval of Community Facility Flood Recovery Grant Program - Round 2 Part B

3.0 Issue/purpose

To rescind the decision of E&C of 13 February 2012 to approve the allocation of funding to those eligible applications under the Community Facility Flood Recovery Grant Program - Round 2.

4.0 Proponent

Vicki Pethybridge, Divisional Manager, Brisbane Lifestyle

5.0 Submission prepared by

Lorraine Gregory, Manager, Healthy and Vibrant Communities, Community Lifestyle Branch ext. 34725

6.0 Date

5 March 2012

7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

For E&C approval

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

N/A

9.0 Recommended for public release

Not for release

10.0 Recommendation

That E&C rescind the decision of 13 February 2012 to approve:

- The grants under the Community Facility Flood Recovery Grant Program Round 2 as set out in Attachment B, Option 1;
- That no cap be set on the amount that clubs can be awarded under the Community Facility Flood Recovery Grant Round 2; and
- The variation to the E&C decisions of 27 April 2011 and 6 June 2011 for the Community Facility Flood Recovery Grant Program Round 1 funding application by authorising the redirection of \$150,000 of the original \$429,000 allocation, to the Scout Association of Australia Queensland Branch Inc for repairs to the Scout's Head Office.

11.0 Divisional Manager

Vicki Pethybridge DIVISIONAL MANAGER BRISBANE LIFESTYLE DIVISION

Chairman

I Support / Reject the recommendation.

MAR 201

Lord Mayor

in

Mder

1 ald 1 C

Councillor Geraldine Knapp CHAIRMAN BRISBANE LIFESTYLE COMMITTEE

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

E&C

0 5 MAR 2012 - 0 9

SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE

1.0 Primary file number

137/800/1121/446

Relevant subject matter files

See Attachment E - there is a file for each site

2.0 Title

Community Facility Flood Recovery Grant Program - Round 2 Part B

3.0 Issue/purpose

To seek E&C approval for the allocation of funding to those eligible applications under the Community Facility Flood Recovery Grant Program – Round 2

4.0 Proponent

Vicki Pethybridge, Divisional Manager, Brisbane Lifestyle

5.0 Submission prepared by

Lorraine Gregory, Manager, Healthy and Vibrant Communities, Community Lifestyle Branch ext. 34725

6.0 Date

5 March 2012

7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

For E&C approval

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

N/A

9.0 Recommended for public release

Immediate release

10.0 Recommendation

That E&C approve:-The grants under the Community Facility Flood Recovery Grant Program Round 2 as set out in Attachment B, Option 1;

That no cap be set on the amount that clubs can be awarded under the Community Facility Flood Recovery Grant Round 2; and

The variation to the E&C decisions of 27 April 2011 and 6 June 2011 for the Community Facility Flood Recovery Grant Program Round 1 funding application by authorising the redirection of \$150,000 of the original \$429,000 allocation, to the Scout Association of Australia Queensland Branch Inc for repairs to the Scout's Head Office.

11.0 Divisional Manager

Vicki Pethybridge DIVISIONAL MANAGER BRISBANE LIFESTYLE DIVISION

ordingly CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Chairman

5 MAR 20

Lord Mayor

Milu

I Support / Reject the recommendation.

Land

Councillor Geraldine Knapp **CHAIRMAN** BRISBANE LIFESTYLE COMMITTEE

The Community Facility Flood Recovery Grant Program - Round 2, opened on 21 November 2011 and closed on 12 December 2011. The grant program invited clubs to apply for funding to complete their outstanding flood recovery repair works on their buildings and fields. Thirty-two applications were submitted seeking \$3,387,464. On 16 January 2012, E&C approved funding to 14 community organisations totalling \$896,834. Four of the clubs who applied have since received assistance from the Lord Mayor's Community Disaster Relief Appeal Fund and therefore now do not require further funding from Council.

On 16 January 2012, E&C agreed to a cap of \$150,000 for building works and \$40,000 for fields/courts. At the time, the total requests for funding exceeded the available funds but not all applications had been fully assessed. The assessment is now complete and the total amount eligible for funding is less than the available funds. Therefore, the cap is not necessary and it is proposed that E&C remove the cap.

This submission recommends funding for fourteen clubs totalling \$1,153,523.26 Attachment B provides a detailed assessment of the applications. In summary:

- Four clubs are all recommended for the full amount applied for (at a combined amount of \$474.817);
- Five clubs are recommended for part funding as some of the items they sought funding for are not eligible or the works are considered improvements (at a combined amount of \$203,688);
- Bellbowrie Sport and Recreation Club Inc and Western Districts Community and Sporting Club are recommended for funding once they comply with the requirements of their round one funding. Further, Bellbowrie Sport & Recreation Club Inc is recommended for part funding as some items were not eligible (at a combined amount of \$196,609);
- Four clubs that had eligible funding items capped in the E&C of 18 January 2012 have been reassessed (at a combined amount of \$278,409);
- redacted is not recommended for grant funding as they were not considered to be affected by the river flood. Council officers will work with the club to find other sources of assistance; and
- redacted is not recommended for grant funding due to the ineligibility of their item.

The Scout Association of Australia Queensland Branch Inc received \$429,000 in Round 1 of the Community Flood Recovery Grants for five scout dens and their head office. Their insurance has covered more for the dens than they expected and less for the head office. They have therefore asked to be able to use \$150,000 originally approved for scout dens for repairs to the head office. In total, with the new grant recommended in this submission and this transfer, The Scout Association of Australia Queensland Branch will receive \$300,000 for their head office facility.

Attachment C contains a copy of the guidelines used to assess the merit of each application. Attachment D provides a list of items which Council will not fund.

E&C approval is now sought to approve:

The grants under the Community Facility Flood Recovery Grant Program Round 2 as set out in Attachment B, Option 1;

That no cap be set on the amount that clubs can be awarded under the Community Facility Flood Recovery Grant Round 2; and

The variation to the E&C decisions of 27 April 2011 and 6 June 2011 for the Community Facility Flood Recovery Grant Program Round 1 funding application by authorising the redirection of \$150,000 of the original \$429,000 allocation, to the Scout Association of Australia Queensland Branch Inc for repairs to the Scout's Head Office.

13.0 Consultation

David Askern	Chief Legal Counsel, Brisbane City Legal Practice
Ros Donnelly	Corporate Benefits And Funding Recovery Manager
Kent Stroud	Branch Manager-Community Lifestyle
Greg Swain	Corporate communication Manager

All are in agreement with the recommendation.

14.0 Implications of proposal

The approved grant funding will be released to the recipients as soon as they sign their funding agreement or approve the amendments to their existing agreement and meet any required funding special conditions.

15.0 Commercial in confidence

No.

16.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

The recommendation responds to the Active & Healthy Communities aspirational goals set out in 'Our Shared Vision Living In Brisbane 2026'

The recommendation responds to the following 'Corporate Plan 2008-12 (2010 Update)' goals:

- 5.3 Active & Healthy Communities
- 5.4 Social Inclusion
- 5.5 Well-managed community facilities

17.0 Customer impact

Clubs recommended for funding will be able to continue their recovery works

18.0 Environmental impact

Nil

19.0 Policy impact

Nil

20.0 Financial impact

The grants recommended for funding in this submission total \$1,153,523.26. Funding of \$ 1.4 million is available for the Community Facility Flood Recovery Grants in 5.5.1.1 Facilities Development and Maintenance – Jan 2011 Flood Damages – Community Leases.

21.0 Human resource impac

Nil

22.0 Urgency

In the normal course of business

23.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

A marketing and communication strategy has been developed.

Options

Option 1: That E&C approve the recommendation Option 2: That E&C amend the recommendation Option 3: That E&C not approve the recommendation

Option 1 is the preferred option.

E&C

0 5 MAR 2012 - 10

SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE

1.0	Primary file number
	137/800/1121/464
• *	Relevant subject matter files
	152/160/414/166
2.0	Title
	Brisbane Hotels Infrastructure Contributions Administrative Procedure
3.0	Issue/purpose
	To adopt the Brisbane Hotels Infrastructure Contributions Administrative Procedure.
4.0	Proponent
	Andrew Chesterman, Divisional Manager, City Planning and Sustainability Division.
5.0	Submission prepared by
	Martin Reason, City Planning and Economic Development Branch, ext 39758.
6.0	Date
	5 March 2012
7.0	For E&C approval or recommendation to Council
	For E&C approval.
8.0	If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?
×	N/A 5 MAB 2012
9.0	Recommended for public release
	Immediate release
10.0	Recommendation
	That E&C approves the Brisbane Hotels Infrastructure Contributions Administrative Procedure as
	outlined in "Attachment B".
11.0	
Divisio	onal Manager Chairman
	I Support / Reject the recommendation. If reject, please state reasons.
1	
M	Mint .

Councillor Amanda Cooper CHAIRMAN NEIGHBOURHOOD PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

Andrew Chesterman DIVISIONAL MANAGER CITY PLANNING AND SUSTAINABILITY

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

The *Brisbane's Unique Window of Opportunity Report* was prepared by Brisbane Marketing following consultation with over 200 Brisbane businesses. The report contains a number of recommendations regarding economic development opportunities in Brisbane, including Council incentives to encourage the development of high quality, four and five star hotel development.

The Brisbane Hotels Infrastructure Contributions Administrative Procedure has been prepared to support these initiatives.

The proposed Procedure applies to infrastructure charges levied under Section 3.1(a) of the *Brisbane Adopted Infrastructure Charges Resolution (No.2) 2011* and will provide a 100% subsidy of infrastructure charges for transport, community purposes and stormwater networks that would be levied against development approvals for four and five star hotel developments. The subsidy is applicable to the hotel rooms and associated entertainment components of the approved development, as specified in the Procedure.

The procedure will be available for approvals issued between 1 July 2011 and 30 June 2014.

Applicants seeking the subsidy will be required to enter into an Infrastructure Agreement with Brisbane City Council. The Agreement will require the owner of the hotel to pay the relevant charges at the rate applicable at the time, should the subject development fail to achieve the required Tourism Queensland endorsed AAA Tourism STAR rating within a year of commencement of the use. It will also require the owner to pay the relevant charges, at the rate applicable at the time of payment, if construction has not substantially commenced two years after the approval takes effect or the use has not commenced four years after the approval takes effect.

This Procedure will apply to the Brisbane Local Government Area with the exception of development in an Urban Development Area declared under the *Urban Land Development Authority Act 2007*, under the *South Bank Corporation Act 1989*, within the Port of Brisbane and the Brisbane Airport Corporation Land.

The procedure will not apply to water supply and sewerage charges, as those networks are the responsibility of Queensland Urban Utilities.

The subsidy is not available to boarding houses, serviced apartments, residential dwellings or motels.

It is therefore recommended that E&C approves the Brisbane Hotels Infrastructure Contributions Administrative Procedure as outlined in "Attachment B".

Attachments:

Attachment A. Media Summary

Attachment B: Brisbane Hotels Infrastructure Contributions Administrative Procedure

13.0 Consultation

Councillor Amanda Cooper, Chairman, Neighbourhood Planning and Development Assessment Committee (13 February 2012).

Kerry Doss, Manager, City Planning and Economic Development Branch (17 February 2012).

Tony Chadwick, Solicitor, Brisbane City Legal Practice (17 February 2012).

Cameron Doyle, Principal Planner, Development Assessment (16 February 2012).

All are in agreement with the recommendation.

14.0 Implications of proposal

Approval of the Procedure will act as a catalyst for economic development opportunities in Brisbane, particularly in the tourism sector.

15.0 Commercial in confidence

No.

16.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

The Procedure supports the following Corporate Plan Outcomes and Strategies: Outcomes 7.1, 7.2, 7.4 and 7.5.

17.0 Customer impact

It is anticipated that the Procedure will be strongly received by Brisbane's business community including tourist accommodation and associated services industry.

18.0 Environmental impact

Nil.

19.0 Policy impact

Adoption of the proposed Procedure will support the recommendations of the Brisbane's Unique Window of Opportunity Report.

20.0 Financial impact

Funds will be sought through Council's budget process.

21.0 Human resource impact

Nil.

22.0 Urgency

In the normal course of business.

23.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

Nil.

24.0 Options

Option 1: Approve the recommendation

Option 2: Amend the recommendation

Option 3: Not approve the recommendation

Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.



E&C FORMAL SUBMISSIONS RESULTS 12 MARCH 2012					
SUBMISSION NUMBER	FILE NUMBERS	DIVISION	TITLE RESULT	RECOMMENDATION FOR PUBLIC RELEASE	
12/03-01 R - Information	112/20/216/81	Brisbane Infrastructure	Legacy Way Tunnel Project – Land Resumptions	N/A	
12/03 -02 R - Information	112/20/216/81	Brisbane Infrastructure	Legacy Way Tunnel Project – Land Resumptions Approved	N/A	

Present: Lord Mayor Graham Quirk, A Schrinner, G Knapp, A Cooper, D McLachlan, P Matic, M de Wit & J Simmonds.

M - Indicates an E&C Committee decision (or minute item), which is included in this document. R - Indicates an E&C Committee recommendation to full Council. Details can be accessed through the Council Minutes, which are available for inspection on Level 2 of the Brisbane Square Library, 266 George Street, Brisbane.

	E&C FORMAL SUBMISSIONS RESULTS 26 MARCH 2012					
SUBMISSION NUMBER	FILE NUMBERS	DIVISION	TITLE	RESULT	RECOMMENDATION FOR PUBLIC RELEASE	
26/03-01 M	137/800/1121/447	Organisational Services	Monthly Report – Delegation of Authority to Travel- January 2012	Approved	Immediate Release	
26/03-02 R	109/695/586/6	OLMCEO	Report of the Audit Committee Meeting on 8 March 2012	Approved	N/A	
26/03-03 M	137/800/1121/471 112/20/439/174 112/20/259/42	CPAS	Advance payment for the proposed resumption of an easement for drainage purposes at 25 Sweetman Street, Red Hill	Approved	Immediate Release	
26/03/04 M	137/800/1121/472	CPAS	Brisbane City Council's submission on the Queensland Reconstruction Authority (QRA) Guideline "Planning for	Approved	Immediate Release	

Present: Lord Mayor Graham Quirk, A Schrinner, G Knapp, A Cooper, D McLachlan, P Matic, M de Wit & J Simmonds.

M - Indicates an E&C Committee decision (or minute item), which is included in this document. R - Indicates an E&C Committee recommendation to full Council. Details can be accessed through the Council Minutes, which are available for inspection on Level 2 of the Brisbane Square Library, 266 George Street, Brisbane.

E&C

26 MAR 2012 - 01

SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE

1.0	Primary File Number
	137/800/1121/447
	Related subject matter file
	164/105/219/1
2.0	Title
	Monthly Report - Delegation of Authority to Travel – January, 2012
3.0	Issue/purpose
	Provision of relevant monthly travel report.
4.0	Proponent
	Greg Evans, Divisional Manager, Organisational Services.
5.0	Submission prepared by
	Irene Murphy, Travel Co-ordinator, Support Services Centre, ext. 39159
6.0	Date
	27 February, 2012
7.0	For E&C approval or recommendation to Council?
	For E & C approval.
8.0	If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?
	No. 26 MAR 2012
9.0	Recommended for public release
	Immediate release.
10.0	Recommendation Mdew. M.
	That the Establishment and Coordination Committee note the information submitted on approved
	travel for January, 2012.
Divici	Chairman
DIVISI	onal Manager Chairman
	I Support/Reject the Recommendation If Reject – please state reasons
01	a AI.
G	Aphilh

35

Grog Evano

Greg Evans DIVISIONAL MANAGER

I Recommend Accordingly 1 SI CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Cr Adrian Schrinner CHAIRMAN FINANCE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENTAND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

In pursuance of the request for information on a monthly basis of travel approved under delegation, reports are enclosed for January, 2012, for each Unit of Administration showing travel actually undertaken during that month.

Appendix "A" shows details associated with travel undertaken by non Council employees not covered by the Travel Policy.

In summary, the position is as follows:

Non-	Comme	rcial Operations	
a) ·	Interr	national Travel	
	(i)	Number of Bookings	
	(ii)	Airfares	\$3,215.92
b)	Dome	estic Travel)
	(i)	Number of Bookings	2
	(ii)	Airfares	\$ 810.00
c)	Acco	mmodation and Allowances Costs	\$ 891.33
d)	Regis	stration Fees for Conferences	-
e)	Other	Costs e.g. hire car	\$ 615.18
ΤΟΤΑ	L		\$5,532.43

36

13.0 Consultatio	13.0	Consultation
------------------	------	--------------

Liaison with Officers undertaking travel and Divisional Travel Officers.

14.0 Implications of proposal

Nil.

15.0 Commercial in confidence

No

- 16.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact
- 17.0 Customer impact

Nil.

18.0 Environmental impact

Nil.

19.0 Policy impact

In line with Council policy.

20.0 Financial impact

Expenses incurred through Divisional Travel Votes.

- 21.0 Human resources impact
- 22.0 Urgency

In the normal course of business.

23.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

At the discretion of the Lord Mayor.

24.0 Options

Option 1: That E&C note the information submitted on approved Travel for January, 2012.

Option 2: Not approve the recommendation.

Option (1) is the preferred option.

If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

HENK

E&C

26 MAR 2012 - 03

E D

SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE

1.0 Primary file number 137/800/1121/471

Relevant subject matter files

112/20/439/174 and 112/20/259/42

2.0 Title

Advance payment for the proposed resumption of an easement for drainage purposes at 25 Sweetman Street, Red Hill.

3.0 Issue/purpose

To seek approval to pay an early advance against compensation for the proposed resumption of an easement for drainage purposes at 25 Sweetman Street, Red Hill.

4.0 Proponent

Andrew Chesterman, Divisional Manager City Planning and Sustainability.

5.0 Submission prepared by

Jonathan Skelton, Senior Acquisition Officer, City Projects Office, ext 36958.

6.0 Date

26 March 2012

7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

For E&C approval.

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

N/A

9.0 Recommended for public release

Immediate release

10.0 Recommendation

is recommended that E&C resolve in accordance with the draft determination set out "Attachment B".

Divisional Manager

Andrew Chesterman DIVISIONAL MANAGER CITY PLANNING AND SUSTAINABILITY

I Recommend Accordingly CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Chairman

(Support) Reject the recommendation. If reject, please state reasons.

APR

4.4.15

F. MAR

Lord Mayor

Cr Peter Matic CHAIRMAN, ENVIRONMENT, PARKS & SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE

On 4 March 2011 Council issued a Notice of Intention to Resume an easement for drainage (underground & overland flow) purposes over part of 25 Sweetman Street, Red Hill in the ownership of redacted (described as proposed Easement A in Lot 1 on Registered Plan 93180, containing an area of 632 square metres (refer "Attachment C1-C2")). No objection was made to the proposed resumption by the property owner.

On 3 October 2011 the Establishment and Coordination Commitee, as delegate to Council during recess, approved an application to be made to the Department of Environment and Resource Management (DERM) for approval for the proposed resumption. That application was made on 23 December 2011. DERM has advised that no decision on the application will be made until after the expiration of the caretaker period and the swearing in of the new State Government.

Representations have been made on behalf of redacted from his Lawyer and Accountant requesting an early payment of an advance against compensation on the grounds of financial hardship arising out of the Notice of Intention to Resume (refer "Attachment D1-D3").

Council's consultant valuer, Harvey Ehlers and Associates, has assessed the compensation for the proposed easement at \$100,000 (refer "Attachment E1 E4").

The Chief Legal Counsel and the Water Resources Branch have received evidence that satisfied them that redacted needs the funds as soon as possible to avoid financial hardship.

It is therefore recommended that E&C resolve in accordance with the draft determination set out "Attachment B".

Attachments:

- Attachment A: Summary.
- Attachment B: Resolution.
- Attachment C: Location and Easement Plan.
- Attachment D. Request for early payment.
- Attachment E: Valuation advice.

13.0 Consultation

- Julie Mchellan, Manager Water Resources, City Planning and Sustainability Division
 (7 March 2012)
- David Askern, Chief Legal Counsel, Brisbane City Legal Practice (7 March 2012).

All are in agreement with the recommendation.

14.0 Implications of proposal

An advance equal to Council's assessment of compensation will be paid.

Commercial in confidence

16.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

Nil.

A Claim for Compensation will be processed and result in the claimant being paid an advance that will be offset against compensation.

18.0 Environmental impact

Not applicable.

19.0 Policy impact

Nil.

20.0 Financial impact

Funds are available under Work Order 472191.

21.0 Human resource impact

Not applicable.

22.0 Urgency

Urgent due to financial hardship of the claimant.

23.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

Not applicable.

24.0 Options

- Option 1: Approve the recommendation.
- Option 2: Amend the recommendation

Option 3: Not approve the recommendation.

Option 1 is the preferred option

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.



E&C

- 11.

2 6 MAR 2012

SUBMISSION TO THE ESTABLISHMENT AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE

1.0 Primary file number 137/800/1121/472

Related subject matter files N/A

2.0 Title

Brisbane City Council's submission on the Queensland Reconstruction Authority (QRA) Guideline "Planning for stronger, more resilient floodplains - Part 2".

3.0 Issue/purpose

To seek approval from the Establishment and Coordination Committee to provide a submission on the Queensland Reconstruction Authority Guideline "Planning for stronger, more restlient floodplains - Part 2".

4.0 Proponent

Andrew Chesterman, Divisional Manager, City Planning and Sustainability.

5.0 Submission prepared by

Robert Wright, Principal Officer, Water Resources, City Planning and Sustainability, extension 35729.

6.0 Date

26 March 2012

7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

For E&C approval.

DRAVED

Lord Mayor

Widen

1

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

N/A

9.0 Recommended for public releas

Immediate release

10.0 Recommendation

That the Establishment and Coordination Committee approve the submission to the Queensland Reconstruction Authority as set out in "Attachment B".

Divisional Manager

Andrew Chesterman DIVISIONAL MANAGER CITY PLANNING AND SUSTAINABILITY

I Recommend Accordingly CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Chairman I Support / Reject the recommendation. If reject, please state reasons.

Cr Amanda Cooper

CHAIRMAN NEIGHBOURHOOD PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

The Queensland Reconstruction Authority (QRA) has released for comment the "Planning for stronger, more resilient floodplains Part 2" guideline. The voluntary guideline is intended to provide local authorities across the state with guidance on how they can respond through their future planning schemes to manage the risk associated with flooding. Part 1 of the guideline, along with a Temporary State Planning Policy, was released in September 2011 and provided local authorities with model guidance and legislative support to quickly amend a planning scheme to respond to the challenges posed after the 2011 flood. Brisbane had already responded with the Temporary Local Planning Instrument in May of that year.

The guideline outlines a "Fit for Purpose" Floodplain Management System which discusses two approaches:

- A comprehensive process to develop a Floodplain Management Plan which recommends land use planning controls as well as structural flood mitigation measures, education and awareness and disaster management actions to address the full range of flood hazard in a catchment. This is similar to the Catchment Flood Risk Management Plan Pilot Study currently being developed for the Cabbage Tree Creek catchment and this is a best practice approach.
- An alternate approach which focuses on "land use strategies" derived from a "Fit for Purpose" flood study and a "planning evaluation" which looks at allocating uses based upon managing risk and includes concepts of transitioning land use to more resilient forms, buy backs and land swaps. The guideline recognises that the options for implementation are up to the discretion of the local authority.

The methodology outlined in the guideline will support sound floodplain management and is consistent with ensuring a sensible approach to land use planning. Despite this there are elements of the guideline that would benefit from review as detailed below.

Council's submission is outlined in "Attachment B". The strategic issues highlighted in the submission are:

- The guidelines advocacy for a Flood Risk Management and Fit for Purpose approach to undertaking flood management planning is consistent with Council's approach and is supported.
- The relationship of the guideline to State Planning Policy 1/03 mitigating the adverse impacts of flood, bushire and landslide is unclear and so the weight it will be given at planning scheme state interest checks cannot be determined and requires clear direction.
- The guideline contains a mix of planning scheme and non-planning scheme elements throughout it is considered that greater clarity around the scope of the guideline is required to ensure its focus is on viable planning scheme elements within the context of the *Sustainable Planning Act* and the Queensland Planning Provisions.

The guideline proposes the concept of "land use transition strategies" (eg, buy backs and back zoning) for flood management response. However, this may require actions not possible under a planning scheme alone and greater consultation and testing, together with improved legislative and government assistance if it is to be effectively implemented.

At a recent information session QRA officers have advised that further protection of local authorities from compensation claims initiated through changes to a planning scheme is being investigated, though not proposed in any statutory form at this time.

It is recommended that the Establishment and Coordination Committee approve the submission to the Queensland Reconstruction Authority as set out in "Attachment B".

Attachments:

- Attachment A: Summary of submission.
- Attachment B: Brisbane City Council Submission to the Queensland Reconstruction Authority.

13.0 Consultation

- Kerry Doss, Manager, City Planning and Economic Development, City Planning and Sustainability Division (09/03/2012).
- Julie McLellan, Manager, Water Resources, City Planning and Sustainability Division (19/03/2012).
- Richard Hurl, Principal Urban Planner, City Planning and Economic Development, City Planning and Sustainability Division (08/03/2012).
- David Askern, Brisbane City Legal Practice (12/03/2012).

All are in support with the recommendation.

14.0 Implications of proposal

The guideline, although voluntary, reinforces the expectation that local authorities will use a 'Fit for Purpose' best practice approach to flood risk management overall and when making land use planning decisions. The implication is that land use decisions in regard to managing flood impacts are at the least supported by a reasonable "fit for purpose" level of investigation and analysis that is consistent with sound flood risk management practices. This is a reasonable position and the guideline provides information on how this may be achieved.

15.0 Commercial in confidence

No.

16.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

Nil.

17.0 Customer impact

Any processes aligned with this guideline will be required to consider other Council flood management initiatives and programs and ensure consistent language and products to ensure messages are clear for the relevant stakeholders.

18.0 Environmental impact

Nil.

19.0 Policy impact.

Nil. Addressed under Flood Action Plan Response to Queensland Floods Commission of Inquiry Final Report.

20.0 Financial impact

lil.

Nil

Human resource impact

22.0 Urgenc

Submissions were due to Queensland Reconstruction Authority by 20 February 2012 ,however, Council has been granted an extension so this submission can be considered by the Establishment and Coordination Committee on 26 March 2012.

23.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

Nil.

24.0 Options

Option 1:Approve the recommendation.Option 2:Amend the recommendation.Option 3:Not approve the recommendation.

Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.